







LECTURES

ON THE

ART OF READING.

IN TWO PARTS.

CONTAINING

Part I. The Art of Reading Profe. Part II. The Art of Reading Verse,

BY

THOMAS SHERIDAN, A.M.

Quo minus sunt ferendi qui hanc artent ut tenuem ac jejunam cavillantur; quæ nisi oratori suravo fundamenta sideliter jecerit, quiequid superstruxeris, comunt. Necessaria pueris, jucunda senibus, dulcis secretorum comes, & quæ nel sola orani sudiorum genere, plus habes operis, quam ossentationis.

QUINCT. L. I. c. iv,

THE FOURTH EDITION.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR C. DILLY, IN THE POULTRY.

MDCC XCIV.

Cetter cod.

Adams 794

DMICATA IS SWELLE

Low Color of acrus. July 2, 1891

the character of the first of the first of the character of the character

yany anolo 134 11 11 11

The latter of the property of the formation of the control of the

ART of READING.

LECTURE I.

F all arts that have been taught mankind, Reading is by much the most general; in Britain particularly it is almost universal, since even the children of peasants are instructed in it. And yet by a strange fatality it has happened, that while in all other arts, numbers arrive at a great degree of perfection, and many attain to excellence, in this alone there are few that succeed even tolerably. There are but two ways of accounting for this; either, that the thing itself is in its own nature more difficult than any other; or, that the method of teaching it must be erroneous and defective. With regard to the first, it might easily be proved that there are many other arts infinite'y more hard to be attained; but to clear up the point, it will be only necessary to shew, that the

TOWN TOWN

the art itself has always been in the lowest state among us, and that this proceeds from a method of teaching it, erroneous and desective to the last degree.

For a long time after letters had been introduced into Britain, the Art of Reading was known only to a few. Those were days of ignorance and rudeness; and to be able to read at all, was thought little less than miraculous. Such times were not proper for cultivating that art, or bringing it to perfection. After the revival of the dead languages among us, which fuddenly enlightened the minds of men, and diffused general knowledge, one would imagine that great attention would have been paid to an art, which was cultivated with fo much care by those ancients to whom we are indebted for all our lights; and that it would have made an equal progress among us, with the rest which we had borrowed from them. But it was this very circumstance, the revival of the dead languages, which put a stop to all improvement in the Art of Reading; and which has continued it in the same low state from that time to this. From that period, the minds of men took a wrong bias. Their whole attention was employed in the cultivation of the artificial, to the neglect of the natural language. Letters, not founds; writing, not speech, became

came the general care. To make boys understand what they read; to explain the meaning of the Greek and Roman authors; and to write their exercises according to the laws of grammar, or profody, in a dead language, were the chief objects of instruction: while that of delivery was fo wholly neglected, that the best scholars often could not make themfelves understood, in repeating their own exercifes; or difgraced beautiful composition, by an ungracious delivery. Those who taught the first rudiments of reading, thought their task finished, when their pupils could read fluently, and observe their stops. This employment, requiring no great talents, usually fell to the lot of old women, or men of mean capacities; who could teach no other mode of utterance than what they possessed themselves; and confequently were not likely to communicate any thing of propriety or grace to their scholars. If they brought with them any bad habits, fuch as stuttering, stammering, mumbling, an indistinct articulation, a constrained unnatural tone of voice, brought on from imitation of some other; or if they were unable to pronounce certain letters; these poor creatures; utterly unskilled in the causes of these desects, sheltered their ignorance, under the general charge of their being natural impediments, R 2

pediments, and fent them to the Latin school, with all their impersections on their heads. The master of that school, as little skilled in these matters as the other, neither knew how, nor thought it part of his province to attempt a cure; and thus the disorder generally passed irremediable through life. Such was the state of this art, on the sirst propagation of literature; and such it notoriously remains to this day.

When we reflect on the general benefit that would accrue, from bringing this art to perfection; that it would be useful to many professions; necessary to the most numerous and respectable order established among us; ornamental to all individuals, whether male or female; and that the state of public elocution must in a great measure be affected by it; it would be apt to associate to think that there has been so little progress made in it.

When we consider too that the world has always been clamorous in their complaints upon this head, having too generally occasion to regret the low state of this art in their attendance on the most important duty, that of public worship; and that there are multitudes whose interest and inclination it would be to improve themselves in it, had they the means in their power, and could they obtain regular instruc-

tion;

tion; it would furprise one at first, that no one has as yet struck out such a method, which would certainly be attended with great emoluments to him. And indeed the prospect was fo inviting, that many have been the attempts which have been made in that way from time to time; but they all failed from the fame cause; which was, that they who attempted it, were men skilled in letters, but not in founds; and they were blind enough to imagine, that the knowledge of the one necessarily included that of the other. Whereas the very reverse is true; as it would be impossible to treat justly of founds, until the man of letters shall have first divested himself of all the prejudices and errors which he had imbibed, with regard to that article, from the time of his first learning the alphabet; for in that lies the fource of all our mistakes. They took the alphabet as they found it, and thought it perfect; whereas this alphabet, on the revival of the learned languages, was borrowed from the Roman, though it by no means squared with our tongue. As a proof of which, it is certain that we have 28 fimple founds in our tongue, and have in reality but 20 characters to mark them, though more letters appear in the alphabet, as will prefently be shewn. This reduced men in the beginning, to a thousand clumfy contrivances, in thofe B 3

those unenlightened days, to make such an alphabet answer the end at all; but it was done at fuch an expence, as to make the learning to read and spell properly, a tedious and difficult task, which required the labour of many years to accomplish. These contrivances of theirs in spelling, to make a defective alphabet ans fwer the end of reprefenting words, have fo confounded our ideas with regard to the powers of feveral letters, applied to a variety of different uses, that all the systems hitherto produced upon that point, have been a perfect chaos. Nothing can be a stronger proof of the gross errors into which literary men fell, in their feveral grammars and treatifes upon this subject, than that the best of them have mistaken diphthongs for simple sounds, and simple founds for diphthongs; compound confonants for fingle, and fingle for compound. Nay, what is still more extraordinary, that they have even mistaken vowels for consonants; all which I shall presently make appear. What fuperstructure built on such fundamental errours could fland?

The first necessary step towards establishing rules for this art upon any folid foundation, is, as in all others, to afcertain the number, and explain the nature of its first simple elements; for any errour there, must carry an incorrigible taint taint throughout. This is the point with which I shall begin, and I believe you will soon be convinced that it never was executed before.

Here I think it necessary to bespeak your attention in a more particular manner, to this part of the course. For in this art, as in all others, the treating of the elements is a dry task, and can have nothing in it very captivating to the mind; therefore the hearers will be under a necessity of exerting more vigoroufly their own attention, or they may lofe much, not only of the fundamentals, but of what is deduced from them. Yet to encourage you to this exertion, I will venture to fay, that if you will be at the pains of commanding your attention, you will have one passion of the mind, and that none of the weakest, highly gratified; I mean curiofity. For, as in viewing objects through microscopes, we are highly entertained with making discoveries which wholly escaped the naked eye; so when we apply the microscopic eye of the mind, to a closer inspection into the nature and properties of the fimple elements of speech, we shall make many discoveries equally new and curious, which had escaped superficial observation; with this additional advantage, that beside and specifying gratifying gratifying curiofity, they will turn out to be of the most important use.

The first thing I shall offer to your consideration, is the following scheme of the vowels.

Scheme of the Vowels.

	First.	Second.	Third.
à	hat	hate	hall
e	bet	there	here
i	fit	bite	field
0	not	note	prove
u	cub	bush	cube
ý	lovely	try	nte per ken

Here we fee each vowel stands for three different sounds, and I have classed them in this manner, because I shall have occasion to mention them hereaster by the titles of First, Second, and Third sounds, according to the order in which they lie, and as they are marked by those sigures.

At first view of this scheme one would be apt to imagine, that we have no less than 17 sounds of vowels in our tongue; but on a nearer examination, we shall find that there are several duplicates of the same sounds, differently marked.

marked. Thus the second sounds of a and e, as in hate, there, are the same. The third sounds in e and i, here, sield, are also the same.

The found of o in not, is only the short sound of a in hall, which will be immediately perceived, if we place the same consonant after the vowel in its long and short sound; as hall holl, naught not. The second sound of i in the word bite, and the third sound of u in cube, are not simple sounds but diphthongs, as I shall hereafter prove. And with regard to the two sounds of y, the first perceived in the last syllable of lovely, is only the short sound of a, and the 2d in try is the same as a. So that there remain only 9 simple sounds or vowels, which I shall presently enumerate.

There are in our tongue 28 fimple founds, whereof 19 are confonants, and 9 vowels. The confonants are, b d f g k l m n p r f t v z * th th fh zh ng. The vowels are, † a a a e o o e i u. The last three are never

^{*} the has two founds, one in the word thin, the other in then. To distinguish them, the former found will be always marked by a cerilla.

⁺ As in the words hall hat hate here note prove bet fit cub.

founded alone, nor finish a syllable, so that it is necessary to perceive their sounds distinctly, that a consonant should follow them in the same syllable, as in the words bet, sit, cub.

Of the consonants, the last five are marked by two letters each, and therefore have been considered by our grammarians as compound founds, though in reality they are as simple as any of the rest. But the truth is; the Roman language was without these founds, consequently they had no letters in their alphabet to mark them. The found of eth, or the Greek

0, they had indeed adopted together with fome words from that language, such as theatrum, theologia, &c.; but not having the power to introduce the Greek letter into their alphabet, they sell upon the expedient of marking it by a junction of their h, or mark of aspiration, with a t; and this expedient we have adopted from them, in marking three of those sounds; of th, as in the word thin; th, as in

then; and sh, as in shall. But we have as yet given no peculiar mark to the 4th sound, ezh, being sometimes represented by a single z, as in azure; sometimes by an s, as in ofier. The last sound ng, which is perhaps peculiar to the English language, is marked by the junction of n with g. Of the eighteen consonants to

be found in the Roman alphabet, two are fuperfluous; c having only the power of a k, or an f; of a k, as in card; an f, as in cease; and q of a k, when it precedes a diphthong, beginning with a u, as in quality. And two are marks of compound not fimple founds; j of zh preceded by a d, as ezh, edzh, -james, dzhames. And x standing for ks, or gz-ks, as in excellence, gz as in example, egzample. So that there remain in reality but fourteen characters, to mark nineteen simple sounds of confonants to be found in our tongue. This brought on the necessity before mentioned of marking those supernumerary simple sounds, by two letters. But these combinations are merely arbitrary, and are by no means an affiftance, as we from prejudice are apt to imagine, to the acquiring of a right utterance of those founds, as I shall shew hereafter.

As to the vowels, in repeating our alphabet, we hear but three out of the nine founds before enumerated, whether pronounced after the English or Irish manner. The English found their vowels, a e i o u—the Irish, a e i o u. Now, as I shall shew indisputably that i and u are diphthongs, it follows that in either way of pronouncing, there are but three sounds of vowels heard. Their number too has been confined

confined to that of their marks; it being commonly supposed that we have but five vowels, when it is evident we have nine. This also sollowed from our adopting the Roman alphabet, as in reality there were but five sounds of vowels in their speech, which consequently demanded but five marks in writing. But as we have also annexed diphthong sounds to two of these simple marks, which were pronounced very differently by the Romans, our i and u being sounded by them simple ee and oo—we have laid, in the very elements of our speech, the foundation of perpetual error, by confounding the nature of simple and double founds.

It is not my intention to enter into all the errours of our alphabet, nor the consequential intricacies and difficulties which they have introduced into our written language; which, however necessary on another occasion, is not fo to the immediate point I have in view. I have only said enough to shew the necessity there is for rectifying those fundamental errours, before we can proceed upon any sure grounds. It will be granted that in repeating the alphabet of every tongue, every simple found contained in that tongue, ought to be heard in it; that being the very nature and end

end of forming an alphabet: and in order that the written language should correspond to the spoken, each simple found should have its peculiar mark, for which it should invariably stand. I have shewn, that by adopting an alphabet no way fuited to our tongue, neither of these is, nor can be the case. The consequence of which has been, that all attempts towards establishing a theory of our founds; have hitherto ended in confusion and errour: and the practical part of reading and spelling our words, has been so loaded with difficulties. that it requires the labour of years to overcome it. For want of a just theory, no method has hitherto been found out for teaching justness of utterance, and propriety of pronunciation; and mankind are left on this occasion wholly to the guidance of chance, catching up that general mode of utterance which prevails in the places of their nativity; and fingularities of pronunciation and tones, from their parents, masters, companions, or domestics. And as to the other article which regards the written language, that of spelling correctly, and which has been brought to a more certain and uniform standard, it has, from the same cause, been accomplished with so little art, and by so round-about a method, that in order to spell well, it is necessary to have each individual

word impressed upon the memory, by reiterated observation of the order of the letters which compose them, as presented to the eye.

Let us therefore now examine how far a just theory of articulate sounds, may contribute to establish a method for teaching justness of utterance; and at the same time open a way for a more easy and expeditious method of learning to spell correctly.

It has been faid that the first necessary step towards regulating the alphabet, is, that in repeating it, each simple found belonging to the language should be heard. But as we find in our alphabet some letters either superfluous, or marks of compound founds, as before pointed out, it will be necessary that these also should be added to the alphabet, and their nature and use explained, that the learners may know the proper application of them, when they meet with them in writing. These letters are b, which is no mark of any articulate found, but merely of aspiration; c, j, q, and x. The next thing is to divide these letters into separate classes, according to the first great distinction between them, that of vowels and confonants; and to repeat them in that manner, beginning with the vowels, which have a right to pre-eminence, as being effential to all articulate founds, as well as to the formation of in a least the fullables.

fyllables. And these vowels should be ranged, not by chance, as has hitherto been done, but according to a just gradation like a musical scale, marking the regular process of the instrument in forming them, from its greatest aperture to its smallest; proceeding from its sullest to its most slender sounds, and ranking the long before the short. Thus in pronouncing the long vowels in the following order,

3 1 3 1 3 1 3 2 3 P hall far hate here note prove we shew a just and regular scale by which the voice proceeds in marking those founds. a is the fullest found, made by the greatest aperture of the mouth; and the voice strikes upon that part of the palate which is nearest to the passage by which the voice issues; a is formed by a gradually less aperture, and the stroke of the voice more advanced; a in like proportion still more so; and in sounding e, the mouth is almost closed, and the stroke of the voice near the teeth. These are the only long vowels formed within the mouth. After that, the feat of articulation is advanced to the lips; o being formed by a small pushing out of the lips, in a figure refembling the circular character which reprefents that found; and o, by advancing

the lips still more, and pushing the found out through a chink or foramen, more of the oblong kind. So that whoever will give but a flight attention in repeating these vowels in this order, will perceive a regular and gradual progression of the voice, from the first seat of articulation, to the extreme, as a a a e o o. It were to be wished that children were taught to dwell fome time upon these long vowels in uttering them, and not to reduce them to short quantities, as is too often the case; for the beauty of observing a proportional quantity between long and fhort fyllables, depends chiefly upon the habitual power of prolonging the founds of those vowels. As to the three short vowels, which are incapable of prolongation, it will be only necessary that they should be taught to give them their due founds, by repeating fyllables which contain them, fuch as those before mentioned, bet, fit, cub. For as these vowels never close a syllable in our language, it would be found difficult, as well as unnecessary, to pronounce them separately. To these vowels I would also add two characters which appear in our alphabet, and which I would call not by the names of y w, as is the custom, but ee oo; for reasons which will appear when I speak of diphthongs.

Having mastered the sounds of the vowels, the consonants are next to be repeated in the sollowing manner, placing a vowel before each of them, and not sometimes before and sometimes after, as is the usual way, for reasons which will presently appear.

Eb ed ef eg ek el em en ep er es et ev ez eth eth esh ezh ing.—In this list all the simple consonant sounds of our tongue are heard; and after them I would place the sour letters before mentioned, sounded thus:

c j q x

ek or see edge qua eks or egz.

—by which pronunciation their nature and powers will be shewn.

The contonants should then be divided into two classes; mutes, and semivowels. The mutes, are those whose sounds cannot be prolonged. The semivowels, such whose sounds can be continued at pleasure, partaking of the nature of vowels, from which they derive their name. There are six mutes, eb, ed, eg, ek, ep, et. And thirteen semivowels, ef, el, em, en, er, es, ev, ez, eth, eth, esh, ezh, ing.

The mutes may be subdivided into pure and impure. The pure, are those whose sounds cannot be at all prolonged. These are ek, ep, et. The impure, are those whose sounds may

be centinued, though for a very short space. These are eb, ed, eg.

The femivowels may be subdivided into vocal and aspirated. The vocal, are those which are formed by the voice; the aspirated, those formed by the breath. There are nine vocal, and sour aspirated. The vocal are, el, em, en, er, ev, ez, eth, ezh, ing. The aspirated, es, es, eth, esh. The vocal semivowels may be subdivided into pure and impure. The pure, such as are formed entirely by the voice. The

impure, such as have a mixture of breath with the voice. There are sive pure—el em en er ing. Four impure—ev ez eth ezh.

Their nature and properties being thus afcertained, in order to know the manner of their formation, it will be proper to divide them into separate classes, according to the different seats where they are formed; whether the lips, teeth, palate, or nose; thence denominated, labial, dental, palatine, and nasal.

The labial are four. eb ep ef. Dental eight, ed eth ez ess esh. et eth Palatine four, eg el ek er. em en

The

The next care should be to make children pronounce them distinctly in the above order, beginning with the labials; the manner of whose formation is the most easily perceived, as it is performed by the lips, and is therefore obvious to the fight. Here they should be made to observe, that eb and ep, are formed exactly by the same action of the lips, which is by closing them and intercepting the voice; and that the only difference between them is, that in forming eb, the lips at first only gently touch each other, fo as not wholly to prevent fome founds iffuing, and are gradually closed till the voice be entirely intercepted: whereas in forming ep, the lips are at once fo forcibly pressed together, as to prevent the issuing of any found. Children should therefore be taught to prolong the found of the b as much as possible, by closing the lips only gently at first, and gradually pressing them close, as, eb; and to pronounce ep as quickly as poffible, by a fudden and fmart pressure of the lips, as ep. It will be necessary too, in both cases, to observe to them, that the found of neither of them is complete, or perfectly diftinct, till the lips, after compressure, are separated. Thus if I say blab, lap, keeping the mouth still closed, the founds are but half formed, and may eafily be mistaken the one for the other; but when I finish them by separating the lips, as blab, lap, the founds are perfect and distinct. These are the only two genuine labial consonants: that is, entirely formed by the lips; the other two being partly labial, partly dental; that is, they are formed by the application of the under lip to the upper teeth, as ev, es. Here it is also to be observed, that these two letters are formed by exactly the same position of the organs; and the only difference between them is, that ev, is formed by the voice and breath mixed; es, by the breath only; as will be immediately perceived by continuing their sounds for some time, as ev, where the voice and breath are prolonged together; es, where the breath only issues.

The next in order are the dental, as the feat of their formation is nearest to the lips. In forming ed and et, the tip of the tongue is pressed against the gums of the upper teeth, almost touching the teeth; and there is no other difference; between them, than what was before mentioned with regard to the labials eb dand ep, that in the one the found can be contiinueditin the other streamnot. In forming ed, the tongue at first only gently touches the gum, and is gradually prefied closer, till the found is sentirely obstructed, stasted; stwhereas sinstet, the stongue is at once fo forcibly and closely pressed to the farme part, that the found is inflantly intercepted, asuet, on Herenton assyingthen other Denoning 1 0 cafe.

case, the sounds are not completely formed, till the tongue is removed from the seat of their formation; thus if I say bad, bat, still keeping the tip of the tongue applied to the gum, the sounds are incomplete; but in removing the tongue as in bad, bat, they become perfectly distinct: children therefore, in learning these letters, should be taught to remove the tongue after dwelling upon the sound, ed, as long as they can; and instantaneously, after having formed the sound, et.

Eth and eth are formed by placing the tip of the tongue between the teeth, and pressing it against the upper teeth, as eth, eth; and the only difference between them is, what was before observed with regard to ev and ef, that the one is formed by the voice and breath mixed, as eth; the other by the breath only, as eth.

Ez and es are both formed in the same manner, by turning up the tip of the tongue towards the upper gums, but so as not to touch
them; and thus the breath and voice being cut
by the sharp point of the tongue, and passing
through the narrow chink eleft between that
and the gums, are modified into that buzzing
noise to be perceived in the one, and hissing
found in the other. Here also, the only difference between them is, the same as was just
e 3

mentioned with regard to eth and eth, that, ez, is formed by the voice and breath together; ess, by the breath only, ez - ess.

Ezh and esh are formed by protruding the tip of the tongue towards the teeth, but so as not to touch them; and thus the voice and breath paffing over it through a wider chink, and not being cut by it, on account of its flat position, have not so sharp a found as els and ez. The fame distinction is also observable here, they being both formed by exactly the fame position of the organs, only ezh, is by the voice and breath; esh, by the breath only.

Of this class, there are but two that in strict propriety can be called dental, and those are th and th, formed by the application of the tongue to the upper teeth; which are not directly concerned in producing any of the other founds: but as the feat of their formation is close to the teeth, they have obtained the name of dental, to diffinguish them from those whose feat is farther removed towards the palate, and thence called palatine.

The first of this class are el and er, whose seat of formation lies a little behind that of ed and et. El, is formed by a gentle application of the end of the tongue to the roof of the mouth, a little behind the feat of ed; the pressure must be as fost as possible, so that the found may

not

not be intercepted; and in this position, the voice glides eafily over the fides of the tongue, which are in a horizontal posture, in a straight line through the mouth. Er, is formed by a vibrating motion of the tip of the tongue, between the under and upper jaw, without touching either, and at about the same distance from the teeth that el is formed.

Farther back towards the palate are formed eg and ek, by raising the middle of the tongue fo as to touch the roof of the mouth; and the only difference in their formation is, that in eg, the tongue is not fo closely pressed at first, but that the found may continue for a little while; and in ek, the voice is wholly intercepted, in the same manner as was before mentioned in ed and et; and the same care is to be taken in the mode of pronouncing, by dwelling on the former as long as may be, and founding the latter as fmartly as possible, as eg, ek. It will be necessary also to observe in this, as in the other case, that the sounds are not completely formed, till the tongue is removed from the roof of the mouth, as may be perceived by founding them in the different ways; first, by keeping the tongue in its position of forming the letter, as beg, bek; next, by removing it. as beg, bek.

The three confonants, em, en, ing, make up the last class called nasal, on account of the founds C 4

founds issuing chiefly through the nose. M, is formed by closing the lips much in the same manner and degree as in eb, with this difference, that the voice thus stopped at the lips, is permitted to pass through the nose.

En is formed much in the same seat, and by a like application of the organ as el; only there is more of the tongue, and more closely applied to the roof of the mouth, so as in a great measure to stop the voice from issuing through that passage, and to sorce the greater part of it back through the nose.

Behind this, much in the same seat and same disposition of the organs as in forming the sound eg, is produced the sound ing; by raising the middle of the tongue to a gentle contact with the palate, so as that part of the voice may issue through the mouth, and the remainder be forced back through the nose.

himself master of the manner in which each consonant is formed, that I recommended the founding of all the consonants with a vowel preceding them; because in the usual way of pronouncing much the greater part of them, with a vowel after them, there is no time to make liany observation upon the manner of their formation; othe organs being always deft in the position necessary to produce, the sound of the vowel, which is the last; thus in pronouncing

be de ge ve, the organs are always found in the fame position, that which belongs to the found ee-but in pronouncing them thus, eb, ed, eg, ev, we may keep them as long as we please in the position necessary to the formation of those founds, till we can with accuracy determine what it is. In this way, we shall find that in founding eb, the lips are gently preffed together, but not fo as fuddenly to cut off the found, which continues a little while; whereas in founding ep, the lips are by a rapid junction pressed together so close, as instantaneously to cut off all found. In founding ed, we shall find in like manner, that the tip of the tongue is pressed gently against that part of the gum which immediately touches the upper teeth, in fuch a way as to continue the found a little while; and in forming et, we shall find that the action and position of the tongue are exactly the same, only more rapidly performed, fo as at once to cut off all communication of the voice. And fo on of the rest. Whoever will take the trouble of going through all the -confonants in this way, may in a fhort time, with due attention, be thoroughly master of the mode of their formation.

follow, from teaching the rudiments of fpeech after this manner.

In the first place, children would be taught much fooner to pronounce their alphabet in this way, as they who are flow in catching founds by the ear, would be made to utter them as foon as they could be shewn the proper position of the organs to form them. This is what I can affirm upon repeated experiments, for I never yet found a child, whose organs had arrived at fufficient maturity, that I could not make pronounce all the founds in our tongue distinctly in the space of a month, which in the common way might cost them a year or two. And what is still more extraordinary, I have had many occasions to try the same experiments, upon persons advanced in life, and never found an instance of any, that could not in a short time be made to pronounce certain letters, which they had never before founded in their lives. Nothing retards the progress of children fo much in their endeavours to articulate, as the prefent mode of teaching the alphabet in that confused order into which chance had originally thrown the letters; for many contiguous letters, as they now lie, are performed in such different seats, and with such different exertions of the organs, as for a long time to baffle all the efforts of the noviciate tongue. Whereas if we follow the order of nature, beginning with the labials, and fo proceeding through the dentals, to the palatines, the

the work will be accomplished with ease and certainty. That this is the natural order, and that the lips are the first organs of speech exerted by children, may be known from this; that the words papa or baba, and mama, are the terms used by children for father and mother, in almost all the languages of the world. Nor is there any other way of accounting for this universal practice, but the general observation of the facility with which children pronounce those sounds, before they can utter any of the rest; and whoever attends to the first endeavours in children to articulate, will find that the words they aim at contain one of the three labials b p or m. And indeed the reason of this is obvious, for as the lips are the only organs employed in the formation of these, they must be supposed, from their continued action in taking in food, to be strong and fit for use, long before the other principal organ of speech, the tongue. Accordingly we find that a long interval succeeds, between their uttering founds of this nature, and any others. The cutting of the teeth afterwards gives employment and exercise to the tongue, and thus prepares and fits it for action; which is exerted at first in the easiest and simplest way, by applying the tip to the upper gums; an action to which it was long accustomed, from the pain felt there whilst the teeth were producing, and thus

thus the founds d and t are produced. Accordingly we find that da and ta, or the same founds doubled, as dada tata, are the first uttered after the labial. The palatine, requiring a withdrawing of the tongue, an action to which it had not been accustomed, and an application of different parts of it to different places, as being the most difficult, are the last attempted by them, and the last which they master. Accordingly we find, that when they are urged too foon to pronounce words containing any of those letters, they either wholly omit them, or change them for others which they were able to pronounce before. Thus for lady, they either fay ady or dady; for coach, toach; for go, do-and fo on. The letter r requiring a vibrating motion of the tip of the tongue between the jaws, without application to any part, is the most difficult of all founds, and that in which we find the greatest number deficient. Now from this method of permitting children to attempt all words alike, before they can pronounce all the letters, habits fare often contracted which are never afterwards to be changed. The only way to prevent this is, never to urge them to attempt any word, containing any letter which they cannot first discincily found by itself; on the contrary, as far as you can, to discourage them from making the attempt. In this way they will get a diffina andshals.

distinct articulation; which is the foundation of good speech, and which is it be not laid in the first simple elements with the utmost care and exactness, Quintilian, one of the best judges of antiquity, does not scruple to pronounce, that whatever superstructure you may attempt to raise on it, must fall.

In this way also the soundation will be laid for measure and proportion in sounds, the source of grace and harmony in speech. For by accustoming them to prolong the sounds of the vowels that will admit of it, and of the semi-vowels, they will be able to do it with ease where it is proper; whereas, in general, people are taught to pronounce all the letters in an equal space of time, and from habit are not able to prolong the sound of any. With these, all syllables being put upon a par, the beauty arising from the observation of a due proportion between long and short, is utterly lost; and not only so, but they are apt to fall into such a rapidity of utterance, as to be very indistinct, and often unintelligible:

Though I have all along confidered this as a method proposed for the better instruction of children, from their first attempts to articulate, yet it is equally suited to the adult. Whoever has contracted any bad habits in utterance, has no way to get rid of them, but by recurring to the source. He must return to his alphabet,

alphabet, and be able to pronounce all the letters with exactness in their simple and separate state, before he will be able to do it in their feveral combinations. There are not many, who, upon trial, will not find themselves defective in this respect. There are sew, who will not find it difficult at first to prolong the founds of the vowels and femivowels; and a much greater number, who are defective in founding the mutes properly. For though they give the mutes their due found before a vowel, which they were taught to do in repeating the alphabet, yet I have known few that pronounce them with exactness, when they finish a syllable. I have scarce found any that could, without repeated trials, prolong the founds of the impure mutes at all, as eb ed egor who completed the founds of the pure mutes, by feparating the organs after their formation, in the manner before described, as ev ek et.

Another good consequence that would follow, from teaching the alphabet in this manner is, that whole countries and counties, that now speak a corrupt dialect of English, might have their pronunciation in a short time reformed. Let us examine, for instance, wherein the peculiarity of the Welsh consists; and we shall find that it arises from their constantly substituting the three pure mutes in the room of

the three impure; and the three aspirated semivowels in the place of the three vocal. Thus instead of b or eb, they use p or ep; for g or eg, they use k or ek; and for d or ed, they employ t or et. For blood they say plut; for God, Cot; and for dear, tear. In the fame manner in the femivowels, they substitute ef in the place of ev, ess in the place of ez, eth in the place of eth, and esh in the place of ezh. Thus instead of virtue and vice, they fay firtue and fice; instead of zeal and praise, they say feal and praisse; instead of these and those, they say thesse and thosse; instead of azure, ofier, they fay ashur, ofher. Thus there are no less than seven of our consonants, which the Welsh never pronounce at all. Now if the difference in the manner of formation between these seven consonants, and their seven correfpondent ones, were pointed out to them in the way before described, they might in a short time be taught the perfect use of them. The people of Somerfetshire pronounce the femivowels in a way directly opposite to the Welsh. For whereas the Welsh change the vocal into the aspirate, the people of Somerfetshire change the aspirate into the vocal.

For father they fay vather; for Somersetshire, Zomerzetzhire; for thin, thin. But to enu-

merate all the advantages that would refult from teaching the alphabet in this way, would take up more time than could be allowed in a course of this nature. To shew the importance of it, it will be only necessary to say, that without knowing the nature and properties of the fimple elements or letters, it will be impossible afterwards to discern their peculiar beauty and force when united in words; and the expression and harmony arising from the combination of those words in sentences, or their arrangement in verse. In short, all true critical skill in the found of language must have its foundation here. This was a favourite study amongst the ancients, and men of the greatest abilities and dignity in the state applied themselves to it with ardour. Messala among the Romans got an immortal name, for writing an express treatife on a fingle letter: and the honours of Greece were decreed at the Olympic games to Apollodorus, for having made some new discoveries in that way. Quinctilian, in recommending a close attention to the study of the simple elements, has this remarkable passage; "Not (says he) that there is any great difficulty in dividing the letters into vowels and confonants; and fubdividing the latter into mutes and femivowels; but because whoever will enter into the inmost receffes

recesses of this, I may call it, facred édifice, will find many things, not only proper to tharpen the ingenuity of children, but able to exercife the most profound erudition, and deepest ' science,' Such were the sentiments of the great ancients upon this important article, and those sentiments were carried into execution. The consequence of which was, that all the powers of elocution, and all the elegancies of composition both in poetry and prose, were carried to a degree of perfection unknown in any other age or country in the world; while we are so little acquainted with fundamentals, that all we are taught with regard to the elements of speech is a distinction of the letters into confonants and vowels; and another distinction of the former into mutes and liquids. And even in this distinction, a mistake has been committed in describing the nature of liquids, which are faid to have obtained that name from their fine flow and smoothness to the ear; whereas one of them r is the roughest letter in speech; and m was considered as a disagreeable: found, and called the bellowing letter by the ancients, from its refemblance to the lowing of oxen; and on that account was frequently struck out by an elision, in the measure of Roman poetry. But the true reason of the name of liquids arose from their property of uniting INTERNAL P D

readily with other confonants, and flowing as it were into their founds.

I shall now exhibit, at one view, a scheme of the whole alphabet, according to the method above laid down.

to be delice one in the period of the relief of the color

a system in the part of the pa

white not small it for a grow part .

minuted in the real file and the second

Silvered at a river or up of man on

Scheme

Scheme of the Alphabet.

Number of simple sounds in our tongue 28.

9 Vowels, a a a e o o e i u

hall hat hate here note prove bet fit cub.

- 19 Consonants, eb ed ef eg ek el em en ep er es et ev ez eth eth esh ing.
- 2 Superfluous, c, which has the power of ek or es; q, that of ek before u.
- 2 Compound, j, which stands for edzhx, for ks or gz.
- 1 No letter, b, merely an aspiration.

Consonants divided into Mutes and Semivowels.

- 6 Mutes, eb ed eg ek ep et.
- 3 Pure Mutes, ek ep et.
- 3 Impure Mutes, eb ed eg.
- 13 Semivowels, ef el em en er es ev ez eth eth esh ing.
- 9 Vocal Semivowels, el em en er ev ez eth ezh ing.

4 Aspirated, ef es eth esh.

Divided again into

- 4 Labial, eb ep ev ef.
- 8 Dental, ed et eth eth ez ess ezh esh.
- 4 Palatine, eg ek el er.
- 3 Nasal, em en ing.

36 THE ART OF READING.

Having examined all the fimple founds in our tongue, I shall proceed to the double founds, or diphthongs.

There is no article in which our grammarians have shewn such a want of skill in sounds. as that of diphthongs. One of the best of them divides them into proper and improper, in the following manner. A proper diphthong, fays he, is, where both vowels are founded, as in aid, hawk. Is it not amazing that any ear could be so mistaken as to take these simple sounds a and a for diphthongs? An improper diphthong is where the found of but one of the two vowels is heard, as in head, heart. Here he is right, but it is equally certain that in his instances of proper diphthongs, there is only the found of one simple vowel heard. Heart, aid, and hawk, contain the three fimple founds, a, a, a.

He then settles the number of proper diphthongs thus, ai or ay, au or aw, ee, oo, oi or oy, ou or ow. As in the words praise, day; laud, draw; meet, cool; boy, noise; thou, now. In the four first instances here of proper diphthongs, the ear acknowledges nothing but simple sounds; in the words praise and day, the sound a; in laud and draw, a; in meet, e; in cool, o; so that in the whole number there remain

remain only the founds oi, as in boy noise; and ow, as in thou how, that are genuine diphthongs; and indeed according to the principles laid down by all our grammarians, it would appear that these are the only two which belong to our tongue. Thus would the English seem to be poor to the last degree, in an article which contributes above all others to richness of found in a language. The Greeks called the diphthongs Euphonoi, or wellfounding, and their language abounded with them; but not in an equal degree with ours, as I shall presently shew. In the first place the founds i, u, though generally marked by fingle characters, are in reality diphthongs. In order to shew this, we must first have recourse to the definition of a diphthong. A diphthong is the union of the founds of two vowels in fuch a way as to make but one articulation or fyllable. The found i is composed of the fullest and slenderest of our vowels, a and e, the first made by the largest, and the last by the smallest aperture of the mouth. Now if we attend to the process in forming this found, we shall find that the mouth is first opened to the same degree of aperture, and is in the same position as if it were going to found a; but before the voice can get a passage through the lips, the under jaw is drawn near to the upper, in the fame D 3

fame position as when the vowel e is formed; and thus the full found, checked by the flender one, and coalescing with it, produces a third found different from both, which is the diphthong i. The want of knowing the proper position and movement of the organs in producing this found, has been the reason that few foreigners have been able to attain it. The French have it not in their tongue; but they have one approaching near it, composed of a i, as in the words vin fin. That it is not the fame, will appear by pronouncing the fame words in our way, as vin vine, fin fine. Now if they were only told to open their mouths as wide at first as if they were going to pronounce a, and then to check the voice by the fudden motion of the under jaw, to the position in which the vowel e is formed, they must neceffarily produce our diphthong i, and this I can affert upon repeated experience. The inhabitants of Scotland in general, and many natives of Ireland, substitute a poor founding diphthong in the room of this, composed of a e, in which the jaws are brought more close, and the found consequently less full. Thus for my they fay my, for fine fine; and this may eafily be cured by following the method before mentioned. The ser should be the common at the co-o

The diphthong u is formed of the founds e and o; the former so rapidly uttered, and falling so quickly into the sound o, that its own distinct power is not heard; and thus a third sound or diphthong is formed by the junction of the two vowels.

The diphthong oi is formed by a union of the same vowels as i, a e, with this difference, that the first vowel a, being dwelt upon, is distinctly heard before its found is changed by its junction with the latter vowel e; as oi, boy, noise. This diphthong is generally marked in our tongue by the characters oi, or oy, which makes people imagine that it is really composed of the founds which those letters represent; whereas the ear evidently perceives that it is a not o which is the first sound, and e not i which is the last. But the truth is, that having no peculiar letters in our alphabet to mark the founds a and e, their powers were transferred in a manner fomewhat arbitrary to different vowels; and this should make us, in judging of the true formation of the diphthongs, attentive not to the letters which represent them to the eye in spelling, but to the real founds offered to the ear.

The diphthong ou is composed of the sounds a and o—and is formed much in the same

manner as i; the mouth being at first in the position of sounding a, but before it is perfected by a motion of the under jaw, and lips to the position of sounding o, the first sound a is checked and blended with the latter o.

Out of these four diphthongs, there have been two discovered, which have hitherto been concealed under the disguise of simple vowels. But what shall we say to the large tribe yet remaining, not less than nineteen in number, which our fagacious grammarians have never yet been able to find out? In order to shew the cause of this extraordinary blindness in them, it will be necessary to observe, that we find in our alphabet two characters called y and w, which exceedingly puzzled our early grammarians, in confidering to what class they should be referred. At last Wallis, who wrote somewhat more than a century ago, and whose grammar, except where he treats of the article of founds, is one of the best that has been produced in our language, determined that they were of an amphibious kind, being fometimes vowels, and fometimes confonants: vowels when they ended a fyllable, consonants when they began one: and this wife determination has been adopted by all grammarians from his days down to our own, as is to be feen in Johnson, the author of

and he breast much in the bree-

the late English grammar and dictionary. So profs an absurdity could never have passed upon any, but such as were blinded by literary vanity fo far, as to think that skill in letters, of course produced skill in sounds. Ought it not to have struck them, that it is the very nature of a confonant, that its found shall be distinctly perceived, in union with every vowel, either before or after it; and when they could produce no fuch found after any vowel, ought they not to have concluded that they could not posfibly be confonants? The truth is, their perplexity feems to have arisen more from the names given to these letters, y and w, than any thing else; for had they been called, as they should have been, e and o, which marks their true powers, there could have been no doubt about them. It is to be here observed. that by adopting the Roman alphabet, we had but five marks for the nine vowels which were in our tongue, and among others the vowels e and o had no peculiar characters to represent them; on this account the w was preserved from the Saxon to stand for the one, and the Roman y was appropriated to the other use. And the necessity for appropriating two characters to those sounds will appear, when we confider

42 THE ART OF READING.

consider that it is with one of those sounds, that almost all the diphthongs in our tongue commence; for except the three before described beginning with a, all the rest commence with e or o. W- or o, forms a diphthong with every one of our vowels—As for instance—

waft wage wall
wed weed
wit- woe- woo-

word

Y- in like manner with almost all. A
yard yare yawl
yet yield
yon yoke - youth
young

Almost all the French diphthongs too commence with these sounds, though not marked as with us. Instead of our w, they make use of ou, which is pronounced by them o. Thus their affirmative oui, yes, is individually the same sound with our pronoun we. And instead of our y, they make use of their vowel i, always sounded by them e. But to prove experimentally that these two letters are only marks for e and o, we need only examine the position of the organs, when we are about to sound them in conjunction with a vowel, and we shall find, that with regard to the w, the lips must necessarily

farily be in the position of forming the sound of And if we begin with sounding the two vowels separately at first, and afterwards bring them gradually closer together till they coalesce, we shall perceive the whole process distinctly, and find that the sound sought for must necessarily be produced; as in the word wall for instance—

3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 0 - - - a 0 - - a - 0 - a 0 all.

In like manner, in founding the word yawl, we shall find that the organs must at first be in the position of producing the vowel y—

y - - - a y - - a y - a yawl.

And to shew of what consequence it is to give letters right names, expressive of their true powers, a remarkable instance is offered in the French, when they learn English; none of whom can pronounce properly any of the diphthongs formed by w, which they change to the sound of the consonant v-; for wall they say vall, for what vat-; as vat is that? And the reason is, that as the name of the letter w does not at all direct them in its sound, they take their notion of it from the eye, which sees in the form of that letter two vees or u consonants intermixed, and therefore they appropriate that sound to it. Whereas, were this letter called o, and were they told that it answered exactly

to the power of their ou or o, nothing would be so easy to them as to pronounce these sounds, having several of those diphthongs in their own tongue. If they were only once told that our pronoun, we, was the same sound as their affirmative oui, the w standing for the same sound as their ou, they would never call it ve instead of we, nor mistake it in its union with any other vowel. And as a farther proof how much the want of the true name contributes to missead them in this letter, it is worthy of observation, that they never make any mistake in the diphthongs formed by y; as that letter has its true sound with them in repeating their alphabet, being properly called by them y or y grec.

Thus have I vindicated our tongue from a charge brought against it, and which has been given up by all our grammarians, I mean its poverty in diphthongs; for upon their principles it is certain we could claim but two of the genuine kind. And yet I have made it evident, that we have at least twenty-three; a richness in which perhaps the English exceeds all other languages. It is allowed that there are no sounds so pleasing, or that satisfy the ear so much, as those of diphthongs; but, in order to answer this end, it is necessary that

they should be properly pronounced, giving them their due sulness and extent. Children should therefore be taught to dwell some time upon that vowel of the diphthong which will admit of it. In some, the first vowel is to be prolonged, as oi- where the sound a is prolonged and closed with y short. In others, the latter sound is to be prolonged, and the first rapidly passed over, as i-. If this be not attended to, the diphthongs may be reduced almost to the state of simple vowels, and lose much of their peculiar beauty.

Having confidered the nature of our simple founds and diphthongs, I shall now proceed to make some observations upon syllables.

As a letter is a simple found, which cannot be divided into other simple founds; so a syllable is an articulate found, which cannot be divided into other articulate sounds, excepting when formed by a diphthong. Every vowel is an articulate sound, and can of itself form a syllable; but the first, or short vowels, seldom form syllables of themselves, except the particle a, as a man, a house. The second and third, or the long vowels, and diphthongs, form syllables without the conjunction of confonants. A syllable can have but one vowel, or diphthong, by its definition; but it may

contain four, or even five confonants, whose founds may be distinctly perceived.

In fyllables, as in letters, two things are chiefly to be confidered; quality, and quantity. The quality is to be considered in a twofold manner; either with regard to fweetness and harshness; or strength and weakness. With regard to sweetness, the union of the long vowels and diphthongs, with the femivowels, forms the most pleasing founds; and their different value, with respect to each other, may be estimated by the rank of their component letters, which has already been settled. Whilst the union of the short vowels with the mutes, and the liquid r, forms the harsher and less pleasing syllables. The different intermixture of these, that is, of the long vowels and diphthongs with mutes; or of short vowels with femivowels, compose an infinite variety of founds of different degrees of sweetness, according to the nature and predominance of the letters which form them.

Their strength and weakness also depend upon the same principle, only with a reversal of the rule. Those which contribute most to sweetness, are inseriour to their opposites in strength. Thus the short vowels in union with the mutes, and aspirated semivowels, and the liquid r, form the most forcible sounds; whilst

those

those composed of the long vowels, and semivowels, are inseriour in strength, though superriour in sweetness. Their strength depends upon a sudden and more forcible impetus of the breath and voice, which is the case of the short vowels preceding the mutes, and aspirated semivowels. Their sweetness, which takes off from their strength, upon the more equable slow of the voice, which is the case of the long vowels and diphthongs, either separately sounded, or in their union with semivowels.

As the blending of vowels in diphthongs, gives the greatest sweetness to syllables, so the union of two or more consonants in one syllable, gives the greatest strength. And the union of those sounds is at the same time more grateful to the ear, when the consonants mix easily, than simple sounds, in the same manner as diphthongs are more pleasing than simple vowels. This gives a greater value to syllables, in the same way as gold is estimated above silver, because the weight is so much greater in the same solid contents.

Perhaps there is no language in the world fo happy in this respect as the English; as I shall have occasion to shew when I come to treat of words. The Greeks began many syllables with two, and sometimes three consonants, but seldom concluded any with more

than one. The Romans began few of their native fyllables with more than a fingle confonant, and feldom concluded them otherwife. The advantage, which a contrary conduct has given ours over those two celebrated languages, shall be pointed out hereaster.

As to the other property of syllables, that of quantity, I shall defer speaking of it till I come to the article of poetic numbers.

In teaching fyllables, the prefent method of taking the letters as they lie in alphabetical order, should by no means be followed; but children should be taught according to the natural order of the confonants, as they have been divided into their respective classes, beginning with the labial, thence proceeding through the dental, to the palatine. Great care should be taken to make them complete the founds of the final mutes, fo as that they may be rendered perfectly distinct, in the manner before defcribed; and they should be made to dwell fome time upon the founds of the femivowels. In uttering the fyllables there cannot be too much attention paid, to prevent their falling into any peculiar tone or cant, which they are always apt to do without fuch caution. fyllables should be pronounced in neither a higher, nor lower pitch of voice, than they use in common discourse; only they should be delivered with more force, or a greater degree of loudness,

loudness, which will help to strengthen the voice. And, in dwelling upon syllables, care should be taken that it should only be the same note prolonged, and not changed to any other. The reason of which precautions will hereaster appear.

When they come to unite fyllables together, fo as to form words, they should not be suffered to do it according to the abfurd fantastic mode of spelling hitherto laid down and practifed; but they should be taught to take in all the letters into the same syllable, which are kept together in utterance; which, furely, is the most obvious and rational method. Thus the words. habit, widow, rather, should not be divided in the usual way, ha-bit, wi-dow, ra-ther; but hab-it, wid-ow, rath-er. This rule of dividing fyllables, is fo plain and manifestly proper, that nothing but a total neglect in this, as in almost all other articles, of preserving any analogy between writing and speech, could have prevented its taking place.

There is another very improper division of fyllables, in general use, in all words where the letter i precedes a vowel in the same fyllable; such as question, bestial, region; or the vowel e, as in righteous, courteous. For, in all instances of this fort, these vowels coalesce in English, and form diphthongs, so as to make but one syllable. Whereas in the usual

mode of dividing them they seem to form two. Thus, instead of quest-ti-on, best-ti-al, righteous, they ought to be divided into two syllables only, as quest-tion, best-tial, righteous, in the manner in which they are pronounced, and always used in metre. The French indeed, in all words of this species, divide the vowels from each other in pronunciation, and make two syllables instead of one, and therefore they are right to separate them in spelling.

when the self-district to builty the - 11 wa nier bybiste se er seene rett "Dont

LECTURE, II. and always olded the process of the Foresto and

cheel, so all some a HAVING treated in my former Lecture of letters and fyllables, I shall now proceed to confider words.

As fyllables are composed of letters, so words are composed of syllables; yet a single letter may form a fyllable, and a fingle fyllable, a word. Every articulate found is a fyllable, and every vowel is an articulate found; therefore every vowel can by itself form a syllable: but no confonant can form a syllable, unless in conjunction with fome vowel, from which property they have obtained their name. As the nature of fyllables depends upon the nature of the letters whereof they are composed, some coalescing with ease, and others not mixing without difficulty; fo the nature of words depends upon the same principle; and they are fmooth or harsh to the ear, in proportion as each subsequent syllable is with ease or difficulty pronounced, after each preceding one. Their strength or weakness also, evidently depend upon those properties in their component fyllables.

Beside these properties in words, of sweetness or harshness, strength or weakness, there is another quality to be attended to, which is, expression; or the peculiar aptness of some words, to stand as symbols of certain ideas, preferably to others. And this aptness arises from different causes: the first and most striking is that of imitation; from which proceed those that may be called mimical founds; such as the baa of sheep, the his of serpents, the mew and purr of cats, the howl of the wolf, the bray of an ass, the whinny of a horse, the kaw of the crow, the cooing of doves, the croak of the raven, the name of the cock, from the noise made by that bird, whence its name, it is faid, is almost universal in all languages; and many others of the like kind. Such words contain a power of expression from a natural resemblance, which can never belong to figns merely instituted. After these mimical words, whose whole founds are nearly the same with those formed by the several animals from which they are taken, there is another class, which bears a fainter resemblance. merely from fome letters contained in them, which were borrowed from the animal world. Thus among the vowels the a was borrowed from the crow, the a from the goat, the a from the sheep, the o from the dove, the o from the ox, the ow from the dog, &c. Of the confonants, we borrowed the B from the sheep, K from the crow, M from the ox, R from the dog, S from the serpent, th from the goose.

We have also sounds resembling those made by inanimate objects. Thus. F is like the sound of winds blowing through certain chinks. V is the noise made by some spinning wheels when rapidly moved. Sh is the sound made by squibs and rockets previous to explosion. S by the slight of darts. Ng by a bell. These also may be referred to the imitative or mimical class.

All founds too made by the collision of bodies, find letters in the alphabet peculiarly fitted to be their representatives. These sounds are strong or weak, clear or obtuse, long or short; and these properties have been already shewn to exist in the letters, according to their several classes. Thus the mutes and short vowels are best fitted to express short sounds: the femivowels and long vowels, fuch as are of any continuance: the pure semivowels, the clear; the mutes, the obtuse sounds: the aspirated letters, the strong; the simple, the weaker founds. Thus the words pat, tap, slap, expreffing fhort and quick founds, end in mutes preceded by short vowels; whereas the toll of the bell, expressive of a continuing found, con-

fifts of a long vowel and a femivowel. To this class also may be referred the murmuring, purling, bubbling, gurgling of waters. All words of these several kinds, being representatives of ideas that come into the mind through the ear, may have a natural refemblance to their archetypes, from a fimilarity of found: but there is also an expressive power, in words which represent ideas that come into the mind through the other fenses, and which, though from the nature of things they cannot have the least similarity to those ideas, yet have a certain congruity with them, which makes them fitter to represent those ideas, than words of a different construction. To confirm this by examples. The words beginning with the confonants ftr, fignify force, and generally exertion of force. As strong, strength, strive, stride, struggle, strain, stretch, strenuous, stress, Atrut, &c.

Here we are to observe that in this combination of consonants, the first letter is formed by the sharp force of the breath in a hissing sound, which is interrupted by a pure mute t, that borrows its sound not from a vowel but the semivowel r, with which it unites itself with difficulty, and therefore occasions the harsh sound of that roughest and strongest of our consonants, to be heard in its sull force. This powerful

powerful found therefore, which requires a strong exertion of the organs of speech, is well suited to express ideas of sorce exerted.

When the r is omitted, and f only begins a fyllable, it is still expressive of strength, but in a less degree, and without so much exertion. As, stand, stay, steady, stedfast, stout, sturdy, stick, stiff, stop, stubborn.

Thr- marks a violent motion; as in the words throw, thrust, throb, throng, &c. In this combination the consonant th formed by an effort of the thickened breath, pushes out

an effort of the thickened breath, pushes out the sound of the r with uncommon force.

Sw marks a filent agitation, or a gentler and more equable motion. As in the words swim, swing, swift, &c. Here motion is marked by the letter s formed by the breath, but it has not the sharp hissing sound as in the former case, when it preceded the mute t, slowing here easily into the vowel w, which melting also into another vowel, and forming a diphthong, qualifies the conjunction to express gentle or equable motion.

Sp- denotes a diffipation or expansion, and generally a quick one; as spit, sputter, spatter, spill, spread, spring, sprinkle, split, splinter, sparkle. In this combination the sharp hissing sound of the letter s, is suddenly stopped by an entire closing of the lips in forming the labial p, and then bursts out again with great force

E 4

upon the sudden separation of the lips in forming the p, and rapidly proceeds till it unites with the next accented letter, and if that be a pure mute, till the word be finished. As in spatter spatterer, sputter sputterer.

In the word sparkle, sp-denotes dissipation; ar- an acute crackling; k- a sudden interruption; l- a frequent iteration. Whoever has the curiosity to examine many other of the forementioned words in the same way, will find that every letter in them contributes to their expressive power.

sl- denotes motion, but of a more equable kind, as flow, slide, sling, slip. Here the motion given by the s- is smoothed by the sweetest of liquids.

Ash- this termination of a syllable indicates fomething acting more nimbly and sharply; as clash, slash, gash, crash. But

Ush- implies something acting forcibly, though not with such nimbleness or smartness; as crush, rush, gush, slush, blush, push. The cause of the different expression in these two is, that the open vowel a, forms the first syllables, the obscure u, the second. And the consonant sh, formed by an effort of the thickened breath, is well calculated to express exertion of action in both.

Ing- implies the continuation of a motion or tremor, at length indeed vanishing, but not suddenly

fuddenly interrupted; as in fwing, fing, fling, fling, Whilst the termination

Ink- closing with a pure mute, indicates a fudden ending; as in clink, blink, wink. The first ing- being borrowed from the sound of a bell, whose noise continues long after a stroke, is naturally fitted to express the first ideas; the other ink- borrowed from the clinking of metal, the latter. If there be an l added to these terminations, there is implied a frequent iteration of the acts; as in jingle, tingle, mingle; tinkle, sprinkle, twinkle. But still the acts expressed by ing, are not so sudden or evanefcent, as those by ink. Jingle expresses a longer duration, as well as something more forcible, than tinkle; mingle than sprinkle, tingle than twinkle.

This expressiveness of words is every where to be found in our tongue. Such as, squeek, squeel, squall, scream, shriek, shrill, shrivel, hiss, jar, hurl, whirl, yell, harsh, burst, patter, spatter, crackle, and numberless others. On which account, Wallis declares that he was not acquainted with any language comparable to the English in this respect; and he was certainly master of a great number. Dr. Johnson, on the other hand, in quoting Wallis's remarks on this head, says, that they are such as perhaps might in every language be enlarged without end. Yet surely in the very constitu-

tion and genius of our tongue, it may be shewn that we necessarily have advantage over the Greek and Roman in this respect. They wanted several of our semivowels, which are powerful and expressive sounds; and most of the combinations of consonants at the conclusion of syllables and words, above quoted, as well as those formerly mentioned under the head of syllables, were unknown to them: and yet it is in these combinations chiefly, that the expressive power resides.

But there is another reason drawn from the nature of the different tongues, that ours must have the preference in this respect; because their languages were declined, ours undeclined. Supposing therefore an equal number of words originally as expressive as those in ours, yet those words, in their several changes passing through the declensions or conjugations, and having their terminating syllables rendered conformable to all of the same class, must lose a great deal of the expression belonging to the primitive word; whereas ours remain always the same, except in a few instances where there is the addition of a single letter.

It is well known with regard to the two modern languages held in chief estimation, I mean the Italian and French, that the Italians consulting softness and sweetness of sound, more than strength and expression, have industriously

dustriously avoided double and treble consonants in the formation of their fyllables; and the French have carried the matter fo far, that in reading they never found the final confonant of a word at all; as it is always mute before a following word beginning with a confonant, and is transferred to the first fyllable of the next word when it commences which a vowel. They plume themselves upon this, as a piece of reformation that has turned out much to the advantage of their feveral tongues; and are apt to charge ours with barbarism, on account of the number of consonants that still are retained in our fyllables. But in making this charge, they, in many cases, judge by the eye, not the ear. Several of our simple sounds being marked by two letters, are counted as fuch by them, though in reality they have the power only of one; fuch as the two founds of our th, that of sh, and ng. The conjunction of gb, which makes fuch an uncouth figure to a foreign eye, is always filent, except when it takes the found of f; and in the junction of gn in one syllable the g is always silent; with many more of the same nature. Through the want of inquiring into the true genius and powers of our own tongue ourselves, we are too apt to admit whatever criticisms foreigners are pleafed to make on our language, and to acquiesce under whatever censure they throw

out. Nothing is more common than to hear natives of this country acknowledging the justness of the charge, which foreigners make against the English tongue, that of abounding too much in consonants: and yet upon a fair examination it would appear, that we have no more than what contribute to strength and expression. If the vowels be considered as the blood, the confonants are the nerves and finews of a language; and the strength of syllables formed of fingle confonants, like fingle threads, must be infinitely inferiour to such as have feveral as it were twifted together. On fuch an inquiry it would be found, that probably in no language in the world, have the vowels. diphthongs, femivowels, and mutes, been fo happily blended, and in such due proportion. to constitute the three great powers of speech. melody, harmony, and expression. And upon a fair comparison it would appear, that the French have emasculated their tongue, by rejecting fuch numbers of their confonants; and made it resemble one of their painted courtezans adorned with fripperies and fallals. That the German, by abounding too much in harsh confonants and gutturals, has great fize and strength, like the statue of Hercules Farnese, but no grace. That the Roman, like the bust of Antinous, is beautiful indeed, but not manly. That the Italian has beauty, grace,

and fymmetry, like the Venus de Medicis, but is feminine. And that the English alone refembles the antient Greek, in uniting the three powers of strength, beauty, and grace, like the Apollo of Belvidere.

But all the powers of found must remain in a state of confusion or impenetrable darkness, while the custom continues of applying ourfelves wholly to the study of the written language, and neglecting that of speech. When the art of reading with propriety shall have been established, and produced its effects, a new field will be opened to our writers, unknown to their predecessors, for composition both in poetry and profe; which will display, in a new light, the vast compass of our language in point of harmony and expression, from the same cause which produced similar effects at Rome, in the writers of the Ciceronian or Augustan age. For it was at that period that the Romans first applied themselves to the cultivation of the living language, having before, like us, employed themselves wholly about the written. How is it possible indeed, that the compass and harmony whereof an instrument is fusceptible, can be perceived, if the keys are either touched at random, or only a few fimple airs played upon it learned by ear?

But to return to my subject. I have given many instances of the power of expression, in multitudes

multitudes of our words, and shewn the causes' of it. But this power does not reside in the mere letters which compose the words; it depends on the due force given to them in utterance. No letter so harsh, which may not be fostened; fo strong, which may not be weakened; and vice versa. The long may be shorted, and the short lengthened. And all this depends upon the management of the voice. I shall therefore lay down some principles, and from them deduce fome general rules, for the proper pronunciation of confonants. The found of fome of the confonants is difagreeable when continued; of others not. Of the first kind are, m, r, s, f, e/b, ezb, etb; of the latter, l, n, v, z, ing. M, having its found entirely through the nofe, is disagreeable if it continues any length of time after its formation, as it refembles more the lowing of oxen, than an articulate found. R, when continued, is also a harsh found, like the snarling of curs. S is only a hifs, like that of ferpents. F, prolonged, refembles the blowing of wind, and like s, retains no mark of an articulate found after it is once formed. Ezb. esh, eth, eth, have too much of the breath in forming them to make their found agreeable when continued. The only consonants therefore that can be prolonged without offending the

the ear, are the fernivowels, L, N, eV, eZ, iNg.

To confirm all this by instances:

If we dwell upon the letter m, in pronouncing the words fome come, instead of sum cum, it offends the ear. This rule is general in unimpassioned discourse; but in emotions of the mind, where other notes are added as their marks, the prolonging of those notes, even on the sound of the m, may become pleasing, by the additional expression which it gives. As in the enthusiasm of Phædra, where she says—

Come—o'er the hills pursue the bounding stag, Come—chase the lion, and the soamy boar, Come—rouze up all the monsters of the wood; For there, even there, Hippolitus shall guard

me.

Where the dwelling on the found of the m isomore beautiful, than if it were pronounced short in the following manner—

Come o'er the hills pursue the bounding stag,

Come chase the lion, &c.

But it is only in cases of this kind that this use of m is to be allowed.

That the found of the r, if continued, is difagreeable, will be obvious upon pronouncing any words fo, in which that letter closes a fyllable with the accent upon it. As for' firt ter'rour.

ter'rour. Though it has nothing unpleasing in it when the accent is on a preceding vowel, by which its sound is softened; as in the words fár, bárb, chárm. The difference which the seat of the accent makes will be made more perceptible, if in the latter instances we transfer it to the consonant; as far', bar'b, char'm. The sound of this letter is never to be prolonged, except for the sake of expression. As in the following lines of Milton—

——arms on armour clashing bray'd Horrible discord; and the madding wheels Of brazen fury raged.

Calabria from the hoarse Trinacrian shore.

————on a sudden open fly,
With impetuous recoil and jarring sound,
Th' infernal doors, and on their hinges grate
Harsh thunder.

In these, and the like instances, the r cannot be pronounced too forcibly. Such as,

The screech owl skreeking loud——
The shrieks of death through Berkley's tow'rs that ring,

Shrieks of an agonizing King.

Loud founds the ax, redoubling strokes on strokes,

On all sides round the forest hurls her oaks
Headlong;

Headlong; deep-echoing groan the thickets brown,

Twang'd short and sharp, like the shrill swallow's cry.

But in the following lines of Shakespeare, The raven himself's not hoarse, That croaks the fatal enterance of Duncan Under these battlements—

the found of the r is to be fostened, as lady Macbeth, by these words, does not mean to convey an unpleasant idea.

The power of f, when preceded by a short u, is often expressive of the idea; as in the words bluff, gruff, rough, tough, rebuff, &c. and in these cases its sound may be continued. It should also be forcibly pronounced, whenever expression demands it. As—

But with the froward he was fierce as fire.

The five semivowels, which are in their own nature agreeable to the ear, when their sound is continued, are l, n, v, z, ng; and of these l is by far the sweetest. Examples of

L. Swell the bold note—
Fulf it your pleasure—
whilst horror chill

66 THE ART OF READING

Thrīlls thro' my veins——
It pūlls my heartstrings——

Of N.

Can we then bear, &c.

Begin then fifters of the facred well—

and add thy name

O fun, to tell thee how I hate thy beams.

Who would not life for Lyceday

Of V.

Have we foon forgot the fatal day?

And can I live to fee her ravish'd from me?

— forget! forgive!

I must indeed forget, when I forgive.

to to hop aids no tales box day or

Of Z.

This character feldom ends a syllable, but its place is supplied by s, which borrows its sound.

As,—It was, but is no more. Nor is the continuation of its found so agreeable as that of the others, there being too much of the breathmixed with it; but it is often very expressive.

As—
the waves

Buzzing and booming round my wretched head:

with red hot spits

Come hizzing in upon them.

Of Ng.

—— then springs as broke from bonds—
Who would not sing for Lycidas—
Wings his steep flight.—

Rules to be observed in founding the con-

- t. None of them are to be prolonged, except when the accent is upon them; which can only happen when preceded by a fhort founding vowel. As, tell, can, come. When a long found precedes, the voice must dwell on the vowel, and take in the consonant to the syllable in its shortest sound; otherwise, were they both dwelt upon, the syllable would take up the time of two long sounds, and would therefore seem to be two. As va le rai n bra ve day s. This is an article very necessary to be attended to by the natives of Scotland, who are apt to prolong the sound of a semi-vowel, after a long vowel.
- 2. Their found is never to be prolonged, except in monofyllables, or final fyllables of other words. As,

68 THE ART OF READING.

But we must not say,

The swel-ling note—

Fulfil-ling all—

The can-nons roar, &c.

For this would be to transgress the fundamental laws of accent (the nature of which shall presently be explained) by separating syllables from words to which they belong, and transferring them to the next. Yet, in cases of emotion, for the sake of expression, this rule may be transgressed. As,

O bal-my breath!
Go bar-barous man!

Būz-zing and boo-ming round my wretched head-

3. Neither confonant, nor vowel, are to be dwelt upon beyond their common quantity, when they close a fentence. Thus in this line,

And if I lose thy love—I lose my all—

The found of the word love may be prolonged, as the fense is not completed; but that of all, though equally emphatical, must not be continued beyond its common time, as it closes the sense. If we transpose the members of the line, the thing will be reversed; as thus—

I lose my all-if I should lose thy love.

Here the time is increased in the word all, and that of love reduced to its common quantity.

This rule is also very necessary to be attended to by the natives of Scotland, as the dwelling upon the last words of sentences, constitutes one material difference between the English speech and theirs.

4. When consonants begin a word, or a syllable, they must be founded short; and great care must be taken that before their union with the following letter, they be not preceded by any confused sound of their own. This is very disagreeable to the ear, and is destructive of all proportion of quantity in syllables, and yet is no uncommon fault. The not attending to this in pronouncing the letter s, has been the chief cause of our language being called by foreigners the Hissing Language, though, in reality, it does not abound so much in that letter as either the Greek or Roman; the final s, with us, having, for the most part, the found of z. But if care be not taken early in forming the pronunciation, people are apt to contract a habit of hissing before they utter the found of s, at the beginning of syllables, as well as of continuing it at the end. As 'so have I 'seenfoftly a while-fome men there are-

Was it for this I 'sent thee to the pass-

That the disagreeableness of this letter arises wholly from the continuation of its sound, will appear from repeating properly the following

lines, which contain a great number of them, and yet are certainly of a fine melody:

——— fweet remembrance fooths
With Virtue's kindest looks his aching breast,
And swells his foul to rapture.

This confused found at the beginning of words is equally disagreeable in all the semi-vowels; as, 1-ove, 1-oyal, m-ighty, n-ever, r-ight, their, theose, second Upon the whole, after observing these rules, whenever the power of the consonants is particularly suited to the expression, their sound should be ensorced; when otherwise, sostened.

Having examined all the component parts of words, I shall now enter upon a discussion of that article, which constitutes the very essence of words, as distinguished from their component letters or syllables.

As words may be formed of various numbers of fyllables, from one up to eight or nine, it was necessary that there should be some peculiar mark to distinguish words from mere syllables, otherwise speech would be nothing but a continued succession of syllables, without conveying ideas; for, as words are the marks of ideas, any confusion in the marks, must cause the same in the ideas for which they stand. It was, therefore, necessary, that the mind should at once perceive, what number of syllables

fyllables belong to each word, in utterance. This might be done by a perceptible pause at the end of each word in speaking, in the same manner as we make a certain distance between them in writing and printing. But this would make discourse disgustingly tedious; and though it might render words distinct, would make the meaning of sentences confused. They might also be sufficiently distinguished by a certain elevation or depression of the voice upon one fyllable of each word, which was the practice of some nations, as shall presently be explained. But the English tongue has, for this purpose, adopted a mark of the easiest and simplest kind; which is called accent. By accent is meant, a certain stress of the voice. upon a particular letter of a fyllable, which distinguishes it from the rest, and at the same time distinguishes the syllable itself to which it belongs, from the others which compose the word. Thus, in the word bab'it, the accent upon the b distinguishes that letter from the others, and the first syllable from the last. Add more fyllables to it, and it will still do the same; as bab'itable. In the word repute, the u is the distinguished letter, and the syllable, which contains it, the distinguished syllable. But if we add more fyllables to it, as in the word, replutable, the feat of the accent is changed to the first syllable, and p becomes

72

130119 .

the distinguished letter. Every word in our language, of more syllables than one, has one of the fyllables distinguished from the rest in this manner, and every monofyllable has a letter. Thus in the word hat', the t is accented, in háte, the vowel a. In cub', the b; in cúbe, the u. Hence every word in the language, which may properly be called fo, has an accent; for the particles, fuch as a, the, to, in, &c. which are unaccented, can scarce be called words, which feems to be implied in the name given to them, and they are the fitter to difcharge their office, by this difference made between them. So that as articulation is the effence of fyllables, accent is the effence of words; which, without it, would be nothing more than a mere fuccession of syllables. Thus fimple as the state of the English accent is, there is no article of speech has occasioned more perplexity in those who have treated of it, merely by confounding it with the accents of the ancients, which were quite different things. There is no subject of antiquity which has more puzzled the literary world than that of the Greek accents; the marks of which have come down to us with their books, but the use of them is utterly unknown. This gave rise to a controversy, which was carried on for a great length of time, by some of the most learned

men, in different parts of Europe; but it ended, as most controversies do, when people are not mafters of their subject, without producing any thing fatisfactory to the world, upon that head. It was lately revived by a very learned gentleman in England, with no better success; for whoever will take the pains of reading Dr. Foster's Book upon Accents, though he may fee in it great marks of erudition, and deep reading, will find himself as much in the dark, as he was before. These several controvertists have proved their opponents to be wrong, but none have been able to establish what is right. And this arose from the same cause, which I have had occasion to mention before, that these men of letters were treating of a subject which regarded founds, in which they were unskilled. Let me now try, without equal pretensions to literary merit, whether the greater attention which I have given to founds, will not enable me to clear away all the difficulties in which this intricate subject has been hitherto involved.

I have faid, that the chief reason of the confusion, which has appeared in the writings of all who have treated of that subject, is, that they did not see the difference between the use of the ancient and modern accent. Together with the term, they have also adopted their definition; whereas in reality they are two

things utterly distinct. The ancient accents confifted in the elevation or depreffion of the voice: the English accent, in the mere stress of the voice, without any change of note. Among the Greeks, all fyllables were pronounced either in a high, low, or middle note. or elfe in a union of the high and low by means of the intermediate. The middle note, which was exactly at an equal distance between the high and the low, was that in which the unaccented fyllables were pronounced. But every word had one letter, if a monofyllable, or one syllable, if it consisted of more than one, distinguished from the rest; either by a note of the voice perceptibly higher than the middle note, which was called the acute accent; or by a note perceptibly and in equal proportion lower than the middle one, which was called the grave accent; or by a union of the acute and grave on one fyllable, which was done by the voice paffing from the acute, through the middle note, in continuity down to the grave, which was called the circumflex.

Now in pronouncing English words, it is true that one syllable is always distinguished from the rest, but it is not by any perceptible elevation or depression of the voice, any high or low note that it is done, but merely by dwelling longer upon it, or giving it a more forcible stroke. When the stress or accent is

on the vowel, we dwell longer on that fyllable than the rest. As, in the words, glory, father, hóly. When it is on the consonant, the voice. passing rapidly over the vowel, gives a smarter stroke to the consonant, which distinguishes that fyllable from others: as, in the words, bat'tle, hab it, bor'row. Thus we fee, that the whole difference between the ancients and us, lies in this; that they diffinguished one fyllable from the rest by a change of note upon it; and we diftinguish it equally well, without any change of note, by stress only. To illustrate this, let us suppose the same movements beat upon the drum, and founded by the trumpet. Take, for instance, a succession of words, where the accent is on every fecond fyllable, which forms an Iambic movement; the only way by which a drum (as it is incapable of any change of notes) can mark that movement, is by striking a fost note first, followed by one more forcible, and fo in succession. Let the fame movement be founded by the trumpet, in an alternation of high and low notes, and it will give a distinct idea of the difference between the English accent, and those of the ancients.

The difficulty of conceiving the use of the ancient accents, arises from our never having heard any people speak, who had taken the pains to reduce their common mode of utter-

ance, like finging, to a musical proportion: for, furely there is nothing in the nature of things, to prevent our modifying the various notes of the speaking voice, by a due proportion, any more than those of the finging voice. We know for certain, that the Greeks and Romans did modulate their feveral languages in that way, and carried the point to perfection; though in this we do not find they were ever followed by any other people. Yet I think I shall be able to point out clearly to the most common apprehension, what the use of accents was among the ancients, by an example with which we are all acquainted, I mean the speech of the inhabitants of North Britain; with whom, the three kinds of accents used by the Greeks, are constantly employed in common discourse, but in an irregular and discordant state.

It is indeed the use of these accents chiefly, which renders the northern speech so disagreeable to the ear; and yet it was to accents, or tones of the same nature, that the Greek owed that delightful melody, which captivated the ears of all who heard it spoken. The only difference is, that these accents or tones, being left wholly to chance among the Scots, are void of proportion, and discordant; whereas the Greek accents, being regulated with the utmost pains and art, by that nation of orators, obtained

tained a musical proportion, which delighted the ear with accordant founds. But I am to shew you, that the Scots have in constant use. accents of the fame nature as those of the Greeks; that is, that every word they utter. has a fyllable diffinguished by an acute, grave, or circumflex. The best way to prove this, and at the same time to point out the difference between the Scotch and English accent, will be to open a dictionary, and let a Scotchman who speaks no other dialect but that of his own country, pronounce any number of detached words, such as battle, borrow, habit, &c. The Scotchman utters the first syllable, in a middle note, dwelling on the vowel; and the fecond, with a fudden elevation of the voice, and short, As ba-tle, bau-ro, ha-bit. The Englishman utters both syllables without any perceptible change of tone, and in equal time; as bat'tle, bor'row, hab'it. Shew a Scotchman any poly-, fyllable, with the stress on the antepenultima, or last syllable but two, and you will perceive a low or grave note on that fyllable, followed by a higher on the next, and ending in a very acute, or fuddenly elevated note; as in the words political, phenomenon. Shew him any diffyllable, with the stress on the last, and you will perceive that he always uses a circumflex on the last vowel; that is, he begins the found of the vowel in a low note, and finishes it in a high

high one. As in the words—bef'o're—beh'i'nd -bel'o'w-They also use the circumflex on all monosyllables, except particles; such as, pastbôth-bâll-vês-nô. Whereas an Englishman never uses more than one note, upon one vowel, and therefore is utterly unacquainted with the circumflex. Every word, in every fentence that a Scotchman utters, has one of these accents belonging to it; which has given rife to the term canting or chanting, applied to their pulpit elocution; fo disgusting to an English ear, as being at once discordant, and quite opposite to the genius of the English tongue. The discordance of this chant, arises from the abuse of these accents; which are so far from being regulated by the just rules of the Greeks and Romans, that for the most part they are quite opposite to them. Thus, among the ancients, the acute, or high note, was generally placed upon the penultima or antepenultima, where the Scotch place the grave; and feldom on the last fyllable, never among the Romans: whereas every last syllable in the Scotch is acuted. In the circumflex, the ancients began with an acute, and ended with a grave; the Scots begin with a grave, and end with an acute. The general process of the ancients was, from high to low; that of the Scots, in an opposite direction, from low to high.

Thus the fentences of the Scotch always finish with a high note, directly opposite to all principles of music, as well as sense; since nature herself seems to dictate a fall of the voice to mark that the fense is cloted; as the sustaining of it, points out that it is to be continued, according to the practice of the English. Thus, as the laws of the ancient accents, founded upon musical principles, produced melody those of the Scotch, which take an opposite direction, can produce only discord. Besides. these accents of the Scotch have never been fettled by any rule of proportion. Their degrees of elevation and depression are different in different shires and towns, as also in the individuals of the same place. With some, the distance between high and low is much greater; and the transitions from the one to the other, more fudden than with others; and they who use the more moderate pronunciation, such as the inhabitants of Edinburgh, find their ears as much offended by the tones of the natives of Inverness or Glasgow, as an Englishman is with those used at Edinburgh. Whereas the proportion between the ancient accents was fixed by a mufical fcale. Dionyfius of Halicarnassus informs us, that the acute and grave took in the compass of five notes; confequently the acute was a fifth above the grave, and each of them a third from the middle note: the acute, a third above it, and the grave at third below it; and the circumflex paffed from a fifth above, through a third, to a fifth below; fo that the diffinguished notes in speaking were always thirds and fifths, and consequently in a musical proportion.

If it be asked, how it was possible that these nice proportions could be observed in common discourse by a whole people; it may be answered, that this was a matter not left to chance. When the practice of the best orators of Greece had established the proportion of these accents, observation on the pleasing effects which fuch proportion produced on the ear, gave rife to the rules of art; and the children of all the better class of people were regularly taught these proportions, at the same time that they learned to read, by the same masters who taught the art of finging and playing upon musical instruments: for the use of a salse accent would have been an unpardonable fault in any one who attempted to speak in public. This uniformity in the higher class was easily transferred, by imitation and cuftom, to those of an inferiour order. And though, possibly, they, who had not the benefit of fuch regular instruction, might not be so critically exact in the use of those accents, as they who had, year the difference was but fmall; and we are particularly affured, that in Athens, where oratory

was at its highest pitch, the utterance of the lowest citizen was as correct, and his ear as pure, as in those of the first class.

As the English have but one accent, so they have but one mark in writing to point it out; and this mark is one of those used in Greek books, as it is pretended, to point out their accents, though in reality they are quite infignificant. But as if there were fome fatality, that every thing should contribute to puzzle this subject among the learned, our casually borrowing the mark of the acute accent from the Greek, has made them, by an affociation of ideas, confider every accented syllable with us, as elevated, or pronounced in a higher note than the rest. So that had the grave, instead of the acute, been adopted to be our mark, they would, upon the fame principle, have confidered all those syllables as depressed, or uttered in a lower note than the rest. But had we luckily pitched upon fome mark of our own, which had no fimilitude to any of the Greek accents, there never would have been the least question about high and low with regard to those syllables, and the learned would have fallen in of course with the general idea, that of its only marking the fyllable on which the stress of the voice is to be laid. For I' think I may appeal to all my hearers, whether upon any dispute about the pronunciation of a

word, when the question is asked upon which fyllable the accent ought to be laid, as, adver'tisement or advertisement, con'cordance or concórdance, it ever enters into their heads. that this question means, on which syllable the voice is to be raifed; or whether they do not understand it to be, on which syllable are we to lay the greatest stress. Indeed the very term itself the accent, shews we have but one, for had we more than one, they must be distinguished by different names as among the Greeks; and that one, I have clearly shewn to be a monotone, as before exemplified by the notes of a drum. The adventitious fense annexed to the term, from adopting the ancient definition, has been the chief cause of the many errours, and endless disputes upon this subject. But there have been also several other meanings annexed to this word, which have ferved to heighten the confusion. Sometimes it is used instead of emphasis; sometimes to express. the different dialects in pronunciation; and fometimes the peculiar tone or brogue of different countries; fuch as, the Scotch, Irish, or Welsh accent. But I shall always confine it, when speaking of the English accent, to its true meaning, as fet forth in the definition, which I shall here repeat. Accent is a certain stress of the voice upon a particular letter of a fyllable, which distinguishes it from the rest,

and at the fame time distinguishes the fyllable itself, to which it belongs, from the others in a word.

The only difference of our accent depends upon its feat, which may be either upon a vowel, or a confonant. Upon a vowel, as in the words glory, father, holy. Upon a confonant, as in the words hab'it, bor'row, bat'tle. When the accent is on the vowel, the fyllable is long, because the accent is made by dwelling on the vowel a longer time than usual. When it is on the confonant, the fyllable is short; because the accent is made by passing rapidly over the vowel, and giving a smart stroke of the voice to the following consonant. Thus the words, add, led, bid, rod, cub', are all fhort, the voice passing quickly over the vowel to the confonant; but for the contrary reason, the words, all, laid, bide, road, cube, are long; the accent being on the vowels, on which the voice dwells fome time, before it takes in the found of the consonant. Obvious as this point is, it has wholly escaped the observation of all our grammarians, prosodians, and compilers of dictionaries; who, instead of examining the peculiar genius of our tongue, implicitly and pedantically followed the Greek method, of always placing the accentual mark over the vowel. Now the reason of this practice among the Greeks was, that as their accents confifted

in change of notes, they could not be diffinally expressed but by the vowels; in uttering which, the paffage is entirely clear for the voice to iffue, and not interrupted or stopped, as in the case of pronouncing the consonants. But our accent being of another nature, can just as well be placed on a confonant as a vowel. By this method of marking the accented fyllable, our compilers of dictionaries, vocabularies, and fpelling books, must mislead provincials and foreigners, in the pronunciation of perhaps one half of the words in our language. For instance, if they should look for the word, endeavour; finding the accent over the vowel é, they will of course sound it endéa-vour. In the same manner ded icate will be called dé-dicate. precip'itate preci-pitate, phenom'enon phenómenon, and fo on through all words of the fame kind. And in fact, we find the Scots do pronounce all fuch words in that manner; nor do they ever lay the accent upon the confonant in any word in the whole language; in which, the diversity of their pronunciation from that of the people of England, chiefly consists. It is a pity that our compilers of dictionaries should have fallen into so gross an errour, as the marking of the accents in the right way, would have afforded one of the most general and certain guides to true pronunciation, that is to be found with respect to our tongue; as

it is an unerring rule throughout the whole, without a fingle exception, that whenever the accent is on the confonant, the preceding vowel has always its first short sound, as set forth in the scheme of vowels, and exemplified in the words, bat, bet, fit, not, cub. And indeed as accent is the chief clue we have to the whole pronunciation of our tongue, while its nature was misunderstood, and its use perverted, it was impossible that provincials and foreigners could ever attain it; and accordingly the difficulty of speaking English properly, has been found infurmountable to all, except the well-educated natives. To fuch I have but one rule to lay down with respect to the use of accent; which is, that they should always take care to lay it upon the same letter of the syllable in reading, as they are accustomed to do in common discourse, and never to lay any stress upon any other syllable. For there are few who either read aloud, or speak in public, that do not transgress this law of accent, by dwelling equally upon different syllables in the same word; fuch as, for-tune, nature, in'croachment', con'-jec'-ture, patien'ce, &c. But this is not uttering words but fyllables, which with. us are always tied together by an accent; as, fortune, nature, incroachment, conjec'ture, pátience. Any habit of this fort, gives an un-G 3

natural constrained air to speech, and should therefore be carefully avoided by all who deliver themselves in public.

Having done with words, I shall now proceed to consider sentences; the most important article in which, is that of emphasis.

* Emphasis, discharges in sentences, the fame kind of office, that accent does in words. As accent is the link which ties fylflables together, and forms them into words; fo emphasis unites words together, and forms them into fentences, or members of fentences. As accent, dignifies the fyllable on which it is laid, and makes it more distinguished by the ear than the rest; so emphafis, ennobles the word to which it belongs, and prefents it in a stronger light to the understanding. Accent, is the mark which diffinguishes words from each other, as simple types of our ideas, without reference to the mutual relation in which they fland to each other. Emphasis, is the mark which points out their feveral degrees of relationship, in their various combinations, and the rank which they hold in the mind. Were there no e accents, words would be resolved into their original fyllables: Were there no emphasis, fentences would be resolved into their origi-

^{*} Lecture 4th on Elocution:

* nal words; and, in this case, the hearer must be at the pains himself, first, of making out the words, and afterwards their meaning. Whereas, by the use of accent and emphasis, words, and their meaning, being pointed out by certain marks, at the same time they are uttered, the hearer has all trouble saved, but that of listening; and can accompany the speaker at the same pace that he goes, with as clear a comprehension of the matter offered to his consideration, as the speaker himself has, if he delivers himself well.

From this account it might appear, that emphasis is only a more forcible accent than ordinary, laid upon the word to which it belongs, and that it is exactly of the fame nature, differing only in degree of force; an opinion, which, to the great prejudice of elocution, has too generally prevailed. But there is an absolute and conflitutional difference, between accent and emphasis, as certainly there ought to be, which confifts in this; that every emphatic syllable, besides a greater stress, is marked also by a change of note in the voice. To shew the necessity of this, we need only observe. that the mind, in communicating its ideas, is in a continual state of activity, emotion, or agitation, from the different effects which those ideas produce on the mind of the speaker. Now, as the end of fuch communication is not merely to lay open the ideas, but also all the different feelings which they excite in him who utters them, there must be some other marks, beside words, to manifest these; as words uttered in a monotonous state, can only reprefent a fimilar state of mind, perfectly free from all activity or emotion. As the communication of these internal feelings, was a matter of much more consequence in our social intercourse, than the mere conveying of ideas; so the Author of our being did not leave the invention of this language, as in the other case, to man, but stamped it himself upon our nature, in the same manner as he has done with regard to the rest of the animal world, who all express their various feelings, by various tones. Only ours, from the superior rank that we hold, is infinitely more comprehensive; as there is not an act of the mind, an exertion of the fancy, or an emotion of the heart, which have not their peculiar tone, or note of the voice by which they are to be expressed, all fuited in the exactest proportion, to the feveral degrees of internal feeling. It is in the proper use of these tones chiefly, that the life, spirit, grace, and harmony of delivery confift; and the reason that this is a talent so rarely to be found, is, that almost all the nations of the world have loft fight of this language of nature, and substituted fantastical and artificial notes in its room. As this is a subject which has been involved in much obscurity, I shall endeavour to illustrate the whole, by examining the different modes which have been adopted by different nations, with regard to that part of language, which consists in the various tones or notes accompanying speech.

Languages may be divided into two classes, accentual, and emphatical. The accentual are those, in which various notes, or inflexions of the voice, are affixed to words, either in their separate state, or when united in sentences, without any regard to their meaning. The emphatical are those, in which all the various notes and changes of the voice are wholly regulated by the meaning of the words, and the fentiments which they contain. The accentual may again be subdivided into two classes. The one, where those variations of voice, or accents are wholly left to chance, without rule, without order, without proportion. The other, where the accents are fixed by certain rules, and their due relative proportions fettled by a kind of mufical fcale. Of the former fort are almost all the languages spoken by the different nations of the world, who have left the mode of utterance to chance and custom, and never thought of reducing speaking to an art. Of the latter, we know only

only of two instances since the creation of the world, and those are the languages of old Greece and Rome. But to one of these three forts, may all the languages spoken upon earth be referred. In order to throw a clearer light upon this subject, it will be necessary to trace these three different modes of utterance to their source. And first with regard to that which is certainly the most ancient, I mean the emphatical.

In the beginning, barbarous nations have Nature only for their guide, in their speech, as well as in every thing else. With them, therefore, all changes of the voice, and the different notes and inflexions used in uttering their thoughts, were the result of the acts and emotions of the mind, to each of which Nature herself has assigned its peculiar note. In this flate the people all speak the emphatic language, and the variety of founds, of course, refult from the nature of the fentiments which they express. In a calm state of mind, the notes of the voice, in unifon to that state, are little varied, and the words are uttered nearly in a monotone. When the mind is agitated by passion, or under any emotion whatsoever, the tones expressive of such passion or emotion spontaneously break forth, being unerring signs, fixed to fuch internal feelings by the hand of Nature, common to all men, and univerfally · intelligible,

intelligible, in the same manner as the founds and cries uttered by the several tribes of animals. When they emerge out of barbarism, in proportion as they grow civilized, their language will partake of the changes made in their manners, and become confonant to them. But, as in the progress towards improvement. the faculties of the mind by no means keep pace together, those of the fancy far outstripping the flow march of the intellect; the first changes will rather be fantastical, than rational, being produced by caprice, not judg-These men having observed in their natural speech, that a variety of notes from an animated mind, afforded more pleasure to the ear, than the monotony of one in a tranquil state, will begin to introduce a variety of notes into all fentences alike, whether expressive of emotion or not. But not having the wisdom of Nature to guide them, in fuiting each tone to its subject, both in kind and degree, they will be wholly unexpressive; and not having the art of measuring sounds, they will be void of proportion, and discordant. Thus the whole mass of their speech becomes infected by these artificial, unmeaning founds, and their utterance shocks every ear that is not inured to it. As these sounds are wholly fantastical, having no foundation in nature or reason, they will be found altogether different from each other

other in different places. From this principle we may trace that great diversity of tones or brogues, which infects the speech of the different nations of the world; and not only fo. but of the different provinces of the same nation, fpeaking one common language. Among us, not only the Scots, Welsh, and Irish, have their different brogues, but almost every county in England has one peculiar to itself: and that they are all disagreeable or absurd, is evident from this, that though each by custom is reconciled to his own, he is either difgusted with, or laughs at the others. These all take their rife from a natural principle in man, a love of variety; but where this principle acts only as a blind instinct, nothing orderly can be expected from it.

Let us suppose then such a nation, after having introduced those sentential tones, should consider them as ornamental, and, desirous to embellish their language still more, should think the best way of doing it, would be that of multiplying sounds of this fort, by affixing one to each word; we shall find here a natural and easy progress from sentential, to verbal accents. But still this is a farther deviation from Nature; and such multiplication of unmeaning sounds, not only deprives speech of that clearness and energy which it had, when there was never any change of note in the voice,

except what was the refult of meaning or fentiment; but if these notes should be void of all relative proportion to each other, the language will, according to the greatness of their number, be still more discordant, and consequently more disagreeable to an unprejudiced ear; of which I have before given an example in the intonation of the Scotch, which exactly correfponds to the state now described. Here we have the origin of verbal accents in their irregular state; which we have good reason to believe prevailed for a long time in Greece, in the same manner as at present in Scotland. Let us now endeavour to trace the causes, which probably reduced them to a state of order and regularity.

Supposing, in such a nation, the verbal accents to have been so incorporated with the speech, in a long succession of time, as to become inseparable from it; there is no way of rendering such a tongue agreeable to the ear, but that of reforming the irregularity of those accents, ascertaining their number, and reducing them to a musical scale. But what motive can there be, to attempt such a change, among a people utterly blind to any imperfection in their speech? or what means can be employed to overthrow the power of Custom, in an article where his sway is the most uncontrolled? Such a reformation would indeed baffle

baffle all the plans that the invention of man could form; and can only be produced, by a chain of necessary causes, acting in a long succession. In the first place the nation must be free, and all public affairs managed by speech, in public debates. When that is found to be the only road to power, all men defirous of obtaining it, will not fail to take all possible pains to cultivate the powers of elocution. The first efforts of oratory, will be exerted about the most effential objects, and to convince the understanding, and move the passions, will for a long time be the chief end of its labour. In process of time, men of inferiour talents, will try to succeed by different means. They will try to balance superiour strength of understanding, by fuperiour grace. They will employ all their art, to please the ear, and captivate the fancy. They will harmonize their delivery, by well-proportioned tones. The people, whose relish for sensual gratification is much quicker than for that of the intellectual kind, will listen to them with delight. The plain nervous orator will no longer gain attention; consequently will no longer have it in his power to persuade. What must he do in this case? He also must endeavour to acquire those ornamental parts of oratory, or hope no more to appear in public with fuccefs. Thus we find all who have talents for elocution, necessarily engaged engaged in the task of harmonizing their speech. The accents will of course, by repeated experiments, be at length reduced to a mufical proportion, as the furest means of delighting the ear. These proportions will at first, like music, be caught only by the ear; but as that grows more refined, and the ardour for the oratorial art increases, they will, like music, be reduced to rule, and methodically taught. In a nation, whose common speech is thus rendered musical, music itself will make a proportional progress. The masters in that art, will establish the use of accents upon invariable principles, and teach the art of regulating the speaking, as they do that of the singing voice. All who are desirous of opening the way to honour and preferment to their children, will not fail to have them so instructed, while the ear is uncorrupt, and the organs of speech flexible. Thus all public speakers will become uniform in their use of accents; and their auditors, accustomed to this uniformity, will of course catch it: and thus, a musical speech, will, in time, spread through a whole people, and uniformly prevail, among all ranks and classes of men. This progress of the regular accentual language to its perfection, is not deduced merely from speculation; but, were there occasion for it, might be shewn by very convincing arguments, to have been the real history

history of the advancement of the Greek, from its most rude, to its most refined state.

I shall now endeavour, in the same manner, to trace the progress of the other mode of speech, which I called the emphatical.

I have faid that the emphatical language was that which was originally spoken, in all barbarous countries, as the mode of uttering our fentiments distated by Nature herself. I have shewn the cause of the first deviations from this mode to be a love of variety, which is also a natural principle in man. I have pointed out the ill effects of this variety, when not regulated by just laws of proportion. I have shewn by what means such a proportion was introduced, and how a mufical speech became the vernacular one of a whole people. Of the accentual speech, I have mentioned two kinds; one, verbal, the other, fentential. In the former, every word had its accent; in the latter, accents fell upon certain words only as they happened to be placed in the sentence. The nature of the verbal accents, both in their irregular and regular state, has been sufficiently explained. It now remains to examine those of the fentential kind.

The only nations of antiquity that we know of, who used verbal accents, were the Greeks and Romans. The only modern one are the Scots; unless the Chinese also be an exception.

All other nations, as far as we can judge, have fallen into the mode of fentential accents. Sentential accents I have already explained to be, certain elevations and depressions of the voice, which fall at random upon words, according as they happen to be placed at the beginning, middle, or end of fentences, and which are used in all sentences alike. Such fort of accents, it is evident, can have no connexion with meaning; and not being adjusted to each other by any rule of proportion, cannot flatter the ear; consequently they can neither be useful, nor ornamental in speech. That accents of this fort are wholly arbitrary and fantastical, I have already shewn, not only from the example of different nations, using those of different kinds, but that of the inhabitants of the feveral provinces and counties of the same kingdom.

It is only by a reformation of this abuse, that the emphatical language, or that of Nature, can be restored; and when restored, it is by pains and culture alone, that this language of Nature can be brought to the highest degree of persection, of which the human speech is capable. Great advances have been made towards this, by the polite well educated natives of England; and to point out the means of effecting the rest, is the main end I have in view in delivering this course.

It is certain that the few natives of England who fpeak their language correctly, are entirely free from all tone, arising from sentential accents; and use no change of notes in common discourse, but what results from the meaning or fentiments. This was probably effected, without any formed defign on the part of men, in the following manner. We know that not only in Scotland, Ireland, and Wales, the natives of each use a different intonation, as well as pronunciation, in uttering English; but likewise in the several counties of England itfelf. In former days, therefore, we are to fuppose that the nobility and gentry, residing chiefly in the country, partook each of the dialect of the place where they lived; and when the splendour of a court, business of parliament, and other affairs, drew them to the capital, they brought with them each, their feveral brogues or modes of intonation. Such a variety of dialects will not long be fuffered in a feat of politeness; and the establishment of a uniformity of speech, as well as manners, will gradually take place. The disagreeableness of tones, in all the different dialects, to ears unaccustomed to them, will make them reject all alike. This will necessarily end in the restoration of the true natural mode of speech, I mean that of the emphatic kind, in which no changes of note in the voice will be used, but what

what refult from meaning and fentiment. There will be no other difference between this mode of speech, and that used by people in a state of barbarism, than what will naturally flow from more polished manners. The boisterous loudness of the founds, will be softened down, and rendered more temperate; and the harshness of the notes, fmoothed by proportions more agreeable to the ear. But still this mode of speech will extend no farther than the influence of the court can reach, and will be confined to people in polite life. The provinces and counties will still retain their own dialects. Nay, in the very metropolis itself, there may be two different modes of speech established; one, at the court end of the town; the other, in the city. And in fact we find this to be the case both in France and England. The reason that this true mode of utterance has hitherto been circumfcribed in fuch narrow bounds, and confined chiefly to common discourse, even among those who are in possession of it; without having made its way yet into all the various branches of public delivery, which the nature of our constitution requires, and where it would be of the greatest benefit, shall hereafter be shewn. At the same time infallible means will be pointed out, whereby it may be universally diffused through all ranks of people, in H 2 whatever

whatever part of the globe English shall be taught, according to the proposed method.

Having explained at large, the nature of the two kinds of language, as distinguished into accentual, and emphatical; it may be a matter of curiofity, to examine which of the two, upon a fair comparison, merits the preference? Though the discussion of this point may be confidered as of little use, farther than speculation, yet if it leads us to a discovery, that the mode of utterance which has fallen to our fhare, is in its own nature superior to that of the ancients, it may induce us to take pains to carry it to perfection, and obtain that superiority over them, to which we are thus entitled. In comparing them, let us suppose them both in a state of perfection. The accentual, certainly was, among the ancients: the emphatical, through want of attention, never has been fo, among us. But as the former has been wholly loft to us, the comparison can never be brought to the test of experiment; and therefore we are reduced to the necessity of confidering the point only hypothetically.

In order to judge which kind of language is best, we must first consider what are the ends, which ought to be proposed, in all attempts to bring language to perfection. They are two; one for use, the other for pleasure. To attain

the useful end, it is necessary to be able to communicate, all that passes in the mind of one man, to others. To attain the pleafurable end, that this should be done in such a way, as to delight and flatter the ear. The former, is the effential, the latter, the ornamental part of discourse. All that passes in the mind of man, may be reduced to two classes, which I shall call, Ideas and Emotions. By ideas, I mean, all thoughts which rife, and pass in succession, in the mind of man: by emotions, all exertions of the mind, in arranging, combining, and feparating its ideas; as well as all the effects produced on the mind itself, by those ideas, from the more violent agitation of the passions, to the calmer feelings, produced by the operations of the intellect and fancy. In short, thought, is the object of the one; internal feeling, of the other. That which ferves to express the former, I call the language of ideas; and the latter, the language of emotions. Words, are the figns of the one; tones, of the other. Without the use of these two sorts of language, it is impossible to communicate, through the ear, all that passes in the mind of man. But there is an effential difference between the two. which merits our utmost attention. The language of ideas is wholly arbitrary; that is, words, which are the figns of our ideas, have no natural connexion with them, but depend purely H 3

purely upon convention, in the different focieties of men, where they are employed; which is fufficiently proved, by the diversity of languages, spoken by the different nations of the world. But it is not so with regard to the language of emotions. Nature herself has taken care to frame that for the use of man; having annexed to every act, and feeling of the mind, its peculiar tone, which spontaneously breaks forth, and excites in the minds of others, tuned invariably by the hand of Nature in unison to those notes, analogous emotions. Whenever therefore man interferes, by fubstituting any other notes, in the room of those, which Nature has annexed to the acts and feelings of the mind, so far the language of emotions is corrupted, and fails of its end. For the chords of the human heart, thus tuned in unifon to the natural notes only, will never vibrate in correspondence to those of the artificial kind. These artificial notes are at best insignificant; when not regulated by certain rules of proportion, as in the irregular accentual, they are discordant to the ear, and deform utterance; and when reduced to the nicest mufical proportion, as in the regular accentual, the utmost effect they can produce, is, to delight the ear, and amuse the fancy. But whether this be not purchasing a sensual, or fantaffic gratification, at too dear a rate, by facrificing

crificing to it that endless variety of notes, annexed by Nature to that endless variety of thoughts and emotions, may justly bear a difpute. And however high my idea of the ancient orators may be, and whatever powerful effects may have been produced by their mode of delivery, I cannot help thinking that, with the same skill and ability in all the other branches of oratory, they would have produced effects still more powerful, had they delivered themselves in a language constituted like ours, the language of Nature, unfophisticated by Art. This may be illustrated by an instance of a fimilar kind: for I believe it will be allowed, that the finest opera, with all the charms and expression of music, and performed in the best manner possible, however it may delight the ear, and captivate the fancy, will not make an equal impression on the mind, or move the passions to so high a degree, as a well-acted tragedy, delivered with all the energy of emphatic speech.

From this account of emphasis, the proper use of it in reading, is clearly pointed out; and is to be acquired by a due degree of attention and practice. Every one who understands what he reads, cannot fail of finding out each emphatic word; and his business then is to mark it properly, not by stress only, as in the accented fyllables, but by a change of note,

THE ART OF READING.

fuited to the matter, which constitutes the effence of emphasis. If it be asked how the proper change of note is always to be hit upon, my answer is, that he must not only understand, but feel the sentiments of the author: as all internal feeling must be expressed by notes. which is the language of emotions; not words, the language of ideas; and if he enters into the spirit of the author's sentiments, as well as into the meaning of his words, he will not fail to deliver the words in properly varied tones. For there are few people who speak English without a provincial tone, that have not the most accurate use of emphasis, when they utter their fentiments in common discourse; and the reason that they have not the same use of it, in reading aloud the fentiments of others, is owing to the very defective and erroneous method, in which the art of reading is taught; whereby all the various, natural, expressive tones of speech, are suppressed, and a few artificial, unmeaning, reading notes are substituted in their room; which will be made more clear when I come to treat of stops.

There is no article, in which more frequent mistakes are committed, than in this important one of emphasis, both with regard to stress and tone. The chief reason, of this general abuse of emphasis, seems to be, that children are taught to read fentences, which they do not understand:

understand; and as it is impossible to lay the emphasis right, without perfectly comprehending the meaning of what one reads, they get a habit either of reading in a monotone, or if they attempt to distinguish one word from the rest, as the emphasis falls at random, the sense is usually perverted, or changed into nonsense. The way to prevent this, is, to put no book into their hands, which is not suited to their slender capacities; and to take care that they never read any thing, whose meaning they do not fully comprehend. The best way, indeed, of furnishing them with lessons for a long time, would be to take down their common prattle, and make them read it, just as they speak it; only correcting any bad habits they may have acquired in their utterance. Thus they will early be initiated into the practice of considering reading, to be nothing more than speaking at fight, by the affiftance of letters; in the fame manner as finging at fight is performed in music, by the help of notes. And as it is certain that Nature, if lest to herself, directs every one in the right use of emphasis, when they utter their own immediate fentiments, they will have the fame unerring rule to guide them after they have been written down; and in process of time, by constant practice in this way, they will be able to deliver the fentiments of others. from books, in the same manner. This will

be found the best method, not only of giving them a just and natural delivery in reading, but also of ensuring it to them when they come afterwards to speak in public.

With regard to persons more advanced in life, who have contracted a habit of neglecting, or misemploying emphasis in reading, the best way to remedy this will be, to dedicate a certain portion of time every day to reading aloud fome passages from books, written in an easy, familiar style; and, at every sentence, let them ask themselves this question. How should I utter this, were I speaking it as my own immediate fentiments? In that case, on what words should I lay the emphasis, and with what change of notes in the voice? Though at first they may find, that their former habit will counteract their endeavours in this new way, vet, by perseverance, they will not fail of fuccess; particularly if they will get each sentence by heart, for fome time, and revolve it in their minds with that view, without looking at the book. Nor should they be discouraged by frequent disappointments in their first attempts, but repeat the same sentence over and over, till they have fatisfied themselves. For it is not the quantity that they read, which is to be regarded in this case, but the right manner of doing it; and when they shall have mastered that in some instances, they will afterwards

terwards make a rapid progress, towards accomplishing it in all.

The next article to be considered is that of

pauses or stops.

Nothing has contributed fo much, and fo universally, to the corruption of delivery, as the bad use which has been made of the modern art of punctuation, by introducing artificial tones into all fentences, to the exclusion of the natural; for the teachers of the art of reading, in order to diffinguish, with greater accuracy, the stops from each other in utterance, annexed to them different notes of the voice, as well as different portions of time. Those which marked an incomplete sense, had an elevated note of the voice joined to them; those which marked a complete sense, a depressed, or low note. This uniform elevation and depression of the voice, in all sentences alike, produced a new kind of tone, which may be termed the reading brogue; with which all who learned to read, even fuch as were free from every other kind, became infected. I have often tried an experiment, to shew the great difference between these two modes of utterance, the natural, and artificial; which was, that when I found a person of vivacity, delivering his fentiments with energy, and of course with all that variety of tones which Nature furnishes, I have taken occasion to put fomething

108

fomething into his hand to read, as relative to the topic of conversation; and it was surprising to fee, what an immediate change there was in his delivery, from the moment he began to read. A different pitch of voice took place of his natural one, and a tedious uniformity of cadence, succeeded to a spirited variety; insomuch, that a blind man in company, would hardly conceive, that the person who read, was the same with him who had been just speaking. Nor is this brogue confined to reading only, but in general has made its way into all the feveral branches of public speaking: and this, from an obvious cause. Boys are accustomed to repeat their lessons, declamations, &c. in the fame manner as they read. This mode is not only confirmed in them by habit, but they acquire a predilection for it. They confider this species of delivery, which they have been raught, as far fuperior to that kind, which comes of course, without any pains, and therefore judge it the most proper to be used on all public occasions. Thus has this unnatural mode of utterance, spread itself in the senatehouse, the pulpit, the bar, the stage, and every place where public declamation is used; infomuch that the instances of a just and natural elocution, are very rare: the want of which, is most fensibly, and generally felt in our churches.

Our neighbours, the French, are not altogether in the same predicament with us, with regard to this article, though it is still in a very imperfect state among them. For though they have been employed more than a century, in regulating and refining their tongue, still it is, as with us, the written, not the spoken language, which has been the chief object of their attention. There is one article of speech indeed, which they have thoroughly ascertained, and reduced to rule; I mean pronunciation. But as to the art of delivery, it has never fo much as been thought of among them; and all their treatifes of rhetoric and oratory, have, for their object, like ours, not speech, but only composition in writing. The art of reading, as taught there, differs from ours in one effential article, which has been the main cause of the difference between their public elocution and our's; in which they certainly have a great fuperiority over us. The article I mean is this; they have laid it down as a maxim, that children are to be taught to read in a perfect monotone; and this monotone is ever after used by them in reading works of all forts, whether in poetry or profe; and, from custom, is considered by the French, as the only just manner of reading. Nothing, certainly, can be more abfurd, nothing more contrary to common fense, nature, and taste, than this mode of reading. 11

reading. Yet it is attended with one advantage, that public elocution is not infected by it, as it is by our method. The monotone is confined wholly to reading; but, in all public declamation, the speakers indulge themselves in the free use of that variety, which is natural to them; and their preachers, who deliver their discourses from memory, not notes, have an elocution more animated, more varied, more just than our's, and produce proportional effects upon their auditors. But this method of reading, was a poor expedient, to bring about a reformation in one of the articles of delivery: for it is probable, that the first motive towards establishing this principle in the art of reading, was to put an end to the different tones used by people of the different provinces, by making all read alike in one uniform tone. But this, with regard to the article of reading, was only substituting one evil, and perhaps a worfe one, in the room of another; and with regard to the more important use of delivery, whether from memory, or extemporaneous, it produced no effect at all; as each, in that case, resumed his own habitual tone of utterance. They who were in a fituation of acquiring a propriety of speech in conversation, from being bred among those who spoke with purity, retained the same in public delivery; while they, whose utterance was vitiated, by being bred up among

among those, whose provincial tones, or other irregularities of speech, prevailed in private discourse, brought the same faults with them into public alfo. Thus, in comparing the two different methods, used in England and France, in teaching the art of reading; we find that the former, carries a taint in its root; which foreads through all the branches of elocution, withers the tree, and will never fuffer it to bear fruit: whereas, the latter is perfectly inoffensive, does neither harm nor good, and leaves nature and custom to take their course. Now this view of these two methods, may ferve to point out a third to us; which, avoiding equally the monotony of the French, on the one hand; and the adventitious reading tones of the English, on the other; should teach the art of reading, upon principles of pure and correct speaking.

Beside the abuse of stops, by introducing a false intonation, which I have laid open; the art of punctuation itself, has always been in a very imperfect state, with regard to its professed end, that of dividing periods and sentences properly, into their respective members. * Stopping, like spelling, has at different periods of time, and by different persons, been considered, in a great measure, as ar-

^{*} Lect. on Elocution, L. 5th. was view by beard but his

THE ART OF READING.

bitrary, and has had its different fashions; onor are there at this day, any fure general rules established, for the practice of that art. It is evident, that to mark the stops properly ' in writing, every perceptible ceffation of found in the voice, ought to have a mark; but this is far from being the case in the prefent practice of punctuation; continual inflances occurring, where the voice ought to be fuspended, without any comma appearing; and instances as frequent, where commas appear in places, in which there ought to be no suspension of the voice. The truth is, the modern art of punctuation, was onot taken from the art of speaking, which certainly ought to have been its archetype; and probably would, had that art been studied and brought to perfection by the moderns; but was in a great measure regulated by the rules of grammar, which they had fludied; that is, certain parts of speech are kept together, and others divided by stops, caccording to their grammatical construction, often without reference to the paufes used in discourse. And the only general rule, by which paufes can be regulated properly, has been either unknown, or not attended to: which is, that pauses, for the most part, depend upon emphasis. I have already · shewn that words are sufficiently distinguished from

from each other, by accent; but to point out their meaning when united in fentences, emophalis, and paules, are necessary. Accent, is the link which connects fyllables together, and forms them into words: emphasis, is the · link which connects words together, and forms them into fentences, or members of fentences; but, that there may be no miftake to which emphasis the words belong, at the end of every fuch member of a fentence, there ought to be a perceptible cause. If it be asked, why a pause should any more be ' necessary to emphasis, than to accent? or why emphasis alone will not sufficiently diftinguish the members of fentences without paufes, as accent does words from each other? the answer is obvious; that we are pre-acquainted with the founds of the words and cannot mistake them when distinctly proonounced, however rapidly; but we are not pre-acquainted with the meaning of fentences, which must be pointed out to us by the speaker; and as this can only be done, by evidently shewing what words appertain to each emphatic one, unless a pause be made at the end of the last word, belonging to the former emphatic one, we shall not be able to know at all times, whether the intermediate words, between two emphatic ones, belong to the former, or the latter; which must · breed

THE ART OF READING.

- breed a perpetual confusion in the sense.
- 'Through the want of a proper stop of this
- fort, there is a passage in the play of Mac-
- beth, which, as it has been usually spoken
- on the stage, and read by most people, is
- downright nonsense; I mean an expression of
- · Macbeth's after he had committed the mur-
- der, where he fays,

Will all great Neptune's ocean, wash this blood Clean from my hand? No—these my hands will rather

The multitudinous fea incarnardine, Making the green one—red.

- ' Now the last line pronounced in that manner,
- calling the fea the green one, makes flat non-
- ' sense of it. But if the pause be made in the
- ' proper place, as thus-Making the green-
- one red-here is a most sublime idea con-
- veyed, that his hands dipped into the sea,
- would change the colour of the whole ocean
- " into one entire red."

There is a line in the Fair Penitent, which, for many years, was spoken by the most celebrated actor of these times, in the following manner—

West of the town—a mile among the rocks,

Two nours ere noon to morrow I expect thee,

Thy single arm to mine.

It is a challenge given by Lothario to Horatio, to meet him at a place a mile's distance from the town, on the west side, well known by the name of The Rocks. And this would have been evident, had there been a comma after the word mile-as-

West of the town a mile, among the rocks, &c. Whereas by making the pause after the word town, and joining mile to the latter part,

West of the town—a mile among the rocks the ridiculous idea is conveyed, that they had a mile's length of rocks to fcramble over: which made Quin farcastically observe, that they would run great risque of breaking their shins, before they reached the appointed place of combat.

The best way of getting over the faulty habit of reading, contracted by following fuch erroneous guides, as the stops usually are, would be, in those of an age sufficiently mature, to copy such passages from authors, as they mean to ferve for their daily exercise in reading aloud, without marking any stops at all. In this way, the fense alone must guide them, in the right use of the pauses; nor will they have any thing to missead them. When they have had sufficient practice in this manner, to be able to make out the fentences with eafe, let them return to the printed books, in which they are to pursue the same rule, by giving their whole attention to the meaning of the words, and being as utterly regardless of the stops, as if they were not there. Though at first they may be puzzled at the sight of the stops, and from their former long habit, may be apt frequently to relapse into their old method, yet by persevering in their attention to the words only, they will in time pay as little regard to the stops, as if they had been wholly obliterated.

As to children, the furest way to prevent the ill confequences arising from the use, or rather abuse of stops, will be to teach them to read without points, according to the practice of the ancients, who never used any, and continue them in this way till they become expert in it. This will necessarily keep their attention to the meaning of what they read, perpetually awake; otherwife it will be impossible for them to make any fense of the passages, as they will not, on any other terms, be able to divide them into their proper fentences, or the fentences, into their feveral members. Whereas in the other way of being taught to read by the aid of stops, they are little attentive to the sense or context; and think they have done all that is necessary, when they have pronounced the words, and observed the stops, in the manner they were instructed to do.

It was before observed, that they are generally taught to read in books, whose full meaning they cannot comprehend; and therefore it is impossible they should give any attention to the fense. This habit early contracted, is afterwards transferred to books, whose meaning they might fully comprehend, if they did but pay due attention to it; but their accustomed negligence in that article, still continues in its full force; and they either miss the sense by their own false reading, or if they even perceive it themselves, they do not deliver it in a way, proper to point it out to others. It is inconceivable to those, who have not well confidered the subject, how much the progress of knowledge, and true taste, is retarded on this account; for in this flovenly, inaccurate manner of reading, there are only a fet of confused ideas floating in the mind, without their due order and precision; the sense of the author is often mistaken, or perverted; the spirit evaporates, and all the grace, and delicacy of fentiment, are loft. The famous Bishop of Clovne, feems to have been fully convinced of this, when among his other queries, he put the following one: Q. Whether half the learning of these kingdoms be not lost, for want of having a proper delivery taught in our schools and colleges?

LECTURE III.

AVING, in my former Lectures, laid open all the fundamental principles of the Art of Reading, and established rules to direct us in the proper exercise of that art; I shall now proceed to confirm the theory, by practical observations, and illustrate the rules, by examples. For this purpose, I shall begin with comments upon the mode of reading the Church-fervice; which I have pitched upon rather than any other piece of English compofition, because it is the only one publicly and constantly read, and therefore open to every one's observation, in judging of the propriety of those comments.

There is not any thing which can shew the low state of the Art of Reading among us, in a stronger light, than the general complaint, that the service of the church is so seldom delivered with propriety. At first view, one would be apt to imagine, that in a fettled fervice, open to all to be studied, and examined at leisure, every one, by suitable pains, might make himself master of the proper manner of reading

reading it. It is this mistaken notion, which makes the laity so forward to lay the blame at the door of fuch of the clergy, as do not perform this part of the office well; attributing it wholly to neglect, and the want of taking proper pains. Whereas the true cause of the defect, is, the erroneous manner in which all are taught to read, by perfons utterly disqualified for the office. They are originally fet wrong upon principle, and yet think themselves right. How is it possible therefore, that they should fet about amending faults, of which they are not conscious? And when this faulty manner has taken root, by custom and a length of years, how difficult, nay impossible would it be, even supposing they were made conscious of it, to change such habits, without the affiltance of skilful persons, to point out the particulars in which they are faulty, and fnew how they are to be amended! And where are fuch to be found? As to any information they might receive from their friends or acquaintance, they would be but little the better for it; as they probably are as unskilled in the art, and deficient in the practice, as themfelves; even supposing they were willing to give them fuch information: but it is well known how shy men are upon that head in all articles, unless called upon to do fo. And the man who wants fuch information, from a con-

I 4

sciousness

sciousness of his deficiency, is yet restrained from applying for it, by a false shame; confidering it as a difgrace to acknowledge, that he did not know how to read, at that time of life. For this is the light in which they confider it, confounding under one term, two very distinct things, that of mere reading, and reading well. In learning to read, two very different ends may be proposed. The one, that of filent reading, to enable us to understand authors, and ftore our minds with knowledge; the other, that of reading aloud, by which we may communicate the fentiments of authors to our hearers, with perspicuity and force. All our pains have been employed in accomplishing the former end; and with regard to the latter, we are either fet wrong by falfe rules, or left wholly to chance. Now, if it were known that to arrive at perfection in the art of reading in the latter fense, would require much time and pains, even supposing it were taught by a regular fystem of rules and skilful masters; furely it could never be confidered as a difgrace to any one, to be deficient in such an art, who, far from having precepts to guide, or masters to teach him, should be missed by false lights, in the very first principles of the theory, and corrupted by bad examples, in the practical part. For the benefit of fuch as are defirous of getting rid of their bad habits, and discharging

discharging that important part of the sacred office, the reading of the liturgy, with due decorum. I shall first enter into a minute examination of some parts of the service, and afterwards deliver the rest, accompanied by such marks as will enable the reader, in a short time, and with moderate pains, to make himfelf master of the whole. And though this may feem to be chiefly calculated for the use of the clergy, yet it will be found the very best lesson that could be given to all others, in the art of reading. In making my comments, I shall not select passages from different parts of the service, but take them in their order as they lie in the Prayer-book, beginning with some of the texts that are usually read before the exhortation. But first it will be necessary to explain the marks, which you will hereafter fee throughout the rest of this course. They are of two kinds; one, to point out the emphatic words, for which purpose I shall use the grave accent of the Greek ['].

The other, to point out the different paufes or stops, for which I shall use the following marks:

For the shortest pause marking an incomplete fense a small inclined line thus

For the fecond double the time of the former, two

And for the third or full stop three

When

When I would mark a pause, longer than any belonging to the usual stops, it shall be by two horizontal lines, as thus

When I would point out a fyllable that is to be dwelt on some time, I shall use this mark or a short horizontal over the syllable.

When a fyllable should be rapidly uttered, this

or a curve turned upwards; the usual
marks of long and short quantity in

profody.

The reason for my using new marks for the stops, is this. They who have been accustomed to associate reading notes to the stops, will, on the sight of them, be apt to fall into their old habit; and as the new marks are free from such association of ideas, they will be more likely to be guided, in all the changes of their voice, by the sense only.

I have often heard the following verse read in this manner.

'Enter no't into judgment with thy fe'rvant O Lord, for in thy fi'ght shall no man living be ju'stified.'

Here the words, not, fervant, fight, justified, between which it is impossible to find any connexion, or dependance of one on the other, are principally marked. By these false emphases, the mind is turned wholly from the main

main purport and drift of the verse. Upon hearing an emphasis upon not, it expects quite another conclusion to make the meaning confiftent: and instead of the word for, which begins the latter part of the fentence, it would expect a but; as, Enter no't into judgment with thy fervant O Lord, but regard me with an eye of mercy. When it hears the emphasis on se'rvant, it expects also another conclusion; as, Enter no't into judgment with thy fe'rvant O Lord, but enter into judgment with those who are not thy fervants. And by the emphases on the words fight and justified, the true meaning is not conveyed. But if read in the following manner, 'Enter not into ju'dgment with thy fervant' O Lord" for' in th'y fight' shall no man li'ving be justified'-the whole meaning becomes obvious, and we fee that there is a great deal more implied, than the mere words would express, without the aid of proper emphases. Enter not into ju'dgment with thy fervant' O Lord"-That is, enter not, O Lord, into the feverity of judgment with thy fervant-' for' in thy fight'-which is allpiercing, and can spy the smallest blemish-'s shall no man li'ving be justified'-No man on earth, no not the best, shall be found persect, or fufficiently pure, to stand the examination of the eye of purity itself.

Upon this fentence thus pronounced, the following beautiful passage in Job, may be a comment.

'How then can man be justified with God, or how can he be clean that is born of woman? Behold even to the moon, and it shineth not; yea the stars are not pure in his sight. How much less, man, that is a worm, and the son of man, which is a worm.'

As the first necessary step towards getting into a good habit, is to get rid of a bad one, I shall point out the faults that are usually committed in reading the service; and afterwards propose the amendments.

The Exhortation I have often heard deli-

vered in the following manner:

'Dearly beloved brethren, the scripture moveth us in sundry places to acknowledge and consess our manifold sins and wickedness. And that we should not dissemble nor cloke them before the sace of Almighty God our Heavenly Father, but consess them with an humble lowly penitent and obedient heart, to the end that we may obtain forgiveness of the same, by his infinite goodness and mercy. And altho' we ought at all times humbly to acknowledge our sins before God, yet ought we most chiefly so to do when we assemble and meet together. To render than'ks for the great be-

nefits we have received at his hands, to fet forth his most worthy praise, to hear his most holy word, and to ask those things that are requisite and necessary as well for the body as the soul. Wherefore I pray and beseech you as many as are here present, to accompany me with a pure heart and humble voice to the throne of the heav'nly grace, saying after me.'

In the beginning of this exhortation, we usually find, that the clergyman's eye is fixed on the book, and that he utters the words as mere matter of form; but, furely, the truly Chriftian and affectionate address, with which it commences, from a pastor to his flock, ought to be made with earnestness, and his eyes looking round the whole congregation. Dearly beloved brethren!'=And then there should a pause of some length ensue, to give them time to collect themselves, and awaken their attention to the folemn duty they are about to perform. Whereas, in the other way, when the eye is on the book, the congregation cannot feel it as an immediate address to them; especially when they find that there is no paufe after this address, but that he immediately runs on to the next fentence, which has no connexion with it, misled by the salse pointing of a comma after the words, Dearly beloved brethren,' which ought to have been marked by what is called a point of admiration. In

126 THE ART OF READING.

the latter part of the first period, but confess them with an humble lowly penitent and obedient heart, to the end that we may obtain forgiveness of the same, by his infinite goodness and mercy'-there are several faults committed. In the first place, the four epithets preceding the word heart, are huddled together, and pronounced in a monotone, difagreeable to the ear, and enervating to the fense; whereas each word rising in force above the other, ought to be marked by a proportional rifing of the notes in the voice; and, in the last, there should be such a note used as would declare it at the same time to be the last- with an humble' lowly' penitent' and obedient heart, &c.' At first view it may appear, that the words humble and lowly, are fynonimous; but the word lowly, certainly implies a greater degree of humiliation than the word humble. The word, penitent, that follows, is of stronger import than either; and the word, obedient, fignifying a perfect refignation to the will of God, in confequence of our humiliation and repentance, finishes the climax. But if the climax in the words, be not accompanied by a fuitable climax in the notes of the voice, it cannot be made manifest. In the following part of the fentence, 'to the en'd that we may obtain' forgiveness of the fame" there are usually three emphases laid

on

on the words, end, obtain, same, where there should not be any, and the only emphatic word, forgiveness, is slightly passed over; whereas it should be read- to the end that we may obtain forgiv'eness of the same, keeping the words obtain and forgiveness, closely together, and not difuniting them, both to the prejudice of the fense and cadence. The following words by his infinite goodness and mercy,' lose much of their force by the manner of repeating them; whereas, by interjecting a pause between the words, his, and infinite, as, 'by his' in'finite goodness and mercy,' we not only pay the proper reverence due to the Deity, whenever he is mentioned, but there is superadded, by this means, a force to the word, infinite, coming after the paufe, which alone can make us have an adequate conception of those attributes in him, whose mercy endureth for ever- by his' in'finite goodness and mercy.'- 'And altho' we ought at all times'-Here the accent of the word altho', is changed, and put on the first fyllable, altho'; and this fyllable being pronounced in the same quantity as the word all, which follows foon after, occasions a repetition of the same sound so suddenly, as to be disagreeable to the ear; and the want of the due change of note on the word, all, obscures the fense-' and altho' we ought at all times'whereas, in the right way of pronouncing it, s and 128

' and altho' we ought at all times'-the repetition of the same sound is avoided, and the following meaning is evidently implied: though we should embrace every opportunity, when we are alone, and in private meditation, to confess our sins before God, yet we ought mostchiefly fo to do, when we affemble and meet together, to join in acts of public worship. Here, also, there is often an unfortunate emphasis on the word, so, instead of the word, chiefly, 'yet ought we most chiefly so to do, &c.' and this arises from not giving the due emphasis to the word, all, in the former part of the fentence, which would have shewn the necessity of giving a correspondent force to the word, chiefly, in the latter. 'And although we ought at all times' humbly to acknowledge our fins before God" yet ought we most chiefly so to dò when we affemble and meet together' to render thanks' for the great benefits that we have received at his hands, &c.' Nothing is more frequent than to give the tone of a fullstop at the end of the former part of the fentence, as thus,—' yet ought we most chiefly fo to do when we affemble and meet together.' What, at any time, in affemblies of amusement and festivity? No, it is only when we affemble and meet together, to render thanks for the great benefits we have received at his hands, &c. In this, and what follows, a diffinct enumeration

is made, of the feveral parts whereof the public worship is composed. To render thanks' for the great benefits that we have received at his bands" Thanksgiving. To set forth bis most worthy praise" by pfalms and hymns. To bear bis most boly word" in the Lessons. And to ask these things that are requisite and necessary, as well for the body, as the foul" the prayers. On which account, the feveral fentences containing the distinct parts of the fervice, ought to be kept more detached from each other, than they usually are; and the words peculiarly expressive of each branch of the service, should chiefly be made emphatical. To render tha'nks' for the great benefits that we have received at his hands" to fet forth his most worthy praise" to hear' his most holy wor'd" and to a'sk those things' which are requisite and necessary' as well for the body' as the foul"' Whereas, in the usual way of running these sentences into one another, the auditor has no time to observe the distinctness of the parts; and I believe it has feldom occurred to any one, that in these four sentences, are separately enumerated, the four capital branches of the church fervice. Wherefore I pray and befeech you as many as are here prefent'-This is the way in which that passage is usually delivered; but, furely, a more particular and personal address, would have more force to call up attention, than K

present; and if these words be not taken in that sense, they are a mere tautology; for if they had only a general meaning, like the word, you, they would express nothing more,

I shall now read the whole, in the manner I have recommended; and if you will give attention to the marks, you will be reminded of the manner, when you come to practise in your

private reading.

to agranta.

Dèarly belo'ved brethren! The scripture moveth us' in su'ndry places' to acknowledge and confess our manifold sins and wickedness' and that we should not dissemble nor cloke them' before the face of Almighty God' our Hea'venly Father" but confèss them' with an humble' lowly' penitent' and obedient heart' to the end that we may obtain forgiveness of the same' by his' i'nsinite goodness and mercy" And altho we ought at all times' humbly to acknowledge our sins before Go'd" yet ought

we most chiefly so to do' when we assemble and meet together' to render than'ks' for the great benefits we have received at his hands" to fet forth' his most worthy praise" to hear' his most holy wo'rd" and to a'sk those things' which are requifite and necessary' as well for the bo'dy' as the foul"! Wherefore I pray and beseech you' as ma'ny as are here present' to accompany me' with a pure heart' and hu'mble voice' to the throne of the heavenly grace' faying after

Now, to examine the Confession in the same way.

Almighty and most merciful Father.'-Here the greatest stress is usually laid on the word, Father; whereas it ought to be on the attribute, mèrciful. We are making a confesfion of our fins, and imploring pardon for them of God; and it is upon the greatness of his mercy, that we prefume to approach him in this manner, or to hope for pardon; which is implied in the words properly read. - 'Almighty' and most me'rciful Father-' Another fault here committed, is the dropping the voice at the end, as if it were a full-stop; whereas, it is evidently an incomplete member of a fentence, as would appear if it were immediately followed by the subsequent one, which belongs to it, without the reader's being interrupted by the congregation. But that in-

terruption ought to make no change in the proper manner of delivering it, which should be in a sustained note, and which the reader would use, were he to continue it without such interruption. 'Almighty' and most merciful Father' we have erred and strayed from thy ways' like loft sheep"" These two last words are often run into one another, and pronounced as if they were but one; instead of, 'like lost sheep,' it is read, 'like losssheep.' 'We have followed too muc'h the devices and desires of our own hearts.' Here, by laying the stress on the word, much, there is no more implied. but that we have given way to our inclinations more than we should do; and that may admit of being interpreted, but in a small degree. But when it is repeated thus-' We have followed too much' the devices and defires of our own hearts' it implies, in a great degree, there are no boundaries fixed to our wanderings; and not only fo, but the tone of voice accompanying that emphasis, includes at the same time felf condemnation, and contrition. 'We have followed too much' the devices and defires of our own hearts. We have offended against thy holy laws. We have left undo'ne those things which we ought to have do'ne; and we have do'ne those things which we ought not to have do'ne.' In which way of reading, the repetition of the word, done, four times in so short a space,

space, and in the same tone, is at once disagreeable to the ear, and obscures the meaning. But in the right way of reading it- We have left u'ndone' those things which we ought to have do'ne" and we have do'ne those things' which we ought no't to have done". The two emphases placed on the two negatives, make the word, done, with which they are connected, pass unnoticed by the ear; and the different notes of voice, used to the same word, twice repeated with emphasis, give at once an agreeable variety to the ear, and enforce the meaning to the understanding. Which is no more than this; 'We have left u'ndone' what we ought to have do'ne; and we have done' what we ought no't to have done. And there is no health i'n us.' In this way the stress is improperly laid upon, in, and the important word, bealth, is passed over unmarked. It should be read- and there is no heal'th in us. -But thou O Lord have mercy upo'n us miserable offenders. In this way of running the words of the invocation into one another, all reverence to the Deity is lost-' But thou O Lord;' Whereas, by interjecting a small pause before the immediate address to him by name. and at the fame time lowering the voice, in token of respect, the manner would be such, as alone can become a creature, addressing his Creator. But thou' O Lord' have mercy upo'n

upo'n us' miserable offenders"" In these words, here, as well as in all other places where they are repeated, it is usual to lay the emphasis on the infignificant word, upon, instead of the important one, mercy; by faying,- have mercy upo'n us'-instead of 'have me'rcy upon us' miserable offenders.'- Spare thou them O God which confess their faults.' In the first part of the fentence, the words, thou them, when run too closely together, have a bad effect on the ear. 'Spare thou them'-which may be avoided by a small separation of those words; as, 'Spare thou' the'm' O God' which confe's their faults,—Restore thou them that are penitent.' Here is a repetition of the same words. thou them, which has still a worse effect on the ear, and is to be remedied in the fame way. Restore thou' the'm that are penitent. According to thy promifes' declared unto mankind in Christ Jesu our Lord" And grant O most merciful Father for his sake'-Here we have another instance of the want of respect to the Deity, by not making the proper paufe before the immediate address to him; and indeed the fame may be observed throughout the whole fervice. It should be read thus: And grant' O most me'rciful Father' for hi's sake' that we may hereafter' live a go'dly', righteous' and sober life" to the glory of thy holy name.

In reading the Absolution, it is usual to begin it in the same manner, and tone of voice, as if it were a prayer addressed to the Almighty, instead of speaking of him, and delivering a commission in his name. As thus- Almighty God! the Father of our Lord Jesus Chirst'instead of the authoritative tone of one speaking in his name, and who has received power and commandment from him, to declare his gracious pleasure to his people. The words, as they stand, have indeed the fame air, as several prayers beginning in the fame manner: which probably has betrayed most into the same mode of delivering them. But whoever will suppose them to be preceded by the article, the, which is understood, as thus-The Almighty God, Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, &c. will immediately fee the necessity of using a tone very different from that of supplication; and will eafily bring himself to the use of it.-Who desireth not the death of a si'nner, but rather that he may tur'n from his wickedness and live.' Here the emphasis on the words, finner, in the first part, and, turn from bis wickedness, in the latter, obscure the main purport of the fentence; which is, The Almighty takes no pleafure in feeing a finner perish everlaftingly (which is implied in the death of a finner), but wishes rather, by a course of penis tence and reformation, he may receive eternal life: K 4

life; which is implied in the word, live. How strongly marked therefore should words be of fuch powerful import! And hath given power and commandment to his ministers, to declare and pronounce to his people being penitent'-The words, by being thus huddled together, lose much of their import and clearness. But read in the following way-And hath given po'wer' and commandment to his ministers" to declare' and proncunce to his people'-the different parts of each member of the sentences and their reference to each other, are distinctly pointed out. He hath given to his ministers commandment' to declare" and power to pronounce'-the absolution of fins-upon a certain condition. Ought not the condition then, to be particularly marked and enforced, instead of being flurred over as it usually is? c to declare and pronounce to his people being penitent the absolution, &c.' should it not have the folemnity of a paule, both before and after it; accompanied by a lower tone of voice, to give it its due weight? As thus-to declare, and pronounce to his people' being penitent' the absolution' and remission of their sins .- 'He pardoneth and absolveth all them that truly repent, &c.' Here the observation formerly made, recurs, of the flight manner in which the Almighty is often mentioned, and which must be much more striking on this occasion, where his

his minister is commanded in his name, to declare his pleasure to his people, upon so important an article. Surely this cannot be done with too much folemnity, and may be effected by dwelling with a tone of reverential awe, on the relative which stands for his name, followed by a suitable pause; thus-Hè" pardoneth and absolveth all them that truly repen't' and unfeignedly believe his holy Gospel .- Wherefore let us beseech him to grant us true repentance, &c.' In this, as in all other places, where there is a particular address to the congregation, it is to be wished that it were brought more home to them, by force of emphasis on the proper word; -as thus-Wherefore let us beseech him to grant u's' true repentance—that is, let us all who are here affembled, unite to befeech him that we may be made fit partakers of this covenant; the covenant just before published to all Christians. From which, each pastor takes occasion to exhort his own particular flock, earnestly to pray to God, that they may partake of it.

These are the principal faults usually committed in reading the Absolution. Others, of smaller note, I shall not expatiate on, but leave them to each one's observation, by reading the whole in what appears to me to be the right manner.

Sight rough see in the control of Almighty

138 THE ART OF READING.

Almighty God' the Father of our Lord Jefus Christ' who desireth not the dea'th of a sinner' but rather that he may turn from his wickedness' and live" and hath given power and commandment to his ministers' to decla're and pronounce to his people' being pe'nitent' the absolution and remission of their sins" He" pardoneth and absolveth all them that truly repen't and unfeignedly believe his holy gospel" Wherefore let us beseech him' to grant u's' true repentance' and his holy spirit" that those things may please him' which we do at this present and that the res't of our life herea'her' may be pure and hôly" fo that at the las't' we may come to his eternal joy' through Jefus Christ our Lord"

I now come to the Lord's prayer. Nothing can shew the corrupt state of the art of reading, or the power of bad habit, in a stronger light, than the manner, in which that short and simple prayer, is generally delivered. In the first words of it, 'Our Father which art in Heaven'—that salse emphasis on the word, art, has almost universally prevailed. This strong stress upon the affirmative, art, looks as if there might be a doubt, whether the residence of God were in Heaven, or not; and the impropriety of the emphasis will immediately appear, upon changing the word we are accustomed.

to, to another of the same import. For instance, should any one instead of saying-Our Father who residest in Heaven-read-Our Father who residest in Heaven, the absurdity would be glaring. The other confequently should be read in the fame way- Our Father' which art in Hea'ven'-with the emphasis upon Heaven, and the voice somewhat raised. I have known a few who have seen this mistake, and to avoid it, have run into another errour, as thus-Our Father whichart in Heaven,' making the two words, which and art, appear but as one, by too precipitate an utterance—whichart— They should be pronounced distinctly, but without any stress; and this will be accomplished, in spite of habit, by frequent trials, if care be taken to reserve the emphasis for the word Heaven, as thus-' Our Father' which art in Hea-'ven' hallowed be thy name'=' Thy kingdom come, thy will be done on Earth as it is in Heaven.'-By running the words and members of the sentence thus into each other, the importance of the fentiments, and the relation which one member of the sentence bears to the other, are loft. The first, expresses a wish for the coming of the promised kingdom of Christ; the other, a defire of the consequences to be expected from the coming of that kingdom, that the will of God may be done on Earth, as it is in Heaven; which we are told will be the case, when Christ begins

begins his reign. The meaning of the first, is the same as if it were written-May thy kingdom come; but the word, may, being understood, its place should be supplied by a small pause before the word, come, - 'thy kingdom' come" and after a due paule, to let so solemn a wish make its proper impression, the reason of this wish, that is, in order that the will of God may be done on Earth, as it is in Heaven, should be distinctly pointed out, by a small pause before the words, on Earth, and, in Heaven, as thus-' Thy kingdom' come" thy will' be done' on Earth' as it i's' in Heaven'—with the emphasis on the word, be, and a pause before it, to correspond with the pause and emphasis, before, and on, the word, come; as there is the same reason for both, may, being here understood, as in the former case; may thy kingdom come" may thy will be done" and upon the absence of that optative, the emphasis, in order to supply its place, should be transferred to the auxiliary, be, as it is in all other cases. By reading it in the usual way, missed probably by false pointing, they make these two, detached sentences, utterly independent of each other. Whereas in the other way, the latter is a consequence of, and closely connected with, the former. 'Thy kingdom' come" thy will' be done on ea'rth' as it i's' in Hea'ven'-and from this reading only can the tine with more at the accept from the

true meaning of the passage be disclosed .- Give us this da'y our daily bread'-Here the emphasis on the word, day, is unfortunately placed, both with regard to found and fense. The ear is hurt. by the immediate repetition of the same found, in the word daily—' Give us this da'y our daily bread'—And the true meaning is not conveyed; for this is supposed to be a prayer to be daily used, and a petition to be daily preferred, composed for our use by him, who bade us take no thought for the morrow; wherefore it should be thus pronounced—' Give us this day' our daily brea'd"- And forgive us our trespasses. as we forgive them, that trespass against us.'-There are so many faults committed, in this manner of reading the sentence, that to enter into a minute examination of them, would take up too much time unnecessarily; as I apprehend that the bare reading of it in the right manner, will carry conviction with it, and needs no other comment. 'And forgive u's' our trespasses' a's we' forgive the'm' who trespass against u's.' I must here, however, shew the necessity there is, for laying a strong emphasis on the little word, as, which is always flurred over; because that particle, implies the very condition on which we expect forgiveness ourfelves, that is, in like manner as we grant it to others. There is another fault committed by fome, in removing the accent from the last fyllable 3

142 THE ART OF READING.

syllable of the word, forgive, to the first; as, Give us this day our daily bread, and fo'rgive us our trespasses, &c.' by which they feem to make an opposition between the words, give and forgive, where there is none intended; than which nothing can be more abfurd and puerile.- 'And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil.'-It were to be wished, for obvious reasons, that the strong emphasis on the word, lead, were transferred to the word, temptation; instead of saying, 'and lead us not into temptation'-that it were read-' and lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil- For thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory, for ever and ever.'-In this way of reading, the fine close of this admirable prayer, is changed in its movement, from the folemn and majestic, to a comic and cantering pace. 'For thine is' the kingdom' and the power' and the glory' for ever' and ever.' The measure in this way, to speak in the prosodial language, becomes purely amphibrachic, used only in comic poems and ballads; whereas by making a pause after the word, thine, and separating the other members of the fentence, the movement becomes chiefly anapæstic, full of force and dignity. - 'For thine' is the kingdom" and the power" and the glory" for ever and ever.' who all has been a single of which are while

I shall now read the whole in the proposed manner. Comb horsomer de manner agrees

Our Father' which art in Heaven' ha'llowed be thy name = Thy kingdom' co'me" thy will' bè done on ea'rth' as it i's' in Heaven = Give us th'is day' our daily brea'd" And forgive a's' our trespasses' a's we' forgive th'em' that trespass against u's" And lead us not into temptation' but deliver us from evil=For thine' is the kingdom" and the power" and the glory" for ever' and ever='

O Lord open thou our li'ps'—In this way of reading, the address to God seems only to be, to open our mouths, which furely does not require his intervention; but when the emphafis is placed right, as thus- 'O Lord' open thoù our lips'-the figurative meaning starts forth, which is, do thou inspire us with a true spirit of devotion, and our mouth shall shew forth thy praise. party - M. Swe Correct

O Lord' make spèed to save us'''
O Lord' make hàste to help us''''

Glory be to the Father, and to the Son, and to the Holy Ghost.'-To give a due solemnity to this, and to prepare the hearer's attention to the three persons, to each of whom glory is to be attributed, I would recommend a fmall pause, before the naming of the first person, and a longer one after that, and the second; as thus- Glory be' to the Father" and to the

So'n" and to the Holy Ghost" As it was' in the begi'nning" i's' now" and e'ver sha'll be world without end".

Praise vè' the Lòrd"

The Lord's name be praised='

Thus far I have been minute in my observations, because it will save me the trouble of commenting upon fimilar faults, when they occur in the rest of the service; and as those which are most generally committed throughout, have been laid open in the course of this discussion, I shall content myself hereafter, with reading and marking the remainder of the usual fervice, in a proper way; and shall referve my comments only for fuch passages, as are most difficult, or in which the most glaring faults are committed. For a discussion throughout equally minute, would run these discourses to an unreasonable length.

O come' let us si'ng unto the Lord" let us heartily rejoice in the stren'gth of our salvation"

Let us come before his presence with thanksgi'ving" and shew ourselves gla'd in him' with psālms"

For the Lord' is a great Go'd" and a great Ki'ng' above all Gods"

In hi's hand' are all the corners of the earth" and the strength of the hills' is hi's also"

The sea is hi's' and he made it' and hi's hands prepared the dry land"

O come' let us worship and fall do'wn" and kneel before the Lord our Maker"

For He' is the Lord our God" and we' are the people of hi's pasture' and the sheep of hi's hand"

To-day' if you will hear his voice' harden not your hearts' as in the provocation' in the day of temptation in the wilderness"

When your fathers tem'pted me' proved me' and saw my works"

Forty years long' was I grièved with this generation" and faid it is a people that do er'r in their hearts' for they have not known my ways"

Unto whom I sware in my wrath' that they shoul'd not enter into my rest"

Glory be' to the Father" and to the So'n" and to the Holy Ghoft"

As it wa's' in the begin'ning" is' now" and ever sha'll be' world without end" Amen""

Next follows the Te Deum.

We praise thee o God" we acknowledge thee to be the Lord"

All the ea'rth' doth worship thee' the Father everla'fting"

To thee' all angels cry aloud" the hea'vens' and all the powers therein"

146 THE ART OF READING.

To thee Cherubin and Seraphin continually do cry'

Hōly" Hōly" Hōly' Lord God of Sabaoth" Heaven' and earth' are full of the majesty of thy glory"

The glorious company of the apo'stles' praise

The goodly fellowship of the pro'phets' praise thee"

The noble army of martyrs' praise thee"

The holy Church' thro'out all the wor'ld' doth acknowledge thee

The Father' of an i'nfinite majesty!"
Thine honourable' true' and only Son"
Also the Holy Ghost' the co'mforter"

Thou are the Kin'g of Glory' O Christ"

Thou are the everla'sting Son of the Father"

When thou tookest upon thee to deli'ver man' thou didst not abhor the virgin's womb'"

When thou hadst overco'me the sharpness of dea'th" thou didst open the kingdom of heaven' to all believers"

Thou fittest at the right hand of Go'd' in the glory of the Father"

We believe' that thou shalt come to be our

judge"

We the refore pray thee he'lp thy fervants whom thou hast redeemed with thy precious blood

Make them to be numbered with thy faints' in glory everla'lting'''

O Lord'

9

O Lord' fave thy people' and ble's thine heritage"

Go'vern them' and lift them u'p for ever"

Day by day' we ma'gnify thee"

And we worship thy name e'ver' world with-

Vouchsafe' O Lord' to keep us thi's day without sin"

O Lord' have me'rcy upon us" have mer'cy upon us"

O Lord' let thy mercy lighten upon us' as our tru'st is in thee"

O Lord' in thee have I trusted' let me ne'ver be confounded=

O be jo'yful in the Lord' all ye lan'ds" ferve the Lord with gla'dness' and come before his presence' with a fo'ng"

Be ye sure' that the Lord' Hē is Go'd" it is Hè that hath made us' and not wē' ourse'lves", we are hi's people' and the sheep of his pasture"

O go your way into his gates' with thankf-gi'ving" and into his courts' with praise" be than kful unto him and speak goo'd of his name"

For the Lord' is gracious" his mercy is everlasting" and his truth endureth from generation to generation =

Glory be, &c.'

It is not part of my province, to descant upon the propriety of appointing these hymns to be read, as part of the church service; though, surely, they seem much better calculated for singing. But since it is a necessary part of the service, nothing can be more absurd, than delivering them in the usual cold monotonous manner. What can be more incongruous to the matter, than such a mode of uttering the following verse—

O come let us fing unto the Lord, let us heartily rejoice in the strength of our salvation'—Or this,

O be joyful in the Lord all ye lands, ferve the Lord with gladness, and come before his presence with a fong."

Surely hymns, such as these, ought to be delivered in tones of that enthusiastic ardour, which naturally result from a heart filled with admiration, love, and gratitude, towards its great Creator and Benefactor.

After these follows the Creed.

'I believe in Go'd' the Father Almighty' maker of heaven and earth" and in Jesus Christ' his only Son' our Lord" Who was conceived' by the Holy Ghost" born' of the Virgin Marry" su'ffered' under Pontius Pilate" was crucified' dea'd' and bu'ried" he descended into he'll" the third day he rôse again from the dead' he a'scended into heaven" and sitteth on the right

right hand of God' the Father Almighty" from thence' he shall come to ju'dge' the quic'k and the dea'd" I believe in the Holy Ghost" the holy ca'tholic church" the communion of saints" the forgi'veness of sins" the resurrection of the body" and the life everla ting.

This Creed will admit of little change in the notes of the voice. It ought to be pronounced with distinctness and solemnity; to which nothing will contribute fo much, as a due observation of the paufes, in the fentences, and their feveral members. There is one wrong emphasis constantly used here, which gives a false meaning to the passage, where it is saidthe third day he rose agai'n from the dead.' Now, rifing again, certainly means rifing twice. As when we fay of a man, he was thrown on the ground, and rose again; he was thrown a fecond time, and rose again. In the first instance, the word again is redundant, and is a mere mode of speech. In the second it is neceffary, and has its true meaning. That fentence ought therefore to be read thus- The third day, he rose again from the dead.'

' The Lord' be with you.'

Here the emphasis ought to be on the auxiliary verb, be, as, may, the sign of the optative, is omitted, as was mentioned in a former case. This adds to the solemnity of the wish. Whereas, in the common way of repeating it,

150 THE ART OF READING.

The Lord be with you,' it is exactly the fame as the common mode of expression, in bidding farewell.

And with thy spi'rit.

Let us pray"

Lord' have me'rcy upon us'
Christ' have me'rcy upon us'

Lord' have me'rcy upon us= Our Father, &c. as before.

O Lord' fhew thy me'rcy upon us"
And grant us thy falvation"

O Lord' fave the Ki'ng"

And mercifully hear us' when we call upon thee.

Endue thy mi'nisters' with righteousness"
And make thy chôsen people' joyful"

O Lord' fave thy people"

And ble's thine inheritance"

Give peace in our time of Lord Because there is none other that fighteth for us but only thou of God dom'.

O God' make clèan our hearts within us"

And take not thy höly fpirit from us=

O God' who art the author of peace' and lover of co'ncord" in knowledge of whom' ftandeth our ete'rnal life" whose se'rvice' is perfect freedom" defend u's' thy humble servants' in all assaults of our e'nemies" that we' surely trusting

trusting in th'y desence' may not sear the power of a'ny adversaries' thro' the might of Jesus Christ' our Lord=

O Lord' our heavenly Father' almighty and everlasting God" who hast safely brought us to the begi'nning of this day" defend us i'n the same with thy mighty power" and grant that thi's day' we fall into no si'n' neither run into any kind of danger" but that all our doings' may be ordered by th'y governance' to do always tha't is righteous in th'y sight' thro' Jesus Christ' our Lord

O Lord' our hea'venly Father' high and mighty' King of kings' Lord of lords' the only Ruler of princes' who dost from thy throne behold all the dwellers upon earth" most heartily we beseech thee' with thy favour to behold our most gracious sovereign Lord' King George" and so replenish him with the grace of thy holy spirit' that he may alway incline to thy wi'll' and walk in thy way'" Endue him ple'nteously' with hea'venly gifts" grant him in health and wealth lo'ng to live" stren'gthen him' that he may vanquish and overcome all his enemies" and finally' after thi's life' he may attain everla'sting joy and selicity' thro' Jesus Christ' our Lord =

Almīghty God' the fountain of all goodness' we humbly beseech thee to bless our gracious

Queen Charlotte' his Royal Highness George Prince of Wales' and all the Royal family" Endue them with thy holy spi'rit" enric'h them with thy hea'venly grace" pro'sper them with all ha'ppiness" and bring them to thine everlasting kingdom' thro' Jesus Christ our Lord=

Almīghty' and everla'sting God' who alone workest great marvels" fend down upon our Bishops' and Curates' and all congregations committed to their charge' the healthful spirit of thy grace" and that they may truly please thee' pour upon them the conti nual dew of thy bleffing" Grant this O Lord for the ho'nour of our advocate and mediator' Jesus Christ=

Almighty God' who hast given us grace at th'is time' with o'ne accord to make our common fupplications unto thee" and dost promise that when two or three are gathered together in thy name' thou will grant their requests" fulfil now O Lord' the defires and petitions of thy fervants' as may be most expedient for them" granting us' in this world knowledge of thy truth" and in the world to co'me' life everla'sting=

The grace' of our Lord Jesus Christ" and the lo've' of God" and the fe'llowship' of the Höly Ghöst" bè with us all e'vermore'=

Upon the foregoing prayers I shall only make a few remarks. In that for the King, the following passage is often thus read. · Most heartily we beseech thee with thy favour, to behold our most gracious sovereign Lord King George'-By which false pauses the passage is rendered absurd. It is evident in the first part of the sentence, that the words - with thy favour to behold'-fhould be kept together, preceded and followed by a small paufe-' most heartily we befeech thee' with thy favour to behold' our most gracious Sovereign Lord King George'-in which way of reading the last words, without any pause, Lord King George' the title given to his Majesty appears ludicrous, instead of the proper and folemn one given to him by interjecting the due pause-' our most gracious Sovereign Lord' King George.'

In pronouncing the benediction in the usual way, as thus—'The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, and the love of Go'd, and the sellowsship of the Holy Ghost'—all its solemnity and force is lost. The three distinct attributes, referred to the three persons in the Godhead, ought to be pointed out by due emphases and pauses. 'The grace' of our Lord Jesus Christ" and the lo've' of God" and the se'llowsship' of the Holy Ghost" be with us all' evermore.' In the last part, by laying the stress

upon the unimportant preposition, with, the pious and ardent wish, included in the benediction, is lost, which can only be manifested by a forcible emphasis on the words, be, and, all, 'bè with us all, evermore'=on, bè, as expressive of the wish, which was before explained; on, all, as extending the benediction to the whole, and each individual of the congregation.

I shall now proceed to such parts of the Evening Service, as are not contained in that of the morning.

'My foul doth ma'gnify the Lord' and my spirit hath rejo'iced' in God my Saviour"

For he hath regarded the lo'wliness of his handmaiden"

For behold from he'nceforth' all generations shall call me bleffed"

For He that is mighty hath magnified me" and Holy is his name"

And his mercy is on them that fear him' throughout all generations"

He hath shewed stre'ngth with his arm" He hath scattered the proud' in the imagination of their hearts"

He hath put down the mighty' from their feat" and hath exalted' the hu'mble and meek"

He hath filled the hu'ngry' with goo'd things" and the ric'h' he hath fent em'pty away"

Hē'

Hē' remembring his mercy' hath holpen his fervant Israel" as he pro'mised to our foresathers' Abraham and his seed for ever =

Glory be, &c.

Lord' now lettest thou thy servant depart in peace' according to thy wor'd"

For' mine eyes have feen thy falvation"

Which thou hast prepared before the face of all people"

To be a light' to lighten the Ge'ntiles" and

to be the glory of thy people l'frael=

O God' from whom all holy desires' all good counsels' and all just works do proceed" give unto thy servants' that peace' which the world can no't give" that both' our hearts may be set to obey thy commandments' and also' that by thee' we' being desended from the sear of our enemies' may pass our time in rest and quietness' thro' the merits of Jesus Christ' our Saviour

Lighten our darkness we beseech thee O Lord and by thy great me'rcy' desend us from all perils and dangers of thi's night for the love of thy only Son our Saviour Jesus Christ'=

LECTURE IV.

The LITANY.

God the Father" of Heaven" have me'rcy upon us' miserable sinners"

O God the So'n" Redeemer of the worl'd"

have me'rcy upon us' miserable sinners"

O God the Holy Ghost" proceeding from the Father and the So'n" have me'rcy upon us' miferable finners"

O holy' bleffed' and glorious Tri'nity" three Pe'rfons' and o'ne Go'd" have me'rcy upon us' miferable finners=

Reme'mber not' Lord' oùr offences' nor the offences of our forefathers" neither take thou ve'ngeance of our fins'" Spare us' good Lord" spare thy people' whom thou hast redeemed with thy most pre'cious bloo'd' and be not an'gry with us for e'ver"

Spare us' good Lord"

From all evil and mischief" from si'n" from the cra'its and assaults of the de'vil" from thy wrath" and from everla'lling damnation'

Good Lord deli'ver us"

From all bli'ndness of heàrt" from pride' vain-glòry' and hypo'crisy" from e'nvy' hàtred and ma'lice" and all uncha'ritableness'

Good, &c.

From lightning' and te'mpeft" from plague' pe'stilence' and fa'mine" from ba'ttle' and mu'rder" and from su'dden dea'th'

Good, &c.

From all fedi'tion' privy conspi'racy' and rebe'llion" from all salse doctrine he'resy and schis'm", from hardness of heart and contem'pr of thy word and commandment

Good, &c.

By the mystery of thy holy incarnation" by thy holy nativity and circumci'sion" by thy ba'ptism' fa'sting' and temptation'

Good, &c.

By thine a'gony and bloo'dy fwea't" by thy cro'fs and pa'ffion" by thy pre'cious dea'th and bu'rial" by thy glòrious refurre'ction and afce'nfion" and by the coming of the Holy Ghoft'

Good, &c.

In all time of our tribulation" in all time of our wealth" in the hour of dealth" and in the day of juldgment'

Good Lord deli'ver us=

=Wē finners' do besèech thee to hèar us' O Lord God" and that it may please thee to rule 158

rule and govern thy holy Chu'rch universal' in the right way""

We beseech thee to hear us' good Lord"

That it may please thee' to keep' and strengthen' in the true worshipping of thee' in righteousness and holiness of life' thy servant George' our most gracious King and Governor"

We. &c.

That it may please thee' to rule his heart' in thy faith' fear' and love" and that he may evermore have affiance in thee' and ever feek th'y honour and glory"

We, &c.

That it may please thee to be his dese'nder and keeper" giving him the victory over all his e'nemies"

We. &cc.

- That it may please thee' to ble's and prefe'rve our gracious Queen Charlotte" his Royal Highness George Prince of Wales" and all the Royal family"

We, &c.

That it may please thee to illuminate all bishops' priests' and deacons' with true knowledge and understanding of thy word" and that both by their preaching' and living' they may fet it forth' and shew it accordingly"

We, &c.

That it may please thee' to endue the Lords of of the Council' and all the nobi'litv' with grace' wi'ldom' and understanding"

We, &c.

That it may please thee' to bless' and keep the ma'gistrates" giving them grace to execute ju'stice' and to maintain trùth"'

We, &c.

That it may please thee' to bless' and keep' all thy people"

We, &c.

That it may please thee' to give to all nations' unity' peace' and concord"

We, &c.

That it may please thee' to give u's an heart to lo've' and drea'd thee" and diligently to li've after thy commandments"

We, &c.

That it may please thee' to give to all thy people increase of grace' to hear meekly thy word" and to receive it with pure affection" and to bring forth the fruits of the spi'rit"

We, &cc.

That it may please thee' to bring into the way of trùth' all su'ch as have e'rred' and are deceived"

We, &c.

That it may please thee' to stren'gthen' such' as do stan'd' and to comfort and help the weak-hearted" and to raise up them that fall' and finally to beat down Satan under our feet"

We, &cc.

160 THE ART OF READING

That it may please thee' to succour' help' and comfort' all that are in danger' necessity' and tribulation'"

We, &c.

That it may please thee' to preserve all that tra'vel' by land' or by water" all women labouring of child" all si'ck persons' and young chi'ldren" and to shew thy pity upon all pri'soners and ca'ptives"

We, &c.

That it may please thee to defend and provide for the fatherless children and wi'dows and all that are de'solate and oppre's sed."

We, &c.

That it may please thee to have me'rcy upon all men"

We, &c.

That it may please thee to forgive our enemies persecutors and slanderers and to turn their hearts."

We, &c.

That it may please thee' to gi've' and prefer've to our use' the kindly fruits of the earth' so as in due time we may enjoy them"

We, &c.

That it may please thee to give us true repe'ntance" to forgive us all our si'ns ne'gligences and i'gnorances" and to endue us with
the grace of thy Holy Spirit to amen'd our
lives according to thy holy wo'rd=

We beseech thee to hear us' good Lord=

So'n of God' we beseech thee to hear us''

O La'mb of God' that takest awa'y the si'ns
of the world'

Grant us thy peace"

O La'mb of God' that takest away the si'ns of the world'

Have me'rcy upon us"

O Chrīst' hear us"

Lord' have me'rcy upon us"

Chrīst' have me'rcy upon us"

Lord' have me'rcy upon us

I shall now make a few observations upon some passages in the above service.

In the opening of the Litany, there is fomething fo wrong in the composition, that it will be very difficult to fet it right by any mode of reading. The usual way of delivering it-O God the Father of Heaven'-certainly does not make it fense. God may properly be flyled the Creator of Heaven, as well as of Earth; but as we should be struck with the impropriety of calling him the Father of Earth, custom alone could prevent our perceiving, that it is equally abfurd, to ftyle him the Father of Heaven. Besides, there is evidently intended here, in the opening of the Litany, a distinct address to each of the Persons of the Trinity; not only by their different appellations, but by specific attributes to each. Thus

in the address to God the Son, he is peculiarly characterised as Redeemer of the world. In that to the Holy Ghost, as, Proceeding from the Father and the Son. The like was probably intended with regard to the address to God the Father, at the opening, by the words, of Heaven, as confidering that to be peculiarly his province, as that of the Earth more immediately belonged to our Redeemer. If this was the intention, as it certainly ought to have been, of the writer, it is so obscured by the ill choice and arrangement of the words, that all the world have mistaken it. Had he inserted the word, Ruler, or Creator, the fense would then have been plain, and the composition perfect; as thus-'O God the Father! Ruler of Heaven, &c.

O God the Son! Redeemer of the world, &c.

O God the Holy Ghost! proceeding from the Father and the Son, &c.'

There is no doubt, that as it was intended that the opening of the Litany, should be, by a distinct address to each of the three Persons of the Trinity, this intention should have been manifested in the first instance of the address, to the first Person, God the Father, which it is not at all, in the manner in which it is always delivered—'O God the Father of Heaven'—for this is not God the Father, considered as one

of the Persons of the Trinity, that is, the Father of Jesus Christ, or God the Son; but expressly, God the Father of Heaven; and therefore has no relation to, or connexion with, the two following invocations, to God the Son, and God the Holy Ghost. The only way to remedy this defect, is, by making a pause after— God the Father'—as I have read and marked it—Thus—O God the Father' of Heaven—That is, peculiarly God of Heaven, as we style the Son, our Saviour and Redeemer, more peculiarly Lord of Earth. This may at first seem uncouth from its novelty, but the reason for it will soon appear, and its propriety be made manifest.

In that part of the Litany, where we pray for a deliverance from all kinds of evil, there is one fault that constantly runs through the whole; which is, that at the end of every passage which the clergyman utters, he makes a full stop; though there is not one of them which contains a complete sense, till it be joined with the following part, spoken by the clerk and congregation. Thus, in the first passage—' From all evil and mischief, from sin, from the crasts and assaults of the devil, from thy wrath, and from everlasting damnation'—It is evident that the sentence is not closed, as it does not contain a single verb; nor can it be made sense, till the words—

Good Lord deliver us'—be joined to it. And the same may be observed throughout all that part of the Litany. The best way to get rid of this bad habit, is, that the clergyman should throughout, join with the congregation in repeating those words—'Good Lord deliver us'—and then he will of course see the necessity, of not giving the tone of a full stop, to the preceding part of the sentence.

It is usual when that part of the Litany is ended, in which we deprecate evil, to run on immediately, and in the same tone of voice, to the next part, in which we pray for good. But surely there ought to be a pause of some duration, to mark this change; and the tone should be lowered to that of one who supplicates, and beseeches the grant of savours, to which he is not entitled; as is manifest from the very first words with which it sets out.—

• We sinners' do beseech thee to hear us' O Lord God, &c.

There is a passage in that part of the Litany, often improperly read thus—'That it may please thee to desend and provide, for the fatherless children and widows, &c.'—in which way of stopping, for, is equally associated to the former verb, defend, as to the latter, provide; but we know that, desend and for, can never be united, as desend for, is not English. We should therefore read it thus—That it

may please thee to defend, and provide for, the fatherless children, and widows, &c.

I shall not detain you with any remarks upon the flighter faults committed in this part of the service, but proceed to the rest.

O Lord' deal not with us a fter our fins" Neither reward us a'ster our ini'quities =

O God' merciful Father' that despisest not the fighing of a contrite heart' nor the defire of fuch as be fo'rrowful" mercifully affi'ft our prayers' that we make before thee in all our trou'bles and adve'rsities' whensoever they oppre's us" and graciously hear us that those evils' which the craft and fubtlety' of the de'vil' or ma'n' worketh against us' be brought to nought" and by the providence of thy goodness they may be dispe'rsed" that we' thy fervants' being hurt by no persecutions' may e'vermore give than'ks unto thee' in thy holy church' through Jesus Christ' our Lord"

O Lord' arise" help us' and deliver us' for thy name's fake""

O God' we have heard with our ears' and our fathers have declared unto us' the noble works that thou didst in their days' and in the ôld time before them",

O Lord' arise" help us' and deliver us for thine ho'nour, From

From our enemies defend us' O Christ"
Gràciously look upon our afflictions"
Pi'tifully behold the forrows of our hearts"
Me'rcifully forgive the sins of thy people"
Fàvourably with me'rcy' hear our prayers"

O Son of David' have me'rcy upon us"

Both no'w' and e'ver' vouchfafe to hear us'

O Christ"

Graciously hear us' O Christ" graciously hear us' O Lord Christ"

O Lord' let thy me'rcy be she'wed upon us'
As we do put our tru'st in thee=

Let us pray=

We hūmbly befeech thee O Fāther me'rcifully to look upon our infi'rmities" and for the glo'ry of thy name tu'rn from us all those evils that we most ri'ghteously have deserved" and grant that in all our troubles we may put our whole trust and considence in th'y mercy and evermore se'rve thee in holines and pureness of living to thy honour and glory through our only Mediator and Advocate Jēsus Christ our Lord

PRAYERS and THANKSGIVINGS upon several Occasions.

O Almighty God' King of all kings' and Governour of all things" whose power no creature

ture is able to resi'st" to whom it belongeth justly to pu'nish si'nners" and to be me'rciful to them that truly repent" fave and deliver u's' we humbly beseech thee' from the hands of our enemies" Abate their pride' affua'ge their ma'lice' and confound their devi'ces" that we' being armed with th'y defence may be preferved evermore from all perils' to glorify thee' who art the only giver of all victory' thro' the merits of thy only Son' Jesus Christ our Lord=

Most grācious God' we humbly beseech thee' as for this kingdom in ge'neral' fo espe'cially for the high court of Parliament' under our most religious and gracious King at thi's time assembled" that thou wouldst be pleased to dire'ct' and pro'sper' all their consultations' to the advancement of thy glory' the good of thy Church' the fafety' honour' and welfare of our Sovereign' and his kingdoms" that all things may be so ordered and fettled by their endeavours' upon the be'lt' and surest foundations" that peace and happiness' truth and justice' religion and piety' may be esta'blished among us' for all generations" 'Thefe' and all o'ther necessaries' for the'm' for u's' and thy whole church' we hu'mbly beg' in the name and mediation of Jesus Christ' our most ble'ssed Lord and Saviour -

O God' the Creator' and Prese'rver of all mankind' we humbly befeech thee' for all forts and M 4

and conditions of men' that thou wouldst be pleased to make thy ways known unto them' thy faving health unto all nations" more espècially we pray for the good estate of the Catholic Church" that it may be fò guided and governed by th'y good spirit' that all who profess and call themselves Christians' may be led into the way of truth" and hold the faith in unity of spirit' in the bon'd of peace' and in righteousness of life" Finally' we commend to thy fatherly goodness' all those' who are a'ny way' afflicted or diffressed' in mind body or estate" That it may please thee to comfort and relieve them' according to their feveral necessities" giving them patience u'nder their fufferings' and a happy iffue out of all their afflictions" And this we beg for Jesus Christ his sake=

O God' whose nature and property is' e'ver to have me'rcy' and to forgi've" receive our humble petitions" and tho" we be tied and bound with the chain of our fins' yet let the pitifulness of thy great mercy loose us' for the honour of Jesus Christ' our mediator and advocate.

Almighty God' Father of all mercies' we' thine unworthy fervants' do give thee most humble' and hearty thanks' for all thy goodness' and loving kindness' to u's' and to all men" We bless thee for our creation prefervation' and all the bleffings of this life" but abov'e

above all' for' thine ine'stimable love' in the redem'ption of the world by our Lord Jesus Christ" for' the means of grace' and for' the hope of glory" And we beseech thee' give us that due fense of all thy mercies' that our hearts may be unfeignedly thankful" and that we may shew forth thy praise' not only with our li'ps' but in our lives" by giving u'p ourselves to thy fervice' and by walking before thee in holiness and righteousness all our days" thro' Jesus Christ our Lord" To whom' with thee' and the Holy Ghost' be all ho'nour' and glory' world without end =

The Communion.

· Almighty God' u'nto whom all hearts be open' all defires known' and fro'm whom no fecrets are hid" cleanse the thoughts of our hearts by the inspiration of thy holy spirit" that we may pe'rfectly love thee' and wo'rthily magnify thy holy name' thro' Christ our Lord=

God spake these words' and said" I' am the Lord thy God" thou shalt have none o'ther Gods but me"

Lord have me'rcy upon us' and incline our hearts to keep thi's law""

Thou shalt not make to thyself any graven image' nor the likeness of any thing that is in heaven abo've' or in the earth beneath' or in the waters u'nder the earth" thou shalt not bow do'wn to them' nor wo'rship them" for I' the Lord thy God' am a jea'lous God' and visit the sins of the fathers' upon the chi'ldren' unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate me' and shew mercy unto thousands in them that lo've me' and keep my commandments"

Lord, &c.

Thou shalt not take the name of the Lord thy God in vain" for the Lord will not hold hi'm gui'ltless' who taketh his name in vain"

Lord, &c.

Remember' that thou keep holy the Sabbath day" fi'x days shalt thou labour' and do all that thou hast to do" but the se'venth day' is the Sa'bbath of the Lord thy God" In i't' thou shalt do no manner of work" thou' and thy fon' and thy daughter" thy man-servant' and thy maid-servant" thy cattle' and the stranger that is within thy gates" For in si'x days' the Lord made heaven and earth' the sea' and all that in them is" and re'sted the seventh day" wherefore the Lord ble'ssed the seventh day' and ha'llowed it"

Lord, &c.

Honour thy Father and thy Mother' that thy days may be lo'ng in the land' which the Lord thy God giveth thee"

Lord, &c. www. Name of the last and

Thou shalt do no mu'rder'"

Thou shalt not commit adu'ltery" Thou shalt not steal"

Thou shalt not bear salse witness against the neighbour"

Thou shalt not covet thy neighbour's ho'use" thou shalt not covet thy neighbour's wife" nor his fe'rvant' nor his maid' nor his o'x' nor his ass' nor a'ny thing that is his"

Lord have me'rcy upon us' and write all these thy laws in our hearts we beseech thee=

Almighty God' whose kin'gdom' is everla'fting' and po'wer' i'nfinite" have me'rcy upon the whole church" and fo rule the heart of thy chosen servant' George' our King and Governor' that He' knowing whôse minister he is' may above all things feek thy honour and glory" And that we' and all his fubjects' duly considering whose authority he hath' may faithfully ferve' honour' and humbly obey him' i'n thee' and fo'r thee' according to thy bleffed word and ordinance' thro" Jesus Christ our Lord" Who' with thee' and the Holy Ghost' liveth and reigneth ever o'ne God' world without end =

I believe in one God' the Father Almighty' maker of heaven and earth' and of all things visible and i'nvisible" and in o'ne Lord' Jesus Christ" the only begotten Son of God" begotten of his Father before all worlds" God of God'

Light

Light of light' ve'ry God of very God' bego'tten' not made" being of o'ne substance with the Father' by whom all things were made" Who' for us men' and for our falvation' came down from Heaven' and was incarnate by the Holy Ghoft' of the Virgin Mary' and was made man" and was crucified also for us under Pontius Pilate" He fuffered and was buried and the third day he rose again' according to the scriptures' and ascended into Heaven" and sitteth on the right hand of the Father" And He shall come agai'n' with glory' to ju'dge both the quick and the dead" whose kingdom shall have no end" And I believe in the Holy Ghost the Lord and giver of life" who proceedeth from the Father and the Son" who' wi'th the Father and the So'n together is worshipped' and glorified" who fpake by the prophets" And I believe one Ca'tholic and Aposto'lic Church" I acknowledge o'ne baptism for the remission of fins" and I look for the refurre ction of the dea'd' and the life of the world to co'me.'-

In the prayer for the King, there is often a false emphasis laid in the following sentence, thus—' that He knowing whose minister he is'—whereas it should be—' that he' knowing whose minister he is'—that is, knowing that he is the minister of the Almighty, God—And the same emphasis should be preserved in the sub-

sequent.

fequent part—' and that we, and all his subjects, duly confidering whose authority he hath, &c.' for the same reason.

There is a passage in the Creed often saultily delivered, in the following manner—' Go'd of Go'd, Light of light, ve'ry God of ve'ry God'—In which mode of expression—' Go'd of Go'd'—according to the common acceptation, it would imply a superiority in him over God; as, when we say, 'King of Kings;' but, by laying the stress on, 'o'f,' as 'God o'f God'—the true meaning is pointed out, which is, 'God proceeding fro'm God, light fro'm light, very God fro'm very God.'

I shall now proceed to the rest of the service of the Communion.

Let your light so shine before men' that they may see your good works' and glorify your Father which is in Heaven=

Lay not up for yourselves treasures upon ear'th' where the rust and moth doth corrupt' and where thieves break thro' and steal" but lay up for yourselves treasures in Hea'ven' where neither rust nor moth doth corrupt' and where thieves do no't break thro' and steal=

Whatsoever ye would that men should do unto you' even so do unto the m' for this is the law and the prophets=

Be merciful after thy po'wer" if thou hast mu'ch' give ple'nteously" if thou hast li'ttle' do thy diligence gladly to give o'f that little" for so gatherest thou thyself a good reward in the day of necessity

He that hath pity upon the poor' lendeth unto the Lord" and look what he layeth out'

and it shall be paid him agai'n=

Ble'ffed be the man that provideth for the fi'ck and needy' the Lord shall deli'ver him in the time of trouble=

Almighty and e'verliving God' who by thy holy Apostle hast taught us to make prayers and fupplications' and to give thanks for all men" we humbly befeech thee' most mercifully to receive these our prayers' which we offer to thy divine Majesty" beseeching thee to inspire continually the unive'rfal church' with the spirit of truth' unity' and concord" And grant that all they that do confe's thy holy name' may agree in the trùth of thy holy word' and live in unity' and godly love"' We befeech thee alfo' to fave' and defend' all Chri'stian Kings' Princes' and Governors" and especially thy se'rvant George' our King' that under him we may be godly and quietly governed" And grant unto his whole council' and to all that are put in authority under him' that they may truly and indifferently' minister ju'stice" to the punishment' of wi'ckedness

wi'ckedness and v'ice" and to the mai'ntenance of thy true religion and vi'rtue" Give grace' O Heavenly Father' to all Bishops and Curates' that they may both by their life' and do'ctrine' fet forth thy true and lively word' and rightly and duly administer thy holy sacraments" And to all thy people' give thy heavenly grace" and especially to this congregation here prefent' that with meek heart' and due reverence' they may hear' and receive thy holy word' truly ferving thee in holiness and righteousness all the days of their life" And we most humbly beseech thee' of thy goodness O Lord' to co'mfort and su'ccour all them' who' in this transtory life' are in trouble' forrow' need' fickness' or any other adversity" And we also ble's thy holy name' for all thy servants departed this life' in thy faith and fear" befeeching thee to give u's grace' so to follow their good example' that wi'th them' we may be partakers of thy Heavenly kingdom" Grant this O Father for Iefus Christ's fake' our only mediator and advocate=

Dearly beloved in the Lord" Ye that mind to come to the holy Communion of the Body and Blood of our Saviour Christ" must consider' how Saint Paul exhorteth all persons' dilligently to try and examine themselves' before they presume to eat of tha't bread' and drink of tha't cup" For as the be'nefit is great if with

with a true pe'nitent heart' and lively faith' we receive that holy facrament" (for the'n we spi'ritually eat the flesh of Christ' and drink his blood" then we dwell in Christ and Christ in u's" we are one with Christ and Christ with u's") fo is the danger great if we receive the fame unwo'rthily" For then we are guilty of the body and blood of Christ our Saviour" we eat and drink our own damnation' not confidering the Lord's body" we kindle God's wrath against us" we provoke him to plague us with diverse diseases' and fundry kinds of death" Judge therefore yourse'lves' Brethren' that you be not judged of the Lord" repent you truly for your fins past" have a lively and stedfast faith in Christ our Saviour" amend your lives' and be in perfect charity with all men" fo shall ye be meet partakers of those Holy Mysteries" And above all things' you must give most humble and hearty thanks' to God the Father the Son' and the Holy Ghost' for the rede'mption of the world' by the death and passion of our Saviour Christ' both God' and man" who did humble himself even unto the death upon the Cross' for u's' miserable sinners" who lay in darkness and the shadow of death' that he might make u's the children of God' and exalt us to everlasting life" And to the end that we should alway remember' the exceeding great love of our Master and only Saviour' Jesus Christ' thu's dying

dying for us" and the innumerable benefits' which by his precious blood-shedding he hath obtained to us' He' hath instituted and ordained Holy Mysteries' as pledges of his love' and for a continual remembrance of his death' to our great and endless comfort". To Him therefore' with the Father' and the Holy Ghoft' let us give' as we are most bounden' continual thanks" fubmitting ourfelves wholly' to hi's holy will and pleafure" and flu'dying to ferve him' in true holiness and righteousness' all the days of our life =

Ye' that do truly' and earnestly repent you of your fins" and are in love and charity with your neighbours" and intend to lead a new life' following the commandment of God' and walking from he'nceforth in his holy ways" draw near with faith' and take this holy facrament to your comfort" and make your humble confellion to Almighty God' meekly kneeling upon your knees = will make which were

Almighty God' Father of our Lord Jefus Christ' Maker' of all things' Jud'ge' of all men" we acknow'ledge' and bewail our manifold fins and wickedness' which we' from time to time' most grievously have committed' by thought' word' and deed' against thy divine Majesty" provoking most justly thy wrath and indignation against us" We do ea'rnestly repe'nt' and are heartily fo'rry for these our misdoings" THEFT

The reme'mbrance of them is grievous unto us" the bu'rden of them is into'lerable" Have me'rcy upon us' have me'rcy upon us' most merciful Father" for thy Son our Lord Jefus Christ's sake' forgive us all that is past" and grant that we may ever herea fter ferve and please thee' in newness of life" to the honour and glory of thy name' thro' Jesus Christ our Lord-

Almighty God' our heavenly Father' who' of his great mercy' hath promised forgiveness of fins' to all them that with hearty repentance' and true faith' turn unto him" have me'rcy upon you" pardon and deli'ver you from all your si'ns" consi'rm and streng'then you in all goo'dness' and bring you to everla'sting life' thro' Jesus Christ our Lord=

Hēar' what co'mfortable words' our Saviour Christ faith' unto all that truly turn to him"

Come unto me' all ye that travel' and are heavy laden' and I will refre'sh you"

So God lo'ved the world' that he gave his only begotten Son' to the end that all that beliève in him' should not pe'rish' but have everlasting life=

Hear also what Saint Paul saith"

This' is a true faying' and, worthy of all men to be received' that Christ Jesus came into the world' to fave finners=

II

Hear also what Saint John saith"

If any man si'n' we have an A'dvocate with the Father' Jesus Christ the righteous" and He' is the propitiation for our sins=

Li'ft up your hearts"

We lift them u'p unto the Lord"

Let us give tha nks unto our Lord God"

It is meet and right so to do"

It is ve'ry meet right and our bounden dûty that we should at all times and in all places give thanks unto thee O Lord Holy Father Almighty everla sting God"

Therefore with angels' and archangels' and with all the company of Heaven' we laud' and magnify thy glorious name' evermore praising thee' and faying' Holy' holy' holy' Lord God of hosts" Heaven and Earth are su'll of thy glory" Glory be to thee' O Lord most High=

We do not presume to come to this thy table' O merciful Lord' trusting in our o'wn righteousness' but in thy manifold and great me'rcies" We are not worthy so much as to gather up the crumbs under thy table" but thou art the same Lord' whose property is always to have me'rcy" Grant us therefore gracious Lord' sò to eat the sle'sh of thy dear Son Jesus Christ' and to drink his bloo'd' that our sinful bodies' may be made clean' by hi's body" and our souls washed through his most precious

bloo'd" and that we' may evermore dwell in hi'm' and he' in u's =

Almighty God' our Heavenly Father' who' of thy tender mercy' didst give thine only Son Jesus Christ to suffer upon the cross' for our redemption" who made there' by his o'ne oblation of himsels' once offered a fu'll per'sect' and fuffi'cient facrifice' oblation' and fatisfaction' for the fins of the whole world" and did i'nstitute' and in his holy Gospel command us to conti'nue' a perpe'tual memory of that his precious death' until his coming aga'in" Hear us' O merciful Father' we most humbly beseech thee' and grant that we' receiving these thy creatures of bread and wine' according to thy Son our Saviour Jesus Christ's holy institution' in reme'mbrance of his death and passion' may be partakers of his most blessed body and blood" Who' in the same night that he was betrayed' took bread" and when he had given thanks' he brake it" and gave it to his Difciples' faying" Take' eat' this is my body which is given for you" do this in remembrance of mè" Likewise' after supper' he took the cup" and when he had given thanks' he gave it to them faying" Drink ye all of this' for this is my blood of the New Testament' which is shed for you' and for ma'ny' fo'r the remission of fins" Do this' as oft as ye shall drink it' in remembrance of me=

The bo'dy of our Lord Jesus Christ' which was given fo'r thee' preserve th'y body and soul unto everla'sting life" Take' and eat thi's' in remembrance that Christ died for thee' and feed on him in thy heart' by faith' and thankfgi'ving=

The bloo'd of our Lord Jesus Christ' which was shed fo'r thee' prese'rve thy body and soul unto everla'sting life" Drink thi's' in remembrance that Christ's blood was shed for thee'

and be thankful =

O Lord' and Heavenly Father' we thy humble fervants' entirely defire thy fatherly goodness' mercifully to accept thi's our facrifice of praise and thanksgi'ving" most humbly befeeching thee to grant' that by the merits and death of thy Son Jesus Christ' and through faith in his blood' we' and all thy whole Church' may obtain remi'fion of our fins' and all o'ther benefits of his paffion" And here we offer and prese'nt unto thee' O Lord' ourse'lves' our souls and bo'dies' to be a reasonable' holy and li'vely facrifice unto thee" Humbly befeeching thee that all we' who are parta'kers of this Holy Communion' may be fu'lfilled with thy grace and Heavenly benediction" And although we be unwo'rthy' through our ma'nifold sīns' to offer unto thee a'ny facrifice' yet we befeech thee to acce'pt this our bounden duty and fervice" not weighing our me'rits' but pardoning

our offe'nces' through Jesus Christ our Lord' b'y whom' and wi'th whom' in the unity of the Holy Ghost' all honour and glory be unto thee' O Father Almighty' world without end=

Glory be to God on high" and on earth' peace' good will towards me'n" We praise thee' we bless thee' we worship thee' we glorify thee' we give thanks to thee for thy great glory' O Lord God' Heavenly King" God the Father' Almighty' O Lord' the only begotten Son Jesu Christ" O Lord God' La'mb of God' So'n of the Father' that takest away the si'ns of the worl'd" have mercy upon us" Thou' that takeft away the fins of the world receive our prayer" Thou that fittest at the right hand of God the Father' have mercy upon us" For' Thou only art holy' Thou only art the Lord" Thou only' O Christ' with the Holy Ghost' art most high' in the glory of God the Father=

The peace of God' which pa'ffeth all understanding' keep your hearts and minds in the know ledge and love of God' and of his Son Jesus Christ our Lord" And the ble'ffing of God Almighty' the Father' the So'n' and the Hôly Ghost' be amongst you' and remain with you always =

Affist us mercifully' O Lord' in these our fupplications and prayers" and dispose the way of thy servants towards the attainment of everla'sting falvation" that among all the changes and chances of this mortal life' they may ever be defe'nded by thy most gracious and rea'dy help' through Jefus Christ our Lord=

Grant' we beseech thee' Almighty God' that the words which we have heard thi's day with our oùtward ears' may' through thy grace' be fo grafted i'nwardly in our hearts' that they may bring forth in us' the fruit of goo'd living to the honour and praise of thy name, chrough Tesus Christ our Lord=

Prevent us' O Lord' in all our doings' with thy most gracious favour' and further us with thy conti'nual help' that in all our works' begu'n' conti'nued' and e'nded in thee' we may glorify thy holy name" and finally by thy mercy' obtain everla'sting life' through Jesus Christ our Lord=

Almighty God' the fountain of all wifdom' who knowest our necessities before we ask' and our ignorance i'n afking" we befeech thee to have compa'ssion upon our infi'rmities" and those things' which' for our unwo'rthiness' we dare not' and for our blindness' we can'not ask" vouchsafe to give us' for the wo'rthiness of thy ion' Jesus Christ our Lord=

Almighty God who hast promised to hear the petitions of them that ask in thy lon's name' we beseech thee' me'rcitully to incline thine ear to u's' that have made now our prayers and Supplications unto thee' and grant that those things which we have faithfully a'fked' according to thy will' may effe'ctually be obtained to the relief of our nece'ffity' and to the fetting forth of thy glory" through Jesus Christ our Lord

I shall not enter into any particular remarks on this part of the fervice, as it would only be repeating observations already made on similar passages: yet there is one part of it, where the bread and cup are distributed to the communicants, which I cannot pass over, and which is capable of great improvement, merely by the force of a different emphasis. It is usually thus delivered-' The body of our Lord Jesus Christ which was gi'ven for thee, preserve thy bo'dy and soul to everlasting life. Take and eat this in remembrance that Christ died for thee, and feed on him in thy heart by faith with thankfgiving.'-Now as this is spoken in their turns to each communicant, the latter part would have much more force if the emphasis were placed upon, thee, as thus-'t take and eat this' in remembrance that Christ died for thèe'-as it would bring it more home to each individual. And I would referve this emphasis for the latter place, rather than give it to the former, where it is faid- The body of our Lord Jesus Christ which was given for thee, &c.' because there is something more affecting feeting and emphatical in the last expressionwho died for thee'-and two fimilar emphafes in the two contiguous passages, would not have a good effect. There is another emphasis in the first part, which ought also to be changed from the usual manner of delivering it- The bo'dy of our Lord Jesus Christ preserve thy bo'dy and foul, &c.' Here the two emphases on the same word, body, have a bad effect; and therefore one of them should be changed, as thus - The bo'dy of our Lord Jesus Christ' preserve th'y body and foul, &c.' But the emphasis on the word body is to be restored in the fecond part, where the cup is adminiftered, and only the blood of Christ mentioned; as thus- The blood of our Lord Jesus Christ which was she'd for thee' preserve thy bo'dy and soul unto everlasting life.'-But in this also I would preserve the emphasis on the word thee, in the latter part, thus- Drink this in remembrance that Christ's blood was shed for thèe' and be thankful.

Having now gone through those parts of the Church-fervice which are most in general use, I shall leave the remainder of the Liturgy to the particular investigation of each individual, by the help of those general lights which have been thrown out during the course; and which, if due attention be paid to them, will be found fusicient guides. I would recom-WB-1

mend it to all who are defirous to make themfelves masters of the other parts of the service, to follow the model here laid down for them. That is, to write out such parts as they want to deliver properly, without any of the usual ftops; and after having confidered them well, to mark the paufes and emphases, by the new figns which have been annexed to them, according to the best of their judgment. But, above all, I would have them particularly attentive to the pauses, in the observation of which, the generality of readers are chiefly defective, as the clearness of the meaning, and the solemnity of the fervice, fo much depend upon them. The different degrees of length in the feveral pauses, must be left to every one's own judgment. To proceed thus far, will be fufficient to such as are contented to discharge their office with due decorum, without aiming at any thing beyond it. But to fuch of the clergy as are men of true piety and devotion, and consequently desirous of exciting them in others, I would recommend it to go farther; and as foon as they shall have made themselves masters of the right manner of reading, to lay afide the use of the book entirely, and deliver the whole from memory. For it is impossible, whilft the eye is on the book, that the heart can be upward; and therefore no earnest and fervent prayers can be produced, which alone

can inspire the listening congregation with true devotion. I once prevailed upon a clergyman, a man of real piety, to try this experiment; and it is incredible what effects were produced by it. I have heard many of his auditors declare, among whom were feveral respectable members of his own order, that they never knew what it was to have true devotion excited, or to pray fervently in church, till they heard him deliver the fervice in that manner. I know that this will be attended with fome difficulty at first, as they who have been always accustomed to the assistance of the book, may lose their presence of mind when deprived of that aid, and not be able to repeat even what is perfectely rooted on the memory. Like persons accustomed to swim with the help of corks, who would immediately fink if they were deprived of them. Nay, I have known fome clergymen fo exceedingly timid in that respect, that they never could venture even to deliver the Lord's prayer before the fermon, without having it written down. The way to get the better of fuch apprehension, will be to practife it first in private family duties; and when they find they can perform it there without difficulty, they will be emboldened to do the same in public worship also. But for their farther fecurity, they may for some time turn over the leaves of the service as they advance,

fo as always to have the passage before them which they are reciting, to which they may have recourse in case they should at any time find themselves at a loss. Every clergyman, upon trial, will find that this change of mode will not only produce excellent effects on the congregation, but will be the fource of a perpetual fund of fatisfaction to himself. For, as nothing can be more irksome than the drudgery and wearinefs arifing from going over continually one and the fame fettled fervice, in the ufual cold and mechanical way; fo nothing can cause greater inward satisfaction, than praying from the heart, as all must have felt who pray earnestly in their private devotions. How much more pleasing must it be to a pastor, when he not only feels himself the delight arifing from a pure and rational devotion, but reflects that he is communicating fensations of the fame kind to his flock; and by fo doing, takes the most effectual method to recover the strayed, and conduct the rest in the right way!

to a strays to have the pulling a large than

L E C T U R E S

ON THE TOTAL SECTION

ART OF READING;

SECOND PART:

CONTAINING

The Art of Reading Verse.

IN WHICH ALSO

The whole Prosony of the English Language, and Art of Versification, are, for the first Time, laid open, and placed in a clear Light.

BERUTSEL

ART or EKADING, &c

THE DESIGN NAMED BY

DI IN AN BRANCE VERSE

A A WALL DATE

LA VINC. It is some appropriate of Lear Propriate Control of the first of the first of the first of district I first I first of the first of the first of district I first of the of the first of the fi

LECTURES

ON THE

ART of READING, &c.

PART SECOND.

On the Art of Reading VERSE.

LECTURE I.

tures, treated of the Art of Reading Profe, I shall in this, lay open the still more difficult Art of Reading Verse; which, though not so generally useful, is yet by much the most pleasing and ornamental of the two. In order to this, it will be necessary to examine the state of our profody, and develope all the principles and laws of our versification, which at present, are either buried in obscurity, or falsely seen through the mists of errour. From the neglect of studying our own language, we know

know nothing of its peculiar constitution, with regard to its properties of found; but have indolently adopted the rules of profody laid down by our neighbours; or, where they would not answer, have had recourse to those of the ancients; though in reality neither of them would fquare with our tongue, on account of an effential and conflitutional difference between them. Thus, because the French measured their verses by the number of fyllables which composed them, on account of a defect in their tongue, which rendered it incapable of numbers formed by poetic feet (as shall hereaster be explained), we did the fame; and in confequence of this, our English heroic line was said to consist of ten fyllables. The falfity of which rule will fufficiently appear, by producing lines of eleven, twelve, thirteen, nay fourteen fyllables. Of which I shall chuse the following specimens: First, of a line containing eleven syllables.

And the shrill founds ran echoing thro' the wood.

Here the advocates for the rule will fay, that the vowel o in the word echoing ought to be ftruck out by an apostrophe; but would any one in that case really sound it thus,

And the shrill founds ran ech'ing through the woods?

Can any thing be more abfurd than to omit a vowel in the writing, which cannot be omitted in the utterance? What could be done with the following line, in which there are thirteen fyllables?

O'er many a frozen, many a fiery Alp. Would any one pronounce it thus?—

O'er man' a frozen, man' a fiery Alp. What a monstrous line would this appear uttered in that manner, instead of a noble verse, when all the fyllables are distinctly pronounced!

I have given two inflances of lines, one, containing eleven, the other, thirteen fyllables. I shall now produce a couplet, of as fine found perhaps as any in our language, wherein the former line has fourteen, the latter, twelve fyllables.

And many an amorous, many a humorous lay, Which many a bard, had chaunted many a day.

This rule of measuring English verse by syllables, and confining the heroic line to ten, was universally received, till, not many years since, some essay writers shewed that it was formed by seet, like that of the ancients; and taking it for granted that they were exactly of the same nature, they boldly applied most of the rules of the Latin prosody, to our versisfication; though scarce any of them answered exactly, and many of them would not square at all with

the

the genius of our tongue. Yet they either did not, or would not fee the errours into which this led them. The chief fource of which errours lay in what I mentioned before, that of confidering the English poetic feet, as exactly the fame with the Roman, and treating them as fuch, when in reality there is a material difference between them; for the Latin poetic feet are formed by quantity, the English by accent. Though these two terms are in continual use, and in the mouths of all who treat of poetic numbers, I do not know any, to which more confused or erroneous ideas are annexed. Yet. as the knowledge of the peculiar genius of our language, with regard to poetic numbers, and its characteristical difference from others, in that respect, depends upon our having clear and precise notions of those terms, it will be necesfary to have them fully explained. The general nature of accent, has been sufficiently laid open in my former course, and will now require only fome observations on its particular use in forming metre. That of quantity, was referved for this part which treats of poetic numbers, as being more peculiarly its province.

If you ask a scholar what he means by the word, Quantity, he will tell you, that it is a term in prosody which relates to the length or shortness of syllables. If you ask him to define the difference between a long and short syllable,

lable, he will tell you, that a long one, is double the length of a short one. Now the plain meaning of this is, that it takes up double the time in founding that a short one does; and of this the ear alone can be the judge. But this is an idea which never entered into the scholar's head; for I will undertake to shew, that it never was taken into confideration by any of our writers upon the article of quantity, as they have all endeavoured to adjust the proportion of length and shortness in syllables, by rules which have no reference to the ear. So that, according to these rules, they call some syllables long, which are the shortest than can be pronounced by the organs of speech; and others fhort, which, in founding, take up double the time of those which they call long. And I think I shall be able to shew the cause of their falling naturally into this errour, gross as it may feem. Mr. Mason, who, in his two Essays on English numbers, has collected every thing that was faid on the subject by others, and added something of his own, lays it down as a rule, that every accented fyllable is naturally long; and in this he feems to fall in with the opinion generally received. Whereas I have clearly proved in my former course, that the accent, in fome cases, as necessarily makes a syllable short, as in others, it makes it long; according as it is placed either on the vowel, or the confonant.

that

Dr. Pemberton, who found by his ear that the rule before mentioned could not be true, has faid in one place, 'That though the accent does not indeed make every fyllable upon which it is placed really long, yet we must consider it as long.' That is, if we only fancy it to be fo, it will answer the end equally well, as if it really were fo. Crede quod habes & habes. In vain shall the poor ear put in its claim of judging upon this occasion; it will be told that it has no concern in this business; that the college proceeds upon an entirely new fystem, and that the modern learned have transferred the power of judging of founds, from the ear to the eye. Hard as this censure may feem, it is notwithstanding demonstrably true, as I shall presently make appear.

It is known to all the learned, that when a fyllable in Latin ends in a confonant, and the fubsequent syllable commences with one, the former is always long, to use the technical term, by the law of position. This rule was frictly observed in the Roman pronunciation of their own tongue, who always made fuch fyllables long by dwelling on the vowels; whereas the very reverse is the case with us, because a quite contrary rule takes place in English words fo constructed, as the accent or stress of the voice, is, in such cases, always transferred to the consonant, and the preceding vowel

vowel is 'rapidly passed over, which of course makes those fyllables short. We may form a iust idea of the difference between the old Roman pronunciation in this respect, and ours, by shewing that there is the same difference now existing between the French and us, in sounding all syllables of that structure. Thus the French pronounce the word cómbat, which we call com'bat; cóllege-col'lege; cómmuncom'mon; óbstacle-ob'stacle; dócteur-doc'tor; simple-sim'ple; and so on throughout. Hence it follows, that having adopted a rule of pronunciation in our tongue, directly oppofite to that of the ancient Romans, as well as fome modern tongues derived from theirs, we render all those syllables short, which by the law of position were founded long by them, as well as by some of our neighbours.

The Romans had another rule of profody, that when one fyllable, ending with a vowel, was followed by another, beginning with a vowel, the former fyllable was pronounced short: whereas in English there is generally an accent in that case on the former fyllable, as in the word pious, which renders the fyllable long. Pronouncing Latin therefore according to our own rule, as in the former case, we make those fyllables short, which were sounded long by them; so in this, we make all such fyllables long, which

which with them were short. Thus in pronouncing this hemistich of Virgil,

Ille méas errare bóves—

we are guilty of two falle quantities, by laying the accent on the vowel e in meas, and the o in boves, which are both short in the metre. In the words scio, túus, and in general all disfyllables of that structure, we are guilty of a false quantity in pronouncing them; it is the fame in pronouncing the words eo and pleo; but when we throw the accent farther back in their compounds, as in rédeo, im'pleo, we then give their true short quantity to those syllables, which before we pronounced long. So that we not only do not observe the just quantity in pronouncing Latin, but wholly pervert it, changing long into short, and short into long, in perhaps the greater part of its fyllables, as thefe rules are very comprehensive. Nor are these the only wrong rules which have been established; there is another still more extensive, by which it is rendered impossible for us ever to give a long quantity, to the last syllable of any Latin word. In laying open this rule, and fhewing the foundation of it, I shall be able to point out one of the chief fources of the confusion which has reigned among the moderns, not only of our own country, but of the neighbouring bouring nations, with regard to poetic numbers; and which has given rife to fo many endless controversies among the literati of Europe, without throwing any other light on the subject, except what has lest it, to use Milton's expression, in darkness visible.

The modern profodians found a rule in the ancient writers upon the Roman language, that there never was an accent laid upon the last syllable of a Latin word; which rule they immediately adopted, without confidering that the term accent, is used by us, in a very different fense from what it had among the Romans. With them, the term had reference only to the elevation or depression of the voice, in giving a higher or lower note to certain fyllables: in our accent, the elevation or depression of the voice has no concern, nor is there any fensible difference of a high or low note, belonging to one fyllable of a word, to distinguish it from the rest, but the distinction is made merely by a greater stress of the voice upon that syllable, than upon any other. Now had they feen this difference, they would have feen the abfurdity of adopting this rule. If instead of making use of the common term, accent, by which they were deceived, in faying, we are affured that the ancient Romans never laid an accent on the last syllables of their words, and therefore we ought to lay no accent; they had fubstituted the definition, in the room of the term, and faid, we are affured that the ancient Romans never raifed or lowered their voices beyond a certain degree, on the last syllables of words, therefore we must not lay any stress on those syllables; the fallacy would instantly have appeared, and would have prevented their falling into fo abfurd a practice. For, as we have no other way of marking a long fyllable, but by this stress of the voice, in faying that we must never lay an accent on a last syllable, they faid that we must never make a last syllable long. By this means the quantity of all last fyllables which are long, is not only changed to its opposite; but the short quantity of the preceding fyllable, in all diffyllables, is changed into a long one, by receiving the accent which in truth belonged to the last. Thus in the first line of the Æneid-

Arma virum'que cáno-

the fyllable ca, which is short, is made long by the accent; and no, which is long, is made short by being deprived of it. Here we have also another instance of the absurdity of this rule, for we lay the accent upon rum the last syllable of the word virum, because the connective que is added to it, which prevents its appearing to be the last syllable of the word; whereas were the connective away, and virum stood alone,

we should lay the accent upon the first syllable vi, and so render the short long; as would be the case did the line run thus—

Arma vīrum cecini, &c.

So that in following these three rules, much the greater part of Latin fyllables are falfely pronounced by us. The true quantity of the first and last syllables of words, is never pointed out to the ear with any certainty; and it is only in some of the intermediate syllables, that it is perceptible. Such as the penultima of the infinitive in the fecond and third conjugations of verbs, the former of which, is always founded long, and the latter, fhort; as in the words docere and legere. Such as the nouns of the third declension increasing in the genitive, whose penultimas are also short; as, Littus littoris, testudo testudinis. But the number of syllables, whose real quantity is pointed out to the ear by the observation of the rules of prosody, is extremely small, in comparison of those whose quantity is perverted by them. It may be asked, that if all this be so, how can it be accounted for, that the ear of a person skilled in Latin metre, should be so much hurt by the use of any false quantity in a verse? To this I anfwer, that it is not the ear that is offended on this occasion; as a proof of which, it is only necessary to observe, that the same individual fyllable,

fyllable, uttered exactly in the fame time, is used in metre sometimes as a long, and sometimes as a fhort syllable. Thus the termination is in the nominative and genitive fingular of nouns, is always short; and long in the dative and ablative plural. The last syllable in tristis, gentis, is fhort; the fame individual syllable, founded exactly in the same time, is called long in fatis, pratis; and any person skilled in the laws of profody, would be offended to fee the latter used as short in metre, or the former as long; though it is evident that the ear can have really no concern in it, and it is only by an illufion of the fancy, that he thinks the ear is offended. It is just the same as in the article of fpelling, where those who have been properly instructed in that art, are offended when they fee words written differently from the established mode, though perhaps the combination of letters in the false spelling, may be much better calculated to represent the true founds of the

If it be asked, what method the Romans took to manifest to the ear the different quantities of the fame fyllable, composed of the same letters, that of tis for instance, before quoted; the anfwer is easy. By pronouncing the syllable, when fhort, as in the case of the nominative and genitive fingular, in the fame way that we do, tristis, gentis, where the voice hurries over

13

the

the vowel to the confonant; and by resting on the vowel in the plural cases, as satis [sates] pratis [prates]. This may be clearly gathered from an observation of St. Austin on the first line of the Æneid; who says, that had the word primis been put instead of primus, the measure would have been spoiled: now in our way of pronouncing the syllables mus and mis, the ear can acknowledge no difference of quantity; but if the one be sounded primus, and the other primées, and were the line to be thus repeated,

Arma virumque cano, Trojæ qui primees ab oris—

the false quantity would be perceived, and the measure destroyed to our ears, as well as to those of the ancients.

In pronouncing the first line of Virgil's Eclogues after our manner, it is scarcely credible how much the quantity is perverted.

Tit'yre tú pat'ulæ rec'ubans fub' teg'mine fági—

Here, of seven long syllables, there are but two which can be acknowledged as such by the ear; which are tú and sá in sagi, where the stress or accent is laid on the vowels. The syllables, Tit, pat, rec, sub, teg, where the stress is on the consonant, are sounded in the shortest time possible. We know that the first syllable in Tityre is long, and the first in patulæ is short; and yet can any ear distinguish the least difference of quantity between Tit and pat? In like manner, we know the first syllable in recubans is short, and that in tegmine is long; yet sound rec and teg, are they not both equally short? the last syllable in Tityre is short, that in patulæ is long, yet by depriving the latter of its accent (according to the rule before mentioned) it has exactly the same sound, and is pronounced in the same short time as the former. But if the line were pronounced in the following manner,

Teetire tú pătulæ' recubans fúb tégmine fagi the quantity of the fyllables would be obvious to every ear, and the true movement of the verse be preserved. Yet this, from prejudice, would sound very uncouth to the ears of those who have been taught to pronounce Latin in a different way. And that it is only the effect of prejudice, we may gather from this, that foreigners are as much disgusted with our pronunciation of Latin, as we are with theirs.

I have sufficiently shewn you, under what prejudices the learned, after having imbibed their first ideas of quantity in the rules laid down for it in Latin prosody, (rendered for the most part salse by our vitiated pronunciation of

that language,) must come, to judge of the state of it in our own. The ear being there deprived of its authority, in its own peculiar province, and tyrannifed over by laws eftablished without its consent; inured to a state of flavery, and to receive implicitly long fyllables for short, and short syllables for long; was in no condition to reclaim its rights, or exert its powers afterwards. Very different indeed are the ears of the modern learned, from those of the ancients, as described by their writers; Teretes & religiosa, dissiciles & morosa, quarum est superbissimum judicium: 'Po-' lished and religious, difficult and morose, ' whose judgment is of the proudest nature.' Ours are the reverse of all this; and in their debauched state, losing their distinguishing faculties, fubmiffively receive any laws, which the infolence of pedantry shall lay down. Thus one of the first rules established for ascertaining quantity in our language, was, that every accented fyllable was long. The falfity of which rule, I have already sufficiently shewn, and yet it has been univerfally adopted. Dr. Forster, in his Essay on Accent and Quantity, asks with an affured air, 'Whether any person in England usually pronounces an English dissyllable or polysyllable without making the voice rest longer on some one syllable than on the other?' To which I anfwer, that all Englishmen who pronounce well, certainly

certainly in such words distinguish one syllable from the rest, but not always by dwelling upon it, as he imagines, which is only the case when the accent is on the vowel; but on the contrary, when the accent is on the confonant, far from dwelling on that fyllable, it is pronounced as rapidly as possible, and the syllable in that case is distinguished from the rest, by the mere iEtus or more forcible stroke of the voice upon the consonant, than upon others. It is the Scots alone who mark all accented fyllables alike, by dwelling equally upon them, as well when the feat of the accent is on the confonant, as when it is on the vowel; and this it is which constitutes the most material difference between their pronunciation and ours. He then by way of example asks, ' Do we not employ o more time in uttering the first fyllables of beavily, bastily; quickly, slowly; and the second in folicit, mistaking; researches, delusive; than 'in the others?' In some of these words we certainly do; as in bástily, slówly, mistáking, delúsive; where the accent is on the vowels, which renders their found long. But in all the others, hev'-il-y, quick'-ly, fol-lis'-it, refer'-ches, where the accent is on the confonant, the fyllables hev', quick', lis', fer', are pronounced as rapidly as possibly, and the vowels are all short. In the Scotch pronunciation indeed they would be all reduced to an equal quantity,

quantity, as thus; hái-vi-ly, háis-ti-ly; quéek-ly, slówly; sol-leé-sit, mis-tai-king; re-sair-ches, de-lú-sive. But here we see that the four short vowels, are changed into sour long ones of a different sound, occasioned by their placing the seat of the accent on the vowels, instead of the consonants; thus instead of hev', they say, háiv; for quick' quéek; for lis' leése; and for ser' sair.

From what has been faid, an inference may be drawn, that the quantity of English syllables is adjusted by one easy and simple rule; which is, that when the feat of the accent is on a vowel, the fyllable is long; when on a confonant, fhort; and all unaccented fyllables are founded short. It is true that this rule sufficiently ascertains the quantity of all the syllables of our words, when separately pronounced. But what shall we fay, when after having thus, to all appearance, fixed the quantity of our fyllables by one simple easy rule, we find that it will not hold with regard to words arranged in fentences? where we fee that the quantity is perpetually changing; and that the fame individual syllable, of the same word, is fometimes long, and fometimes short, according to the rank which the word holds in the fentence. That the quantity of the accented fyllable of a word, depends upon the import-Impo as or besites the or blace yets because ance of its meaning. In short, that it is by emphasis, that the time or quantity is regulated.

If what I have just now advanced upon this head be true, (as I shall hereafter incontestibly prove it to be,) the whole modern theory of quantity, will be found a mere chimera. For there is not one of the writers on that subject, who feems to have the least idea of the mutable nature of our quantity; on the contrary, they consider it as certainly fixed to the syllables, in the same manner as the Roman, and lay down their rules accordingly. This errour proceeds from the fame fource as the rest; that of applying principles and rules of one tongue to another, with which they cannot fquare, on account of their constitutional difference. Thus, as in the article of accents, I have shewn one essential difference between the ancient languages and ours; fo in the article of quantity, I shall shew another as essential; inafmuch as theirs was, for the most part, immutably fixed to the feveral fyllables of their words; and ours, is liable to continual change. So that they who have laid down laws for it, as confidering it to be fixt like the Roman, have been endeavouring to bind a river in chains.

Effugiet tamen hæc sceleratus vincula Proteus. And

which

And indeed it is ridiculous enough to any one who can read properly, to fee how lamentably these gentlemen have marked the long and short syllables of the English verses, which they give as examples of their rules, after the Roman manner. But let us for a while forget the article of quantity, and examine what it is which constitutes English verse.

I grant there can be no true poetic numbers, without a due observation of quantity in reciting them; but in composing English verses, the poet need not pay the least attention to quantity, which will refult of course from the observation of other laws, as shall hereafter be explained. Triffino, a famous Italian poet, in writing on the measures of their verse, says, that as the ancients were determined by the quantity of the fyllables, in his language they are determined by the accent: and the same is true of the English. This has given rise to many endless disputes, occasioned by a misapprehension of the term, accent, as used by Triffino, who employed it in the same sense as that which I have annexed to our accent; and those who combated this opinion, took it in the sense of the ancient accents. It is in this light Dr. Forster considered it, where he says, Notwithstanding the confidence with which it is often affirmed, that the English metre e depends upon accent, and not on quantity,

210 THE ART OF READING.

- which I have endeavoured to refute; and
- tho' I have feemed to allow that accent jointly
- with quantity doth direct it; yet I cannot
- help thinking that the effence of it is founded
- ' in quantity alone. And to this I am induced
- ' by the following fact: Let a Scotchman take
- ' fome verses of any of our poets, as these,
 - ' All buman things are subject to decay,
 - ' And when fate summons, monarchs must obey.
- He will pronounce them with the accent transposed thus,
- · All bumán things are subject to decay,
 - · And when fate summons, monarchs must obey.

It is evident from this example, that Dr. Forster takes the term accent, in the ancient fense; and by the accentual mark over the last syllables of the words, humán, subject, summóns, monárchs, he does not mean that the Scots, in those words, change the feat of the true English accent, or stress of the voice, because they do not; but only that they elevate the voice on these syllables, contrary to the practice of the English; which is true. And in what follows, Dr. Forster clearly shews this to be his meaning. For he fays, 'Now though he calters the tones, and transfers the acute from the beginning to the end of words, yet, in this pronunciation the metre still essentially ' fubfifts, fublists, because founded in quantity, which is not violated by him.' And this is true in his use of the term accent; but had the Scotchman, instead of giving higher notes to those syllables, transferred the seat of the English accent, from the first to the second syllables, by laying a greater stress on them, as thus,

All humán things are subject to decáy,

the metre would have been entirely destroyed. The perplexity and confusion of ideas, which Dr. Forster seems to have laboured under upon this occasion, as may easily be gathered from this expression, ' and though I have seemed to allow that accent jointly with quantity doth direct it, yet I cannot help, &c.' have evidently arisen from his never having had a true conception of the English accent; for he would then have seen, that English metre is not constructed either by quantity, or tone, but stress only; and that a due arrangement of those accented fyllables, according to certain laws, is what produces verse. Perhaps it may appear to you, that I have taken up more rime than was necessary to explain these two points; and I confess that were I to speak upon the subject to persons who had never before received any impressions of accent or quantity, it might be done in a much narrower compass. But the entanglements of errour formed by early wrong instruction, P 2

instruction, and knit together by the force of prejudice and habit, are to be unravelled by a patient hand.

Having thus brought you past these two salse guides, which, like two posts lest standing to old roads, after the ways had been changed, were sure to mislead every traveller who consulted them; I shall now conduct you, by an unfrequented path, to our part of Parnassus, and lead you to a steep hill (to use a passage of Milton), 'laborious indeed at the first ascent, 'but else so smooth, so green, so sull of goodly 'prospects, and melodious sounds on every fide, that the harp of Orpheus was not more 'charming.'

I have already shewn that our verse is not formed by the number of syllables; I have said that it is composed of seet, like that of the ancients; and that the only difference is, that their seet, depended upon the quantity of the syllables which composed them, ours, upon accent. Our accented syllables, corresponding to their long ones, our unaccented, to their short, in the structure of these seet. That is, an accented syllable sollowed by an unaccented one in the same soot, answers to their trochee; and preceded by an unaccented one, to their iambus; and so with the rest.

For the use of such of my hearers as are not acquainted with Latin prosody, I shall here explain

explain the nature of poetic feet. Feet in verse correspond to bars in music; a certain number of fyllables connected form a foot in the one, as a certain number of notes make a bar in the other. They are called feet, because it is by their aid that the voice as it were steps along through the verse in a measured pace; and it is necessary that the syllables which mark this regular movement of the voice, should in some manner be distinguished from the others. This distinction was made among the ancient Romans, by dividing their fyllables into long and fhort, and afcertaining their quantity by an exact proportion of time in founding them; the long being to the short, as two to one; and the long fyllables, being thus the more important, marked the movement. In English, syllables are divided into accented and unaccented; and the accented fyllables being as strongly distinguished from the unaccented, by the peculiar stress of the voice upon them, are equally capable of marking the movement, and pointing out the regular paces of the voice, as the long fyllables were by their quantity, among the Romans.

All feet used in poetry consist either of two, or three fyllables; and the feet, among the ancients, were denominated from the number and quantity of their fyllables. The measure of quantity was the short syllable, and the long

one in time was equal to two short. A foot could not confift of less than two times, because it must contain at least two syllables; and by a law of poetry, needless to be explained at prefent, a poetic foot would admit of no more than four of those times. Consequently the poetic feet were necessarily reduced to eight; four of two fyllables, and four of three. Those of two syllables must either confift of two short, called a pyrrhic; two long, called a spondee; a long and short, called a trochee; or a short and long, called an iambus. Those of three syllables were, either three short, a tribrach; a long and two short, a dactyl; a short, long, and short, an amphibrach; or two fhort and a long, an anapæst.

For the benefit of those who are not acquainted with the Latin, I shall here draw out a scheme of the feet, adding English names to them, to explain their nature; that they may the more easily become acquainted with them, as the Latin terms, from use, are rendered more familiar to them.

Diffyllable.

Triffyllable.

Roman. Englist. Roman. English. Trochee - o 1st dist. Dactyl -00 Ift triff. Iambus - 2d diff. Amphibrach - 2d triff. Spondee double dist. Anapæst 3 3d triss. Pyrrhic un-dist. Tribrach un-triss. The The English terms here need but little explanation. 1st diss. signifies a foot of two syllables, in which the accent is on the first. 2d diss. where it is on the second. Double diss. where it is on both; and un-diss. on neither. The same in the tryssyllabic seet. The term diss. standing for dissyllable, triss. for trissyllable. The long syllable is marked by a small straight horizontal line as thus, -; the short, by a small curve turned upwards as thus, o, according to the usual practice of marking the quantity in Latin.

We are now sufficiently prepared for entering upon an examination of English numbers; and I shall begin with those which belong to our heroic verse, as the most considerable. And first, I shall consider the feet which enter into the composition of that species of verse.

The Greeks and Romans made use of but two seet in the structure of their heroic verse, the dactyl and spondee. The English verse admits all the eight before enumerated; notwithstanding the considence with which it has been afferted, that it is purely iambic, except that in some cases a trochee is admitted, as I shall immediately shew. In this line of Milton,

Prone on the flood extended long and large, the first foot is a 1st diff. (trochee), the fecond a 2d diff. (iambus).

In

In this,

And the | shrill sounds | ran echoing thro' the wood,

the first is an un-diff. (pyrrhic), the second a double diff. (spondee).

Thus in these two lines, we have examples of the four disfyllabic seet. I shall now give instances of the four triffyllabic.

Mur'muring | and with him fled the shades of night.

The first foot here is a first triff. (dactyl).

O'er man'y | a fró|zen man'y | a fie|ry Alp.

This line contains no less than three of the second triss. (amphib).

The great | Hiĕrár|chal standard was to move. Here the second foot is a third triff. (anapæst).

Innú|merable | before th' Almighty's throne. Here in the fecond foot we find an un-triff. (tribrach). And thus I have given you examples of all the triffyllabic, as well as diffyllabic feet.

What an amazing advantage must the use of so many seet give, in point of variety; to our heroic verse, over that of the ancients, who were confined to two only, were we to make the use of it which we might. But through the indolence of our poets in general, and their want of skill in the theory of numbers, some

falle

false rules have been established, which have, in a great measure, deprived us of that benefit.

It may perhaps be matter of wonder to some, to hear it afferted, that any of our best poets were ignorant of the theory of numbers; nor will they eafily be brought to believe, that they could make fuch good verses, without fuch knowledge. And yet it would be no difficult matter to prove, that scarce any of them, except Milton and Dryden, ever took the trouble to dive into that mystery; and their most admired verses proceeded wholly from ear and imitation, in the fame manner as Scotch and Irish tunes have been composed, by perfons utterly unacquainted with the art of mufic. The ear being constituted the sole judge, in a short time, smoothness supplanted expresfion, and the charms of variety were facrificed to a flowing uniformity. Critics, as little enlightened as the poets, established rules of art upon their practice, and confined our verlification by laws to those narrow bounds. Hence it was a received rule, that an English heroic verse should consist wholly of iambics, excepting now and then that a trochee might begin the line, for the fake of variety. Yet even this liberty Dr. Pemberton disapproves of, in spite of the vast number of some of the finest verses in our language, which are so constructed; and in spite of the example of Mr.

Pope, the smoothest of our versifiers, who is remarkable for his use of it.

Her lively looks a sprightly mind disclose, Quick' as | her eyes | and as unfix'd as those; Fávours | to none | to all she smiles extends, O'st she | rejects | but never once offends. Bright as | the sun | her eyes the gazers strike, And like the sun, she shines on all alike.

Here you see in some of his most pleasing lines, there are sour successive ones which begin with a trochee. Yet, upon the strength of Dr. Pemberton's rule, I have heard the author of a celebrated heroic poem boast, that all his verses were composed of pure iambics.

I cannot fee what great merit an author can claim, from confining himfelf to the use of one foot only, in a long work, where he was at liberty to regale the reader with that pleasing variety, which the use of eight might have furnished. But the best of it is, that this gentleman passed this censure upon himself, without meriting it: for however he might have been intentionally guilty of using no other foot but the iambic, he was not able to carry his design into execution; as I can point out several passes throughout his work, where he has unknowingly used the four several kinds of dissyllabic seet. And indeed it would be difficult to find any considerable number of successive lines

in our poetry, in which the pyrrhic and spondee are not to be met with, as well as the trochee and iambus. To give some instances of this from Mr. Pope, first of the pyrrhic.

As shades | more sweet|| w re|| commend | the light.

Where we find the third foot confifts of the last fyllable of fweetly, and the first of recommend, both unaccented, and both short.

Not half | fo swift| by the | fierce eagle moves. The same may be observed of the third foot of this line.

Now of the spondee.

Hill's peép | o'ér hill's | and alps | on alps | arise.

Here the four first syllables are accented, and make two feet equivalent to two spondees.

See the | bold youth | strain up' | the threatning steep.

Here the first foot is a trochee, the second a genuine spondee by quantity, the third a double diss. equivalent to a spondee.

And now, some instances of lines containing both the pyrrhic and spondee.

That on | weak wings | from far pursues your flight.

Here the first foot is a pyrrhic, the second a spondee.

Thro'

Thro' the | fair scene | roll slow | the ling'ring streams.

The first foot a pyrrhic, the two next spondees.

On her | white breast! | a sparkling cross she wore.

The first a pyrrhic, the second a spondee. But I need not multiply instances, as these seet are to be sound every where throughout his works, as well as those of all our best poets.

It is amazing that our critics, with fuch instances before their eyes, could have been so deceived; but this, as well as most of their other errours, took its rife from the fource before mentioned, that of trying always to square our measure to the rules of Latin prosody. Thus, because the pyrrhic was but little used, in the Roman poetry, they feem not to know that we have any fuch foot, notwithstanding that it is of more frequent use in our heroic measure than any, except the iambic. And because the spondee was seldom employed by them, except in heroic measure to temper the dactyl, having excluded the dactyl from ours, they shut out the spondee also, mistaking it for an iambus. Thus one of our* latest legislators in yerse, having pronounced that all our measure was either iambic or trochaic, * Vide Johnson's Grammar.

produces the following as an instance of the iambic kind:

Möst göod | möst fäir-

which furely are manifestly two spondees.

But though the false rule laid down by these gentlemen, has but little affected the practice of our poets, with regard to the four diffyllabic feet, yet those of the triffyllabic kind, have for a long time been wholly banished; and the law feems at present firmly established, that an English heroic line should never exceed ten fyllables; by which law, the triffyllabic feet are all necessarily excluded. And to this our poets pay fuch an implicit obedience, that when they introduce a greater number of fyllables into a verse, they always strike out such as they account supernumerary, by an elision, even where those fyllables are to be pronounced. Thus, though the words amorous and humorous, are always pronounced as three fyllables. when a poet uses them, he cuts out the middle fyllables of each, and writes them am'rous and hum'rous, that his verse might not appear to have more fyllables in it than the law ordains. Thus, Mr. Pope writes,

Thin glitt'ring textures of the filmy dew; though all the world, in reading the verse, pronounce the three syllables of the word glittering.

But it may be faid, if our poets really do make use of these feet in their verses, which are obvious to the ear when pronounced, that no inconvenience can arise from their cutting out one of the vowels to the eye, by an apostrophe; and all that can be faid of it is, that it is an unnecessary practice, which custom has established. This argument would be of force, if they really did make all the use of these triffyllabic feet which they might; but the observation of this rule has made them studiously avoid them; and they never attempt to make use of any of them, except where the words are capable of being eafily reduced from three to two fyllables, or from two to one, by an elision. Thus, though they would write like Milton-

Thro' God's high fufferance-

because the word sufferance may be reduced from three to two syllables, and be pronounced suff'rance—yet they would never conclude the line as he does—for the *trial* of man—because the word trial never can be reduced by an elision to one syllable; and this line therefore, to an ear under the influence of this rule, would appear to have a syllable too many—

Thro' God's high suff'rance for the trial of man. Nor would they write, like him,

With these that never fade, the spirits elect—

because the word spirits cannot be contracted into one syllable. In short, Milton is the only one of all our poets, who has enriched and varied his versification, by the frequent use of trissyllabic seet; yet this beauty is lost upon those who are prejudiced by the rule; or rather indeed appears a blemish.

Variety certainly contains a charm in itself, independent of every other consideration; but it is from the proper application of variety, that its greatest beauty and power arise. Now in order to know how to apply properly the different feet, it is necessary to be acquainted with their several natures, as they have all different properties, and are sitted to different uses. And in order to this, it will be first necessary to know what it is which constitutes poetic numbers.

Manifest as the difference is between verse and prose to all readers, yet, if they were called upon to explain precisely wherein that difference consisted, there are sew, if any, who would not find themselves at a loss. The perception of the difference between verse and prose is common to most readers; but there is a wide distance between a perception of the difference, and a knowledge of its cause. Most of our writers upon numbers, mistaking the one for the other, have not thought it at all necessary to examine into the nature of this differ-

ence, contenting themselves with laying down rules for the mechanical structure of verse. Some very ingenious men have attempted it without fuccess; and among others * one of uncommon penetration, after much pains taken upon this subject, has given a very lax, unfatisfactory description of this difference, in faving, 'Verse is more musical than prose; and of the former, the modulation is more perfect than of the latter. The difference betwixt verse and prose, resembles the difference in music, properly so called, betwixt the fong and the recitative. And the resemblance is onot the less complete, that these differences, s like the shades of colours, approximate fometimes fo nearly as scarce to be discernc ible?

According to this account, that of verse being only more musical than prose, and having a more persect modulation, they differ from each other merely in degrees of the same quality, and consequently there can be no essential difference between them. And yet that there is an essential difference I hope to make evident, by pointing out in what it consists. In order to which, I shall here trace numbers from their first principles, up to their most extended powers. The want of doing which, has been

^{*} The Author of Elements of Criticism.

the fource of much errour and confusion in the writings upon that subject.

Numbers, in the strict sense of the word, whether with regard to articulate, or inarticulate founds, to poetry, or music, consist in certain impressions made on the ear at stated and regular distances.

The lowest species of numbers, is a double stroke of the same note or found, repeated a certain number of times, at equal distances. The repetition of the same single note in a continued feries, and exactly at equal intervals, like the ticking of a clock, has nothing numerous in it; but the same note twice struck a certain number of times, with a paufe between each repetition, of double the time between the strokes, is numerous. The reason is, that the pleasure arising from numbers, consists in the observation of proportion; now the repetition of the same note, in exactly the same intervals, will admit of no proportion. But the fame note twice struck, with the pause of one between the two strokes, and repeated again at the distance of a pause equal to two, will admit of the proportional measurement in the pauses of two to one, to which we can beat time, and this is the simplest and lowest species of numbers; which may be exemplified on the drum. As, tu'm-tu'm - - tu'm-tu'm - - tu'm-tu'm - tu'm-tu'm - - tu'm-tu'm.

The next progression of numbers is, when the same note is repeated, but in such a way, that one makes a more fensible impreffion on the ear than the other, by being more forcibly struck, and therefore having a greater degree of loudness. As, ti-tu'm - - ti-tu'mor, tu'm-ti - - tu'm-ti. Or, when two weak notes precede a more forcible one; as, ti-ti-tu'm -- ti-ti-tu'm -- ti-ti-tu'm-or when they follow one: as, tu'm-ti-ti - - tu'm-ti-ti.

In the first and lowest species of numbers which I have mentioned, as the notes are exactly the same in every respect, there can be no proportion observed but in the time of the pauses. In the second, which rises in degree just above the other, though the notes are still the same, yet there is a diversity to be observed in their respective loudness and softness, and therefore a measurable proportion of the quantity of found. Numbers of this species may also be exemplified on the drum, whose notes are always the fame in kind, and will admit of no other variety, but different degrees of loudness or softness.

In this latter species, beside the proportion of time in the pauses, and of force in the notes, there is another thing to be taken into confideration, which is, the order of the notes; whether they proceed from ftrong to weak, or from weak to strong, as, tu'm-ti - - tu'm-ti - - or ti-tu'm - - ti-tu'm - - tu'm-ti-ti - - tu'm-ti-ti - - or ti-ti-tu'm - - ti-ti-tu'm. This diversity of order occasions a great difference in the impressions made on the ear, and in the effects produced on the mind. To express this diverfity of order in the notes in all its feveral kinds, I shall make use of the common term Movement; as the term Measure shall be made use of to express the different proportions of

time, both in the paufes and the notes.

So far I have described the lower species of numbers, into which order and proportion can be admitted, by supposing only one and the fame note to be repeated at measured intervals, with different degrees of loudness or softness. But as the ear is foon fatiated with a continued repetition of the fame found, Nature has furnished us with another source of pleasure, which though not effential to numbers, is yet their chief ornament, I mean, Variety; the parent of Melody and Harmony. Here then we ascend to a higher species of numbers, in which the delight arifing from the diversity of high and low notes, of flats and fharps, &c. is fuperadded to the pleasure which we before received, merely from order and proportion. This species of numbers may be exemplified, by performing the fame movement which had before been heat on the drum, on any stringed instrument, which will not admit of a prolonga-

tion of a note. But it has no other advantage over the former, than what arises from the mere diversity of founds, and the relative proportions of high or low, flat or sharp, &c. which they bear to each other. The notes themselves being incapable of prolongation, like those of the drum, can bear no relative proportion of time to each other, in point of found; the measure therefore, as in the case of the drum, must be wholly made out, by a proportional observation of intervals between the notes. The movement indeed in this may be different; as beside loud and soft, the only way by which it could be diftinguished in the former case, it may proceed from high to low, or from low to high. So that all the advantage that it has over the former, arises merely from the variety of notes.

This leads me to the last, and noblest species of numbers, in which the notes themselves can be prolonged at pleasure; and in which, consequently, a proportional measurement of time, in the sounds themselves, as well as in the intervals and pauses between them, may be introduced. This species is daily exemplified in the performances on the organ, the trumpet, slute, all wind instruments, the violin and others of that species, and in the human voice; and here it is that the whole power and beauty of numbers are displayed in their utmost perfection.

The necessity there was of laying before you this general view of numbers, from those of the most simple, to those of the most complex kind, will appear, when I come to apply the principles upon which they are founded, to the several species of English poetic numbers.

Poetic numbers are founded upon the same principles with those of the musical kind, and are governed by fimilar laws. Proportion and order are the fources of the pleasure which we receive from both, and the beauty of each, depends upon a due observation of the laws of measure and movement. The effential difference between them is, that the matter of the one, is articulate, of the other, inarticulate founds: but fyllables in the one, correspond to notes in the other; poetic feet, to musical bars; and verses, to strains: they have all like properties, and are governed by fimilar laws. The reason that this close affinity between them has been so little known, is, that the one art has been studied and cultivated with the utmost 'pains and affiduity, fo that a thorough knowledge in theory, and skill in the practical part, may be obtained by those who apply to it; while the other, has been fo wholly neglected, that nothing but errour and confusion meet us, when we enter into the speculative, and very rarely are instances to be found of a just execution in the practical part.

From what has been laid down, it is evident, that the essence of numbers consists, in certain impressions made on the mind through the ear, at stated and regular distances of time, with an observation of a relative proportion in those distances; and the other circumstances of long or short in the syllables, or diversity of notes in uttering them, are not effentials, but only qualities of numbers. And this may be demonstrated by shewing that there is no kind of metre that may not be beat upon the drum, which is incapable of producing long or fhort, high or low notes. So that, according to this rule, English verse composed of feet formed by accent only, may have as just measurement of time, as those formed by quantity; and this as certainly, as the drum can answer exactly in time to the trumpet, in the same movement; or that the harpfichord can play in concert with the organ. But it may be faid, according to my own account, that feet by quantity, must have the fame advantage over those formed by accent, as the trumpet has over the drum, or the organ over the harpsichord; consequently the ancient measure had a like superiority over ours. This would certainly be true, if all the feet of English metre were formed by an accent on confonants only; but we are to remember, that the feet formed by an accent on vowels, may be exactly of the same nature with the ancient

ancient feet, and may have the same just quantity in their syllables. So that in this respect we have all that the ancients had, and fomething which they had not. We have as it were duplicates of each foot, yet with fuch a difference between them, as to fit them for different purposes, to be used at our pleasure. It is agreed on all hands, that if the harpfichord could be made capable of fwelling and prolonging the notes at pleasure, it would be superior to the organ; the reason of which is, that all the fmarter, sharper, and sprightly notes, are expressed with more spirit on the merely stringed instrument, than on those that are supplied with wind; and if one instrument possessed the qualities of both, it must of course be superior to either.

In order to shew more clearly the difference between verses composed of seet formed by accent, and those formed by quantity; and also to shew by what management the time in the one, is to be rendered equal to that in the other, I shall produce examples of both, by repeating some lines of each species. And though we shall have continual opportunities of observing this difference, in most of the verses which we shall have occasion to examine; yet to satisfy you at present, I shall give you an instance or two. The following line of Pope consists of pure iambics by quantity—

O'er héaps | of rù | in stáik'd | the státe | ly hínd |

where you see the accent is upon the vowel in each second syllable. In the following line you will find the same iambics, but formed by accent upon consonants, except the last syllable—

Then ruf' | tling crac'k | ling crash' | ing thun' | der down.

If you will attend, you will find that the time of the short accented syllables, is compensated by a short pause at the end of each word to which they belong, rustling, crackling, crashing—

Then ruf | tling crack | ling crash | ing thu'n | der down—

I have given you a specimen of a verse formed by quantity, and of another by accent, In the former the vowels are dwelt upon, and you see by that means how smoothly the line flows on—

O'er héaps of rúin stálk'd the státely hínd-

In the other the accent is on confonants, and you fee what force and spirit it gives to the verse,

Then rus'tling crac'kling cras'hing thu'nder down.

This specimen will give you a glimpse at prefent of the advantage which may arise to English

lish numbers, from the use of these duplicates of feet, either in entire lines, or by intermixing them in the same verse. I have given you an instance of the one, I shall now produce one of the other. And in order to make the difference more fensible, I shall first repeat some lines where the feet are formed by quantity; and then others in which those formed by accents are intermixed.

And all the while harmonious airs were heard Of chi | ming string's | and char ming pipes, | and winds

Of gentlest gale Arabian odours fann'd From their foft wings, and Flora's earliest fmells.

Now of the other.

So spake the sovereign voice, and clouds began

To darken all the hill, and fmoke to rowl,

In dus'|ky wreaths | reluc'|tant flames | the figns

Of wrath awak'd.

At prefent I shall defire your attention only to two lines in these passages, of exactly the fame structure in movement and pauses; with this only difference, that the feet of the one, are formed by quantity, and the other has two feet by accent intermixed.

Of chi[ming string's || or char|ming pipes || and winds—

In dus'|ky wréaths || reluc'|tant flames || the figns—

In the first of these, where the accent is on long fyllables, how smoothly flows the verse! how sweetly adapted to the pleasing subject.—

Of chiming string's or charming pipes— In the other, where the accent is twice on confonants,

In dus'ky wreaths reluc'tant flames—
How happily fitted is this intermixture to paint
a fcene of horrour!

Having sufficiently shewn the vast variety of which our poetic numbers are capable, I shall now enter into an examination of the rules by which it is to be regulated, and the effects which may be produced by such regulation.

In order to this, I shall first consider our versification, with regard to what is essential to numbers; and asterwards, with regard to their accessary or ornamental parts.

Feet and pauses, as the essentials of poetic numbers, are first to be attended to. I have already pointed out the eight different seet used in poetry; and I have shewn that they may all find place in our heroic verse. But the soot which is most congenial to that verse, is the iambic; iambic; because it is the only one of which an entire heroic line can be composed: and our poetry abounds with verses, into which no other foot is admitted. Such as,

The pow'rs | gave éar | and grán|ted hálf his práy'r,

The res't | the winds | dispérs'd | in empl'ty air.

As the movement of this foot is from weak to strong, and the stress of the voice is on the second or final syllable, such as resemble it in any of these respects, have the most affinity with it, and may be the most frequently employed. Thus the amphibrach, being in its commencement, or in the two first syllables, an actual iambus; the anapæst, in its sinishing, or two last syllables, another; and the spondee, having a stress on the last syllable, as well as the first, may all be admitted without much change in the movement. With regard to the amphibrach, the instances I gave in the beginning, may serve to shew how congenial that soot is to the iambic movement.

O'er many | a fró|zen man'y | a fie|ry alp.

To which we may add numberless instances from Milton. Such as,

With wheels | yet ho'ver | ing o'er the ocean brim

Shot par'al | lel to the earth his dewy ray, Discov'er ing in wide landscape all the east, &c.

Where we find this foot used in three successive lines.

As to the anapæst, we may see in the instance already given, how aptly that soot may be employed.

The great | Hierar chal standard was to move.

And the spondee is every where to be met with.

Now came | still evelping on, and twilight grey, &c.

So smooths | her charming tones, that God's | own ear, &c.

All seem'd | wel'l pleas'd, | all seem'd | but were not all.

The admission of these seet, which have a similarity in their movement, though they disfer from each other in number of syllables, or in quantity, is not so hard to be accounted for: but there is something surprising at first view, in the admission of seet which have a movement directly opposite, such as the trochee and dactyl, as they have the stress on the first syllable, and proceed from strong to weak; or in admitting seet which make no impression at all, such as the pyrrhic and tribrach. And yet, that the matter of sact is so, may be seen every where

in the verses of our best poets. I have given several instances of lines beginning with a trochee, a movement which Mr. Pope was particularly fond of. But Milton has introduced this foot often into other places of the verse. Such as,

That all was lost | back' to | the thicket

Of Eve whose eye | dárted | contagious fire—All these our notions vain | seés and | derides—Shall breathe her balm—but first | whom shall | we send—

Love no where to be found | less' than | divine— Of many a coloured plume | sprin'kled | with gold—

In like manner, wherever the trochee found admission, he has occasionally used a dactyl. Such as,

Hov'ering on wing under the cope of hell— Tim'orous and sothful yet he pleas'd the

Abject and lost lay these | cov'ering | the slood—
Of truth in word | mightier | than they in arms—

As to the pyrrhic, it is, as I before observed, the foot most in use after the iambus.

She faid, and melting as in tears she lay, In a | fost silver stream dissolv'd away.

Pant

Pant on thy lip | and to | thy heart be prest— The phantom slies me | as unkind as you— Leaps o'er the fence with ease | into | the fold—

On the green bank to look into the clear

Smooth lake | that to | me feem'd another fky.

with tempest fell

On the | proud crest of Satan.

You can open no part of our best poets, without finding the pyrrhic every where interspersed; and with regard to the tribrach, if
it be considered only with respect to real quantity, it is of continual use; but if we consider
it with respect to accent, that is a foot of three
syllables without any accent, or as I have called
it an untriss. it is very rarely to be sound,
because a succession of so many short syllables
unaccented, would leave no impression, and consequently could not be agreeable to the ear.

But it may be faid, that though I have supported what I have advanced, with regard to the admission of all these seet into our heroic verse, by examples drawn from our best poets; yet the question is, whether these poets were right in indulging themselves in such liberties; and whether, in so doing, they have not offended against the first principles of numbers. For,

from

from what I have laid down on that head, it may appear, that equality of time in the feet which compose the verse, upon which measure depends; and regularity of impressions made at equal distances by accents, which constitutes the movement, are effential to poetic numbers. And that by admitting feet into the same verse of unequal times, such as the spondee and iambus, the dactyl and trochee, the former of which confift of four, and the other only of three times, no regularity of measure can be observed: and as the trochee and iambus, the dactyl and anapæst, are of movements directly opposite, the impressions made by the accented syllables in an intermixture of these feet, must be at unequal distances.

To this I answer, that in my definition of numbers at large, I did not fay, impressions made at equal distances, but at stated and regular distances, for the former belongs only to those of the simplest kind. But to render numbers for any time pleafing to the ear, variety is as effential as uniformity; and it is a due mixture of these two, which constitutes numbers of a higher order: in which a new ratio is introduced, both with regard to measure and movement. With regard to measure in this way, the quantity of each distinct foot, or portion of a verse, is not separately weighed, but

the fum total of two or more feet is taken to balance the account. Thus, a spondee and pyrrhic united, make up the exact time of two trochees, or of two iambics; and where these two are found in the same line, intermixed with iambics, the time upon the whole is the same as if the line consisted of pure iambics. Thus in this line,

On her | white breast | a sparkling cross she wore—

the first portion of this verse consists of two feet, a pyrrhic and a spondee, which make up the time of two iambics. The same may be observed in the following instance,

Say first, for Heav'n hides nothing from thy view,

Nor the | deep tract | of hell.

Sometimes there are two fpondees together, compensated by two subsequent pyrrhics—as

_____ and wild uproar
Stood rul'd | ftood vait—infin | itude | confin'd—

Shē āll | nīght lõng | hěr ămŏ | rŏus děs- | cant fung.

Sometimes we find the spondee and pyrrhic alternately intermixed; as in this line,

More glo|rious and | more dread | than from | no fall.

And with regard to the whole of the quantity of a verse, we are allowed great latitude, both in exceedings and diminutions; because a scrupulous exactness in point of time, is not essential to us, as it was to the ancients. First, because their feet were entirely formed by quantity, and therefore exactness of time was as effential to them, as to bars in music. Whereas, ours being formed by accent, require no more than that the accents should be disposed according to rule, to constitute right verses, without any reference at all to quantity. Secondly, because the recitation of the Roman poetry usually was, and might always be accompanied by instruments; which brought on the necessity of an exact observation of quantity, that the mufical and poetical time might coincide on the fame fyllables. But we, who recite our verses without any such criterion to try the quantity by, are under no fuch limitation. It is true indeed, the more closely a poet keeps to the just measurement of five iambics, the more agreeable the lines will be to the ear, from exactness of proportion: and if in versification nothing else were to be considered, but the mere gratification of the ear, this proportion ought never to be departed from, no more than in music. But there is this difference, between poetical and musical compofition, that in the former, together with the founds, R 37.

founds, ideas are conveyed to the mind; in the latter, founds only are communicated. In the former, the chief object is, the delight arising from the fentiments so communicated; in the latter, the chief end is, the pleasure arising from the founds themselves. It is obvious therefore, that the one, is much more strictly bound by the laws which render founds pleasing to the ear, than the other; for wherever in poetry the fentiment can receive any additional force or grace, by receding a little from the strict rules of composition, there the severity of the mufical laws is to be relaxed, in favour of those of a superior nature; the end is to be regarded rather than the means; and the interests of the understanding, to be preferred to those of the ear. This is what is called Expression in Numbers; which is chiefly to be perceived in those deviations which are allowed from the ffrict laws, and which, judiciously managed, give a beauty to verification, far superior to the finest melody.

From this description, it is obvious that there are three points chiefly to be attended to in versification. The first is, to please the ear by an agreeable flow of verse, which I call Melody. The fecond is, to relieve the ear by change of numbers, which might otherwife be fatiated, by a continual repetition of the same melody, however fine in itself; to which I

have

have given the name of Variety. And the third is, to consider what disposition of numbers, is best suited to convey sentiments and images, in the most forcible and clear manner, to the mind; whether fuch disposition coincide with the laws of the finer melody, or fometimes start aside from them; to which I have given the name of Expression. I shall now therefore endeavour to explain the laws of fimple melody, under the direction of which, that of the purest kind may be obtained. By what rules, and in what bounds, variety is to be governed and restrained, without prejudice to the laws of the purer melody; and what deviations it is allowed to make from those laws, in favour of expression.

Verse is composed of seet and pauses; and upon the management of these, depend all the properties of verification. To avoid perplexity, I shall first consider these articles separately, and afterwards conjointly. And first, with regard to feet, fo far as concerns melody. Experience shews us, that verses composed of pure iambics, have a fine melody; but as the stress of the voice, in repeating such verses, is always in the same places, that is, on every fecond fyllable, fuch a uniformity would difgust the ear in any long succession, and therefore fuch changes were fought for, as might introduce the pleasure of variety, without prejudice R 2 28.0

judice to melody; or which might even contribute to its improvement. Of this nature, was the introduction of the trochee to form the first foot of an heroic verse; which experience has shewn us, is so far from spoiling the melody, that in many cases it heightens it. Of this I have already given some instances, and shall now offer a few more.

Glows while | he reads | but trembles as he

Soft is the strain when Zephyr gently

Pan'ts on | her nec'k | and fans her parting

Stil'l as | the fea | ere winds were taught to

Favours | to non'e | to all she smiles extends—Pleas'ure | or wron'g | or rightly understood—

The trochees which commence these lines are of different kinds. In the first, there is a little rest of the voice after the first syllable of the foot, and the second, by a rapid utterance, is joined to the next foot. As—

Whereas in the fecond kind, the whole foot is completely founded, as,

Favours | to none-Pleasure | or wrong-

Now in the first case, the ear perceives a movement more congenial to the iambic metre, than in the other; for, by the stress on the first syllable, followed by a little pause, that syllable has the force of a fyllabic iambus; and the latter being joined to the fucceeding foot, is to the ear a true anapæst; which, as I obferved before, is a congenial foot. By a fyllabic iambus, I mean a foot which is much used in fome kinds of English metre, whereof one fyllable is suppressed, whose place is supplied by a paule, to make out the time of two, and fo to preserve the measure. Of this, in the four following lines, take as many inftances.

Sinks | my foul | with gloo | my pain? See | she smiles | 'tis joy | again! Swells | a passion in | my breast? Hark | she speaks | and all | is rest.

A trochee, we find, may begin a line even with improvement of the melody; but it cannot well be admitted into any other part of the verse without prejudice to it. The reason is, that in any other fituation, it interrupts and stops the usual movement, by an opposite one; whereas when it is placed first in the line, it cannot interrupt the movement, being itself

the beginner of it. But though it be excluded with regard to melody, it may be placed in other parts of the verse to great advantage with regard to expression, as we shall see hereafter.

The next change admitted for the fake of variety, without prejudice to melody, is the intermixture of pyrrhics and spondees; in which, two impressions in the one foot, make up for the want of one in the other; and two long syllables compensate two short, so as to make the sum of the quantity of the two seet, equal to two iambics. I need only repeat some of the instances before mentioned to shew that this may be done without prejudice to the melody.

On her | white breast | a sparkling cross she wore—

Nor the | deep tract | of hell—say first what

and laid me down

On the green bank to look into the

Smooth lake | that to | me seemed | another sky----

This intermixture may be employed, ad libitum, in any part of the line; and fometimes two fpondees may be placed together in one part of the

the verse, to be compensated by two pyrrhics in another; as in the instances before mentioned;

Stood rul'd | stood vast | infin | itude | confined-

She all | night long | her amo | rous def | cant fung—

The next variety admitted is that of the amphibrach, which may have place in any part of an heroic line. Of this I have already given a proof in a couplet before quoted—

And man'y | an am'ŏ | rŏus man'y | ă hûmŏur | ŏus lay

Which man'y | ă bārd | hād chaun' | těd man'y | ă day —

In the first line of which, we find that all the feet, except the last, are amphibrachic.

Here it may be objected, that the introduction of this foot, necessarily alters the measure. That the first of these lines, for instance, having four trissyllabic seet in it, must exceed in time a line consisting wholly of dissyllabic. That an amphibrach consisting of a short, a long, and a short, contains four times; whereas an iambus has but three: consequently this verse, containing four amphibrachs and an iambus, must exceed a line purely iambic by four times.

248 THE ART OF READING.

In answer to this, I shall only think it necessary to remind you of the distinction I made, between the English and Roman seet. That the latter, were wholly regulated by quantity; the former, by accent. That the accent with us is sometimes on a short, and sometimes on a long syllable; and it is only when the accent is on the long syllable, that our seet correspond in quantity to the Roman; when it is on a short, they agree in movement only, not in measure.

Movement, as I have already explained it, regards the order of fyllables in a foot; meafure, their quantity. The order of syllables respects their progress from short to long, or long to fhort, as in the Roman; or from strong to weak, or weak to firong, that is from accented or unaccented fyllables, as with us. Thus, the movement of the trochee and dactyl, is from long to short in the Roman, and from strong to weak syllables with us. Of a direct opposite movement are the iambus and anapæst; vet the measure of the iambus and the trochee, of the dactyl and the anapæst, in the Roman feet, is exactly the fame; the former being each made up of a long and a short syllable; and the latter, of two short and a long, though differently arranged. But as we have duplicates of each foot with us, one agreeing exactly with the Roman both in measure and movement; the other in movement only, not

in measure; so we must introduce a double ratio, in confidering the respective value of these seet. On which account, whenever I shall have occasion to mark the distinction between these duplicates of feet, I shall make use of the English terms, first, second diss, &c. for the accentual feet; and of the Roman terms, trochee, iambus, &c. for those which agree in quantity with the Roman. And it will be necessary to bear this distinction in mind, as we shall frequently have occasion to have recourse to it. I am now furnished with one, in order to answer the objection made with regard to the line in question; for, if the four feet in that line were two amphibrachs by quantity, there would be, as was observed, an exceeding of four times in that line; but as they are only fecond triffes, that is, amphibrachs by accent, but in fact, tribrachs by quantity, the objection falls to the ground: for a tribrach, confifting of three short, is exactly equal in time to an iambus, confifting of a long and a short. Consequently, the time of the line is exactly equal to that of a pure iambic.

And man'y an am'orous man'y a húmourous lay. Where you find that the first syllables of man'y, twice repeated in the line, and am'orous, have the accent on the confonant, and are short. If it be objected that the accent on the first fyllable

fyllable of the word humourous, is on the vowel, and confequently by my own rule must be long; I must remind you, that this rule was laid down only with regard to words in their separate state; and that it was at the same time mentioned, that the quantity of most of our fyllables was variable, when words came to be arranged in fentences, depending chiefly upon emphasis; which shall be made clear, when we come to treat of that article. For there is nothing in the nature of the vowels themselves that makes them necessarily long, as their quantity depends wholly on our dwelling on them or not; and where the fense and the metre require that we should not dwell upon them, we must always reduce them to short fyllables: which is precifely the case in the above instance; for the word humourous, having no superiority over the word amorous, but being exactly on a par with it in the fentiment, ought not to have more force given to it, by dwelling longer upon it than the other; and the measure too demands that the times of the feet should be equal in both to prevent an exceeding of quantity in the verse. On both which accounts, to recite this verse properly, the first fyllable of humourous, should be pronounced in the same space of time as that of amorous.

It is for this reason, that the genuine amphibrachs by quantity, cannot enter into an heroic line of the first melody, as it would occasion an exceeding in the measure; so that, in forming this foot, such words are to be avoided, whose accented syllables are not capable of being reduced to a short time; which is the case in some of our syllables, though in a small proportion with regard to the rest. And in order to give this foot all its beauty, it is not sufficient that the accented syllable be pronounced in a short time, but it is necessary also, that those which follow it, should not be of a nature to give a check to the freedom and rapidity of its motion. Thus in this line,

Rous'd from their flumber on | that fie | ry

the fecond trifs (or English amphib.) passes swiftly on, the accented *i* in fiery running into a short *e*, which forms the next syllable, and that followed by a short syllable, ry. But in the following line,

While the | promiscu | ous crowd stood yet aloof,

the accented fyllable, mis', being followed by cu, which does not admit of an eafy union with it; and that also being succeeded by two other syllables, ous crowd, the latter of which is of the same

252 THE ART OF READING.

fame nature, fo retards the progress of the verse, and gives it such a hobbling gait, as to reduce it to prose. But this will be more evident, by taking the same word, and shewing what a difference will be made in it, by a small alteration in the letters which sollow it. In this line,

O alienate from God! | O spir'it—accurs'd—the word spirit ending in a single consonant, and being sollowed by a vowel, has no obstruction, and is pronounced in the time of a pyrrhic. But let the same word be used in the plural,

O alienate from God, O spirits accurs'd,

and we find that the addition of the s retards the march of the foot, and gives length to the last fyllable. And this will be still more perceptible, by making the word which follows it, begin with a consonant instead of a vowel.

O alienate from God! O spirits profane-

Where the march of the verse is so stopped, by the time necessary to put the organs into a position of sounding the syllable pro, after the ts sinal of the word spirits, as to destroy the metre. And that this is caused merely by the trissyllabic soot, is evident from this; that were the word spirits reduced to one syllable,

240

and pronounced sprits, or sprites, the meafure would be good-as,

O alienate from God! O sprites profane!

From whence we may conclude, that wherever the fecond triss, or English amphibrach, is introduced, it should consist of syllables that may be pronounced in the shortest time, and followed by fuch as will not impede its motion. On which account the following line is deficient in melody:

____ colour'd with the florid hue Of rainbows | and starry eyes.

Where the quantity in both syllables of the word rainbows, will never admit of its being introduced into a triffyllabic foot. But in all the following lines that foot is properly introduced.

Up to | the fie | ry con | cave tower | ing high.

With wheels | yet hov'er | ing o'er the ocean's brim

Shot par'al | lel to the earth his dewy ray, Discov'er | ing in wide landscape all the east Of Paradife, &c.

Their glit'ter | ing tents he pass'd-

Every thing which adds to the variety, without prejudice to the melody of versification. improves it. Quid enim auribus jucundius potest

esse, quam cum & varietate mulcentur, nec æqualitate fraudantur? 'What can be more pleasing to the ear than to find itself foothed by variety, without being robbed of the pleafure of equality?' The use of this foot in our heroic verse answers this description exactly. Its equality is perceived in the accent and quantity, corresponding in both to the iambus. The accent being feated on the fecond fyllable, and three short syllables corresponding in quantity to a fhort and a long. Its variety, inafmuch as it has one fyllable more than the other, which very circumstance gives it an advantage, as those feet, which, with an equal quantity, exceed others in fyllables, are on that account richer than they.

But it is not merely in the article of variety, that this foot is to be confidered as improving our versification; we shall see presently, when we come to treat of expression, of what use it is in that point also. Of which, by the way, take the following instance.

Throws his steep slight" | in man'y | an ae- |

ry whirl.

How happily adapted is this foot to express the kind of motion here described! The foot itself seems to whirl; the first and last unaccented syllables, turning round on the middle accented one as their axis; and two successive

feez

feet of this fort, giving a feries of fix short syllables, add an amazing rapidity to that species of motion.

Throws his steep slight" in man'y | an ae- | ry whirl.

To shew that it is to the use of this soot, that the verse owes its expression, let us change the movement to the common iambic, as thus,

Throws his steep flight in many airy whirls, and it is reduced to simple description, instead of that magical power of numbers, which prefents the object itself to the imagination.

Every foot has, from nature, powers peculiar to itself; and it is upon the knowledge and right application of these powers, that the art of painting in numbers chiefly depends. But how were we to expect instances of this art. from poets, many of whom have written verses. ay, and fine flowing ones too, without once fuspecting that metre was composed of feet? And fuch as did know it, feem in general only to have learned their names, but to have little acquaintance with their nature. For instance, the very foot we are now speaking of, has been confidered by all who have written on the fubject, as having no existence in English numbers; and this upon the same principle from which flowed their many other errours; which

256 THE ART OF READING.

is, that it was not admitted into the Latin verfification. Though there actually exists a species of poetry among us, whose feet are altogether amphibrachic, as I shall hereaster shew; and it is worthy of pity to see what lamentable pains they take to torture these feet into anapæsts, in order to support their rule.

In confidering the use of these seet with regard to expression, there will probably be opened to you, a new region of poetic numbers, with which none of our writers seem to have been thoroughly acquainted, except Milton; and it is not long before I shall conduct you to it. But first, I have a few words more to say with regard to melody; and variety, considered as coinciding with melody.

I have shewn you that the iambus, and amphibrach by accent, or second triss, the spondee and pyrrhic, may be used in our measure with great latitude. That the trochee may at all times begin the line, and in some cases, with advantage to the melody. There now remains only to add, that the dastyl may be introduced in the place of the trochee, having the same movement; and the anapæst in the place of the iambus.

From this view, we may see what an inexhaustible fund of riches, and what an immense variety of materials are prepared for us,

To build the lofty rime-

For we are not only allowed the use of all the ancient poetic feet, in our heroic measure, but we have duplicates of each, agreeing in movement, though differing in measure, and which make different impressions on the ear; an opulence peculiar to our language, and which may be the fource of a boundless variety. We are therefore but little obliged to those gentlemen, who, in the place of such a charming variety, endeavour to substitute a dull uniformity, by confining our heroic verse to one movement only, even should we consider merely the interests of the ear in point of melody. But when we come to fee how much the power of expression, far the nobler province of versification, will be affected by it, we shall look upon this, not as an attack upon the body, but on the very foul of poetry.

100

LECTURE II.

AVING treated, in my former lecture, of melody and variety in numbers, I should now enter upon the third article, that of Expression, but that it will be necessary first to take a view of the other constituent part of heroic verse, before mentioned, under the name of Pauses.

Of the poetic pauses, there are two forts; one denominated cesural, the other I shall call the final. The cesural divides the verse into equal, or unequal parts; the final closes it. There may be more than one of the cesural kind in a verse; it is evident there can be but one final.

The cefural pause is known to all who have any acquaintance with the nature of verse; but the final has hitherto escaped the observation of all the writers upon that subject. It is for that very reason, that there has not hitherto been given an adequate idea of verse, in contradistinction to prose; since it is the use of this final pause, which, on many occasions, alone marks the difference between the two-

It is the line drawn between their boundaries, which can never be mistaken whilst it remains; remove it, and it is impossible, in many cases, to distinguish one from the other.

Do we not observe, that verse is written differently from profe? Do we not find that in each species of versification, every line is bounded by the measure, that is, must terminate when the number of feet which belongs to the kind of metre is completed? Is not this done to mark the metre distinctly? and is it to the eye only that the metre is to be marked? the eye, which, of itself, can form no judgment of measure in founds, nor take any pleafure in fuch arrangement of words; and must the ear, the fole judge of numbers, to which Nature herself has annexed a delight in the perception of metre, be left without any mark to point out the completion of the measure? If it were indeed a law of our versification, that every line should terminate with a stop in the fense, the boundaries of the measure would then be fixed, and could not be mistaken. But when we know, that one of the greatest perfections in our blank heroic verse, is, that of continuing the fense from one line to another, I am afraid, in that case, if there be no mark to shew where the measure ends, it will be often carried away by the fense, and, confounded with it, be changed to pure profe.

Let us suppose a reader, who had never seen the Paradise Lost, nor known that it was written in verse; and let us suppose, that the exordium of that poem were given to him to read, written like prose, as thus—

Of man's first disobedience, and the fruit s of that forbidden tree, whose mortal taste brought death into the world, and all our woe, with loss of Eden! till one greater man restore us, and regain the blissful seat: Sing heavenly muse! that on the secret top of Horeb, or of Sinai, didst inspire that Shepherd, who first taught the chosen feed, sin the beginning, how the heavens and earth rose out of chaos: or if Sion hill delight thee more, and Siloa's brook that flowed fast by the oracle of God, I thence invoke thy aid to my adventurous fong; that with no middle Alight intends to foar above the Aonian mount, whilst it pursues things, unattempted yet in Eprofe or rhime.' Assetting and son son sons

I fancy in such a case, that the reader would not easily find out that this was verse, but would rather take it for poetical prose.

Yet the metre is undoubtedly good, and fulfils all the laws of English heroic verse. But the thing wanting to make it appear so, is, that same final pause of which I have been speaking; and with the aid of which, I will un-

132

dertake presently to shew them in their genuine shape of true verses.

In answer to this it may be asked, how any stop can be made at the end of lines whose sense is impersect; and in which, to convey the meaning, it is necessary that the concluding words, should be joined immediately with those which begin the following line? Though it might serve to mark the measure more distinctly, and improve the melody, yet would it not consound the sense? and would it be right to facrifice the interests of the understanding, to the gratification of the ear?

Certainly not. But if such a pause can be made, without at all interfering with the fense, would not this at once reconcile the interests of the ear and understanding, and clothe verse with all its beauty and power? The method of doing this, is what I am about to explain. There are two forts of pauses, one for sense, and one for melody; utterly distinct from each other. I shall call the former, Sentential, the latter, Musical pauses. The sentential pauses are those which are known to us by the name of stops, and have names given them and marks in writing; as the comma, femicolon, colon, and full stop. In the nature of these, however inaccurately, all persons are instructed, when taught to read. . But so low is the art of reading smong us, that here it stops. It has not ad-

vanced one step beyond what absolute necessity required. Its fole end hitherto has been, to enable persons to point out the mere meaning of the words, without which, reading aloud could be of no use, and even to this end, the means have been found very inadequate. But the nobler and more ornamental object of this art, that of moving the foul, and charming the ear, has never fo much as been taken into confideration. Accordingly, among many other of its higher branches, the article of musical pauses, to which verse is indebted for one of its chief ornaments, has been omitted. The cefura indeed has got a name among us, but it is a mere name; for we are neither taught the use of it in reciting verse, nor has it any mark in writing: and the final paufe, by far the most important of the two musical, has not even been dreamt of. The consequence is, that perfons thus lamely instructed in the art of reading profe, and left wholly to themselves to acquire the infinitely more difficult art of reading verse, without principle, without precept, without example, of course fall into a variety of errours. But nothing has puzzled the bulk of readers, or divided their opinions more, than the manner, in which those verses ought to be recited, where the fense does not close with the line; and whose last words have a necessary connexion with those that begin the subsequent verse.

verse. Some, who see the necessity of pointing out the metre, make a paufe at the end of fuch lines; but never having been taught any other pause but those of the sentential kind, they use one of them, and pronounce the last word in fuch a note, as usually accompanies a comma, in marking the smallest member of a sentence. Now this, in the case before mentioned, is certainly improper; because they make that appear to be a complete member of a fentence, which is an incomplete one; and by disjoining the fense, as well as the words, often confound the meaning. Others again, but these fewer in number, and of the more abfurd kind, drop their voice at the end of every line, in the fame note which they use in marking a full stop; to the utter annihilation of the fense. Some readers, of a more enthusiastic kind, elevate their voices at the end of all verses, to a higher note than is ever used in the sentential stops; but fuch a continual repetition of the same high note at the close of every verse, though it marks the metre distinctly, becomes disgusting by its monotony; and gives an air of chanting to fuch recitation, extremely disagreeable to every ear, except that of the reciter; who, in general, feems highly delighted with his own tune, and imagines it gives equal pleasure to others. was to a reader of this fort, that Cæsar said, If you read, you fing; and if you fing, you fing S 4

'fing very ill.' To avoid the several faults, the bulk of readers have chosen what they think a fafer course, which is, that of running the lines one into another, without the least pause, where they find none in the fense; in the same manner as they would do in fentences of profe, were they to find the same words there so disposed; and by this means, they reduce poetry to fomething worse than prose, to verse run mad. In vain to fuch readers has Milton laboured the best proportioned numbers in blank verse; his order is turned into confusion, his melody into discord. In vain have Prior and Dryden in the couplet fought out the richest rhime; the last word, hurried precipitately from its post into the next line, leaves no impression on the ear; and loft in a cluster of words, marks not the relation betwixt it and its correlative, which their distinguished similar posts in the verse had giv-You will not wonder, however, that the bulk of readers should easily adopt this last method, because they have all learned to read profe, and it costs them no pains to read verse like prose.

But it may be asked, if this final pause is neither marked by an elevation, or depression of the voice, how is it to be marked at all?

To this the answer is obvious, by making no change at all in the voice before it. This will sufficiently distinguish it from the other pauses;

paules; because some change of note precedes the others, either by raising, or depressing the voice; here it is only suspended; on which account I shall call it the stop of suspension: for it will be necessary to give it a name when we speak of it hereafter; and it is so little known among us, that hitherto it has neither a name, nor a mark in writing; which perhaps is the very reason that it is so little known. For had any grammarian, after pointing out its use, ever given it a name, and a mark in writing, it must have been as generally known as any of the other stops, at least to readers of taste; fince it is of fuch importance, that it is impossible to read poetic numbers properly, without the use of it; and not only so, but it is often one of the greatest ornaments, and gives the most force to delivery in profe too.

This pause of suspension, was the very thing wanting to preserve the melody at all times, without interfering with the sense. For the pause itself perfectly marks the bound of the metre, and being made only by a suspension, not change of note in the voice, can never affect the sense: because, as the sentential stops, or those which affect the sense, have all a change of note; where there is no such change, the sense cannot be affected.

Nor is this the only advantage gained to numbers, by this stop of hiperision, it also prevents

prevents that monotone, that fameness of note at the end of lines, which, however pleasing to a rude, is disgusting to a delicate ear. For as this stop of suspension has no peculiar note of its own, but always takes that which belongs to the preceding word, it changes continually with the matter, and is as various as the sense.

I shall now endeavour to illustrate this by an example; for which purpose I shall choose the same passage of Milton, which I before read into prose, and restore it to its true state of verse, merely by observing this pause of suspension; which I have accordingly marked at the end of the lines where it was wanting, as also the cesural pause, in the different parts of the lines where it falls.

Of man's first disobedience," and the fruit"
Of that forbidden tree," whose mortal taste"
Brought death into the world" and all our woe,
With loss of Eden," till one greater man"
Restore us," and regain the blissful seat,
Sing heav'nly muse!" that on the secret top"
Of Oreb, or of Sinai," didst inspire"
That Shepherd," who first taught the chosen
seed"

In the beginning" how the Heav'ns and Earth"

Rose out of chaos." Or, if Sion hill"

Delight

Delight thee more," and Siloa's brook, that flow'd"

Fast by the oracle of God," I thence"

Invoke thy aid" to my adventurous fong:

That with no middle flight" intends to foar"

Above the Aonian mount" whilst it pursues"

Things, unattempted yet" in prose or rhime.

I have made no other change in repeating these lines, but that of marking distinctly the cesural and final pauses. By looking over them, you will find, that out of fixteen, there are thirteen lines, which terminate without any stop; and if in the recitation such a number of lines be run into one another, it leaves not the least trace of verse behind; for beside the loss of measure, through want of its being marked, the movement is also on many occasions wholly changed by this means; as you will perceive by repeating the two first lines in that way—

'Of man's first disobedience | and the | fruit of | that' for | bid'den | trée whose | mortal | tâste brought, &c.' Where you see, by not observing the final pause, the movement in all the following seet is changed from iambic to trochaic: whereas with the final pause,

of that' | forbid' | den trée | whose mor | tal

the

the ear aeknowledges a perfect heroic verse, consisting of iambics.

And now having faid all that is necessary with regard to the final, we will proceed to examine the cesural pause.

To these two pauses I have given the denomination of Musical, in opposition to those of the sentential kind; the office of the one, being to mark the melody, as that of the other, to point out the sense. The cesural, like the final, sometimes coincides with the sentential, sometimes has an independent state; that is, exists where there is no stop in the sense. In that case, it is exactly of the same nature with the final pause of suspension before described, and is governed by the same laws.

The cesura is by no means essential to verse, as the shorter kinds of measure are without it; and many heroic lines, where it is not to be found, are still good verses. It is true, it improves and diversifies the melody, by a judicious management in varying its situation, and so becomes a great ornament to verse; but still this is not the most important office which it discharges. Were there no cesura, verse could aspire to no higher ornament than that of simple melody; but by means of that, there is a new source of delight opened in poetic numbers, correspondent in some fort to harmony in music; which takes its rise from that act of the mind,

mind, which compares the relative proportions that the members of a verse, thus divided. bear to each other, as well as to those in the adjoining lines. In order to see this in a clear light, let us examine what effect this produces in fingle lines, and afterwards in comparing contiguous lines with each other.

With regard to the place of the cefura, fome have contended, and Mr. Pope, among the rest, has expressly declared, that no line appeared mufical to his ear, if the cefura were not on the fourth, fifth, or fixth syllable of the verse. Some have enlarged its empire to the third and feventh; while others have afferted, that ic may be admitted into any part of the line.

There needs only a little diftinguishing, to reconcile these different opinions. If meledy alone is to be considered, Mr. Pope and others are in the right, in fixing its feat in, or as near as may be, to the middle of the verse; but with regard to variety and expression, there is no part of the verse into which it may not be admitted with advantage; as I shall shew hereafter. At present I shall examine the cesura with regard to melody only; in which respect, I admit, that to form lines of the first melody, the cefura must either be at the end of the fecond, or of the third foot, or in the middle of the third on and amor in grading decrees grad wor to the reasons of the enter thing, a Now

270 THE ART OF READING.

Now to give examples of these several kinds.

ist, Of the cesura at the end of the second foot.

Our plenteous ftreams" a various race supply; The bright-ey'd perch" with fins of Tyrian dye;

The filver eel" in fining volumes roll'd; The yellow carp" in fcales bedropp'd with gold.

2dly, At the end of the third foot.

With tender billet-doux" he lights the pyre,
And breathes three amorous fighs" to raife
the fire.

Oh say what stranger cause" yet unexplor'd, Could make a gentle Belle" reject a Lord?

3dly, In the middle of the third foot.

The fields are ravish'd" from the industrious fwains,

From men their cities" and from gods their fanes.

Round broken columns" clasping ivy twin'd, O'er heaps of ruin" stalk'd the stately hind.

All the lines of these several species are certainly of a fine melody, yet they are not quite upon an equality in that respect. Those which have the cesura in the middle of the third soot, are of the first order; those which have it at

the

the end of the fecond foot are next; and those which have the pause at the end of the third foot, are last.

I shall endeavour to explain the cause of this. In the pleasure arising from comparing the proportion which the parts of a whole bear to each other, the more easily and distinctly the mind perceives the proportion, the greater is the pleasure: now there is nothing which the mind more inftantaneously and clearly discerns, than the division of a whole into two equal parts, which alone would give a fuperiority to lines of the first order, over the other two. But there is also another reason for this preference. In the division of the two other species, the proportion is exactly the same, if we respect quantity only, the one being as 2 to 3, and the other as 3 to 2; but it is the order here which makes the difference. In lines where the cefura bounds the fecond foot, the fmaller portion of the verse is first in order, the greater last; and this order is reversed in lines where the cesura is at the end of the third foot Now as the latter part of the verse, leaves the strongest and most lasting impression on the ear, where. the larger portion belongs to the latter part of the line, the impression must in proportion be greater; the effect in found being the same, as that produced by a climax in the fense, where one part rifes above another. This also occafions a difference in the whole of the lines, which produces an effect analogous to what is found in the simple feet, whose final or initial fyllable make the stronger impression; the iambus or trochee for instance. And as those feet are of the nobler order, whose final syllables make the strongest impression; so those verses, whose final portion is the largest, hold the same rank; the one, having the same advantage over the other, that the iambus has over the trochee. On all these accounts, the line whose cesura is at the end of the second foot, has an advantage over that which is placed after the third. But the line which is divided by a cefura in the middle, has an advantage over both; not only on account of the reason already affigned, but from the same principle that a preference was given to that structure of an heroic verse, which begins with a trochee followed by an iambus; for a line equally divided by a cefura, partakes of a beauty of the fame nature; as the cefura, in that case, is always after an unaccented fyllable, and the final pause on an accented one; and thus it gives the air to the whole line of a trochee followed by an iambus. We must not forget to observe, that this very circumstance, that of the cesura's, in this order of lines, being always on an unaccented, and the final pause on an accented fyllable, is the cause of a beauty in those lines, arifing

arifing from a mixture of variety and equality, which neither of the other orders can boast of; as in them, the cesural and final pauses are both on accented syllables. Which you will immediately perceive in repeating a couplet of each order.

1. The fields are rav'ish'd" from th' industrious fwains,

From men their cities" and from gods their fanes.

2. The filver eél" in shining volumes roll'd, The yellow cárp" in scales bedrop'd with gold.

3. With tender billet-doux" he lights the pyre,
And breathes three amorous fighs" to raife
the fire.

I have shewn in what manner the cesura improves and diversifies the melody of verse; I shall now speak of its other more important office, that of being the chief source of harmony in numbers. But first it will be necessary to explain what I mean by the term harmony, as applied to verse.

Melody, in music, regards only the effects produced by successive sounds; and harmony, strictly speaking, the effects produced by different co-existent sounds, which are sound to be in concord. Harmony therefore, in this sense of the word, can never be applied to

274 THE ART OF READING.

poetic numbers, of which there can be only one reciter, and confequently the founds can only be in fuccession. When therefore I apply this term to poetic numbers, I only use it for want of another word, to express the effect produced by observing the relative proportion, which the different members of poetic compofition bear to each other. And in this figurative fense of the word; it has been introduced into arts where the ear has no concern. We fay the harmony of colours, the harmony in the parts of a building, of the human body, &c. And it is only after examining the different degrees of colouring, and their ordonnance; the different members of a building, or the human body; and observing their symmetry, that we can pronounce about their harmony. In like manner, it is not till after we have taken a review of the different members of verse, which had before passed in succession, but lodged in the memory are prefented to the mind in one view, as a co-existent whole, that we can observe the relative proportion which those members bear to each other; or consequently judge of the harmony of the whole. To define therefore as precifely as possible thefe terms, according to the meaning in which I shall employ them, when I speak of the melody of verse, I mean only a pleasing effect produced on the ear, by an apt arrangement of its conftituent parts, feet, and paufes, according to the laws of measure and movement. When I speak of the harmony of verse, I mean an effect produced by an action of the mind, in comparing the different members of verse, already constructed according to the laws of melody, with each other, and perceiving a due and beautiful proportion between them.

The first and lowest perception of harmony. arises from comparing two members of the same line with each other, divided in the manner to be seen in the instances before mentioned; because the beauty of proportion in the members. according to each of these divisions, is founded in nature. The one dividing the line into equal parts, makes the proportion of the members as I to I; and the other two making it as 2 to 3, or 3 to 2, these divisions answer exactly to the common and triple time in music, and therefore are in a mufical proportion. And as it was before laid down, that in comparing the parts of a whole with each other, the more eafily and distinctly the mind perceives their proportion, the greater is the pleasure; these three cefuras dividing the line, the one, into equal parts, and the other two, into portions the nearest to equal that is possible, fulfil this law, and are therefore of the most pleasing kind.

276 THE ART OF READING:

The next perception of harmony in versification, arises from the comparison of two lines with each other, and observing the relative proportion of their members; whether they correspond exactly to each other by similar divisions, as in the couplets already quoted; or whether they are diversified by cesuras in different places. As,

See the bold youth" ftrain up the threatning fleep,

Rush thro' the thickets" down the valleys sweep.

Where we find the cesura of the first line at the end of the second foot, and in the middle of the third foot in the last.

Hang o'er their coursers heads" with eager speed,

And earth rolls back" beneath the flying fleed.

Here the cesura is at the end of the third foot in the first, and of the second in the latter line.

The perception of this species of harmony, is far superior to the former; because, to the pleasure of comparing the members of the same line with each other, in each line, there is superadded that of comparing the different members, of the different lines, with each other; and the harmony is enriched by having.

four

four members of comparison, instead of two. The pleasure is still increased in comparing a greater number of lines, and observing the relative proportion of the couplets to each other, in point of similarity and diversity. As thus—

Thy forests Windsor" and thy green retreats, At once the monarchs" and the muses seats, Invite my lays." Be present Sylvan maids, Unlock your springs" and open all your shades.

Where we find that the cesura is in the middle of the verse, in each line of the first couplet, and at the end of the second foot, in each line of the last: this produces a similarity in each couplet, distinctly considered; a diversity, when one is compared with the other, which has a pleasing effect. Nor is the pleasure less where we find a diversity in the lines of each couplet, and a similarity in comparing the couplets themselves. As in these—

Not half so swift" the trembling doves can fly, When the fierce eagle" cleaves the liquid sky; Not half so swiftly" the fierce eagle moves, When thro' the clouds" he drives the trembling doves.

These two couplets are of a rich harmony, as they afford a variety of comparisons. The lines in each couplet differ from each other, as the cesura of the one is after the second foot; of

т 3 the

-

the other, in the middle. In this, both couplets agree, which occasions a similarity between them; but there is also a diversity, inasmuch as it is the second line in the former couplet, and the first in the latter, which have the cefura in the middle; so that in comparing the couplets, we find it is the first and sourth, the second and third lines, which agree to each other. And this comparison of couplet with couplet, has the same advantage over that of the lines of one couplet with each other, as the comparison of two lines with each other, has over that of the members of a single line.

Here is a foundation laid, for an almost endless variety in the harmony of numbers, confistent with the richest melody. The single line, admits of three proportions. The couplet, according to the different modes in which those proportions may be respectively arranged in the different lines, admits of six more; and the respective comparison of couplet with couplet in the arrangement of their several members, doubles that again. So that it would at first surprise one to find, that so many of our poets have fallen into such a uniformity of metre, when such a variety was open to them.

But the reason is, that those poets write wholly from ear and imitation, without any knowledge of the principles of metre. Poetic numbers were never considered by them as a

science,

science, nor versification as an art. Of course, in composing verses, their ideas never went beyond fimple melody, and the powers of harmony were utterly unknown to them. In that case, it is no wonder, that each gave the preference to that species of melody, which happened to please his ear most; and made the metre, in which that was to be found, predominate in his works. Mr. Pope, who knew more of the art of versification than any of his contemporaries, though he feems not to have dived deep into the science of numbers, had fuch a predilection for the paufe at the end of the fecond foot, that it feems in general to be his poetic gait, in which he steps most at his ease; insomuch that in different parts of his works, I can point out fometimes four, sometimes fix, nay, eight fuccessive couplets, in which no other paufe is to be found. And this is one of the chief fources of that monotony, which all readers of taste have complained of in his verses, notwithstanding the richness of their melody. But the richer the melody, the more it is apt to cloy, in any long fuccession of verses, without the seasoning of variety.

Yet, in those instances which I have given from Mr. Pope, we find all the charms of variety, superadded to the finest melody; and I selected them as some of the most perfect examples in their kinds. But one must search a

long time before he can find many of that fort in his works. In general, his variety feems accidental, his uniformity studied. Though he reckons the pause at the end of the third foot, among those of the musical kind, yet he rarely makes use of it; the two others, as flattering his ear more, were generally preferred. And in the use of these different pauses, he is remarkably uniform, generally giving the fame paufe to the two lines of the same couplet; and frequently continuing the same pause in all the lines of feveral fuccessive couplets. Of which, you cannot open upon any part of his works, without meeting continual proofs. But in this, as in other arts, where the higher delight arising from equality and diversity duly intermixed, is not the object in view, the lower pleafure resulting from simple uniformity, takes place. And Mr. Pope, who could fee fo clearly, and ridicule fo well, this defective taste in the plan of his neighbour's garden,

Grove nods at grove, each alley has his brother. And each green platform but reflects the other—

did not perceive that his own grounds in Parnassus, were laid out much in the same style.

Having faid all that is necessary of the division of lines into equal, or nearly equal, portions, by a fingle cefura, I shall now speak of

their

their division into more members than two, by the use of more than one cesura. And first, a line may be divided into three portions by two cesuras, as thus—

Unrespited" unpitied" unrepriev'd.

Thus fitting" thus confulting" thus in arms.

But in these, the cesura coinciding with the pause necessary to the sense, makes no figure as a musical pause, nor can be distinguished from those used in prose; and besides, as neither cesura is placed in any of the seats which were before established as necessary to lines of the first melody, these divisions have nothing in them, stattering to the ear. But in the sollowing line,

And fweet" reluctant" amorous delay-

Where there is no stop in the sense, and the pause of suspension only takes place after the two adjectives, the musical pauses are obvious to the ear, and the verse is necessarily acknowledged. Here also we find that the second pause is in the most pleasing seat, the middle of the line. In this division we are likewise sensible of the effects of diversity and equality; of diversity, in the members separately compared; the first, consisting of one soot; the second, of a foot and a half; and the last, of two seet and a half: of equality, in perceiv-

282 THE ART OF READING.

ing that the two first members, are just equal to the third. And as these members are ranged in an ascending series, the smallest being placed first, and the largest last, the mind is sensible of the same pleasure which it has in the perception of a beautiful climax. And this very circumstance it is, which gives a line, so constructed, advantage over a line which is divided only into two portions'; because to produce a climax, there must be at least three terms of comparison; and the lines of this fort in which the climax is observed, have the finest harmony. Not but that there is another construction of lines of this fort very pleasing, though in an opposite direction, in which the fmallest member comes last; as in this inftance-

on the ground

Outstretch'd he lay" on the cold ground" and oft"

Curs'd his creation.

But the pleafing flow of this line arises, first, from having its first pause at the end of the second foot; next, from the equality found between the second member and the first; and the mind not resting on the last member, confisting of only one foot, as it has no pause in the sense, is too attentive to what follows, and which has a necessary connexion with it, to observe

observe the smallness of its proportion, with regard to the other two. Of the same structure are two lines which I before quoted on another occasion.

Of chiming strings" or charming pipes" and winds

Of gentlest gale, &c.

In dusky wreaths" reluctant slames" the figns Of wrath awak'd.

In both which instances, we find the lines are closed with words which have a necessary connexion with those of the following line; and I doubt much whether, if there were a stop in the sense at the end of such lines, their harmony would not be spoiled, as the mind, in that case necessarily obliged to observe the smallness of the last portion, would seel an effect similar to that in an anticlimax.

Great variety may be added to the harmony of our verification, by the use of this double cesura. And yet it seems to have been little known to any of our poets except Milton; whose numbers, free from the setters of rhime, admit a variety of beauties, which the couplet will not easily receive.

There is another mode of dividing lines, well fuited to the nature of the couplet, by introducing femipauses, which divide the line into four portions.

284 THE ART OF READING.

By a femipause I mean a small rest of the voice, during a portion of time equal to half of that taken up by a cesura, which may therefore be called a demicesura. As you will perceive in the following lines,

Glows' while he reads" but trembles' as he writes.

Reafon' the card" but passion' is the gale. From men' their cities" and from gods' their fanes.

From ftorms' a shelter" and from heat' a shade.

The proper use of semipauses, improves and diversifies the harmony much. The line, by this means, is divided into four portions, and thus there are four terms of comparison; and the greater the number of terms there are in an equal space, the greater is the pleasure arising from comparison, provided the whole, and its parts, can be feen with equal clearness in one distinct view; because the greater the number of terms compared, the greater may be the variety of their relative proportions to each other. And the increase of number in the terms compared, far from rendering the objects more confused, on the contrary, serves to present them still more distinctly to the mind. For the principal paufe, or cesura, being so placed as to divide the line into equal, or nearly equal parts, gives it all the advantage which

which a line can have that has but one such pause; and the two semipauses, subdividing again those larger portions, present them in a still more distinct view to the mind, than if they had remained entire.

I think I can make this fufficiently clear, by a comparison. Suppose four troops of horse drawn up in a field all in one body; the spectator could perceive nothing there but regularity and order. Suppose this body divided into two equal parts, with a proper space between them; the eye would perceive not only regularity and order, but proportion; and in comparing the two bodies, would fee that one was equal to the other; but still it could have but a confused idea of the numbers whereof each was composed, without farther aid, such as is furnished by experience to those accustomed to review such bodies. But let us suppose each of these bodies subdivided into two, with a space between them of half the breadth of the central one, and then the eye perceives not only order, regularity, and proportion in them all, but the commonest spectator can judge of their number, that they confift of four troops of horse. And this method of dividing them is much more agreeable to the eye, than if the spaces were all equal, because of the diversity of comparison which is thereby introduced; for a spectator, properly placed, not

only perceives that the proportion of the middle space, is double that of either of the other, and equal to them both; but he has an opportunity also of comparing, at one look, the two bodies divided by the larger space, with the opposite two bodies; and each with each, divided by the smaller spaces; and of finding equality, in both cases, instantly resulting from the double comparison. Whereas, were the spaces all equal, there could be no diversity in the comparison; and the equality of the bodies and of the spaces which divide them, could only be perceived in succession.

Now to apply this. An heroic line, without a cefura, is like the troops drawn up in one body, in which nothing is perceived but order. A line divided by a fingle cefura, like the troops divided into two bodies, affords two terms of comparison, and of course introduces proportion. Semipauses, like subdivisions of those bodies, increase the terms of comparison; introduce a variety of proportion in the times of the one, as in the spaces between the others; and a double comparison of two portions with two portions, and each with each, in both. While the larger division in each, still gives as clear a view of the whole; and the subdivision affords a more distinct perception of the parts.

And yet there is one point in which the comparison will not hold; which is, that the beauty

beauty of proportion in the disposition of troops, demands equality in the members; whereas the highest ornament of versification, arises from disparity in the members, equality in the whole. And it is that circumstance, which has made verses of this structure, perhaps superior to all others. The first advantage which it has over others, is, the introducing of a diversity of proportion in the measurement of the pauses, as well as in the members of a verse. For, the cefura taking up double the time of the demicefura, is to each as two to one, and is equal to both: here then is that equality and diversity found, which ought ever to be studioufly fought after. In the next place, a line thus divided, affords as many terms of comparison in itself, as a a couplet does, whose lines are divided by a fingle cefura; and equality and diversity in the members, as well as in the pauses, become the objects of comparison; as we not only may compare the greater with the greater, and the smaller with the smaller portions, but we may also compare the smaller with the greater. Thus in examining this line.

Glows' while he reads" but trembles' as he writes-

we find the principal division is made by the sefura at the end of the fecond foot; and the proportion

288 THE ART OF READING.

proportion of the first larger member, to the latter, is as two or three. But in comparing the portions of each member formed by the demicesura, we find, in the first member, the portions to be as one to three—

Glows' while he reads"

and in the fecond as three to three,

but trem'bles' as he writes.

The diversity in the portions of the first member is obvious; and though there is a seeming equality in the portions of the latter, consisting each of three syllables, yet there is a diversity also, by means of the accent placed on the middle syllable of the former portion, and on the last of the latter.

-but trem'bles" as he writes.

Nor is this the only comparison to be made in this line; for though order and contiguity make the portions in the first, and those in the latter member, the more immediate objects of comparison with each other; yet the opposition in the thought, naturally obliges the mind to a comparison of those portions of the verse, which are more directly opposed to each other—as,

Glows' but trembles'
As he reads" as he writes"

Here then is another perception of diversity and uniformity; of diversity, in comparing the first and third member;

Glows' but trembles'

of uniformity, in comparing the second and last,

As he réads" as he wrîtes"

which answer precisely to each other, both in syllables and accent.

To show the happy effect which such a diversity and uniformity produce, from a comparifon of the contrasted portions, we need only examine a line of nearly the same structure, with this small difference, that the first member is divided into two equal portions by a semipause, after the first foot, and we shall see how much of the harmony is lost.

Reason' the card" but passion' is the gale.

This line is not fo musical to the ear as the other—

Glows' while he reads" but trembles' as he writes.

And I shall endeavour to assign the reason of this. The latter members of these two lines are exactly of the same structure—

"but tremb'les' as he writes
"but paf'sion' is the gale

consequently the difference of effect can be produced only by the diversity to be found in the first members. And here you may remember, in the first place, the former determination, that a line beginning with a trochee, having a rest after the first fyllable, was of a finer movement than one whose first trochee was completely sounded; for instance that this line—

Pants' on her neck" and fans' her parting hair—

is preferable in melody to the following-

Pleafure' or wrong" or rightly understood-

And therefore this circumstance alone, is sufficient to give a preference to the one over the other, with regard to the lines in question. But there is still a farther reason for this, in lines which admit the two semi-pauses, where room is given for comparing the different portions of the different members; because we shall find that neither the diversity nor uniformity are so happily proportioned, nor so sensitive other. Thus in comparing the first and third portion in this line—

Reason' the card" but passion is the gale Reason' but passion'

The diversity is not so sensible between two syllables and three, as between one and three—Glows'

Glows' but trembles'

and in comparing the fecond and fourth members—

the card" is the gale-

we find no uniformity, but the fame diversity as in the other two portions; whereas in the other line—

-while he réads"-while he writes-

confisting each of three syllables, the uniformity compensates for the striking disparity in the other, and gives a complete harmony upon the whole.

There are feveral other ways of dividing lines which admit femi-pauses, and all beautiful. In those two instances you find the cesura is at the end of the second foot; but it enters also happily into that seat which we had before determined to be the best, I mean the middle of the line. As thus—

From men' their cities" and from gods' their fanes.

In which we find a new order, and a new proportion of the parts, introduced by the new cefura. The two larger members of the line, are by this means rendered exactly equal; a divifion which has been established as the most beautiful. The portions in each member are

292 THE ART OF READING.

unequal, those of the first being as two to

From men' their cities"

of the fecond as three to two-

and from gods' their fanes-

which has been fet down as the next musical proportion. There is an equality and uniformity between the first and last portion of the line——

From men'——their fanes as also between the two intermediate portions;

'their cit'ies" and from god's'

but there is also a diversity, as the second portion has its accent on the second syllable, and the third, on the last. The opposed portions in the different members,

From men' and from god's' Their cit'ies' their fanes'

are, the former, unequal in fyllables, uniform in accent; the latter, diversified in both. When we consider besides, that here is another difference introduced, by placing the cesura after an unaccented syllable, while the two semi-pauses are after such as are accented; we shall find such a large sund of that variety, which we have considered as so essential to harmony,

as feems to give this structure of verse the preference to all, except that which I have before placed in the first rank. And this is the best manner of apportioning lines, whose cesura is in the middle; for in the following division,

Spreads' undivided" operates' unspent-

the parts are too diffimilar, and there is wanting a sufficient degree of equality and uniformity, in other respects, to compensate for the disproportion of the parts, in order to surnish out a pleasing harmony. And that this is the case, we may see by comparing this with another line, divided exactly in the same manner by the pauses.

Rides' in the whirlwind" and directs' the storm. Where we find the only difference between these lines, is, that in the last, the accent is upon the last syllables of the two latter portions—

- and direc'ts' the storm-

which produces a uniformity wanting in the other, where the accent is upon the first fyllable of the former portion, and on the last of the latter—

op'erates' unspent'.

But there is another division of lines of this species, very harmonious from the diversity and uniformity of its parts, as thus—

294 THE ART OF READING.

Bold' as a hero" as a virgin' mild-

Where, in comparing the portions of each member, we find the difference between them to be as one to four; and in fuch a disproportion, there can be nothing musical in either member, considered separately; but to compensate for this inequality in the portions, the two members are exactly equal; and the opposed portions also in the different members are the same—

Bold'———mild'
as a héro" as a vir'gin'

And yet in this uniformity there is a diversity, as the small portion begins the first member—

Bold' as a hero"

and closes the last-

as a virgin' mild.

And the two intermediate portions, agreeing exactly in the number of fyllables, and the feat of the accent, there is perceived a pleasing fymmetry in the whole. These are perhaps the only beautiful structures of lines of this order, where the cesura is in the middle; but where it is after the second foot, there are other divisions of the line which produce a fine melody, though not so agreeable a harmony as those before mentioned. In that case, the second

femi-pause may be at the end of the third foot, as—

Favours' to none" to all' she smiles extends—or at the end of the fourth, as—

Oft' she rejects" but never once' offends-

These lines have not so much variety, nor consequently so rich a harmony as the others before quoted; but where a greater diversity in the portions is formed by a semi-pause in the middle of the last soot, the harmony is sensibly improved, as—

Strong' without rage" without o'erflowing' full.

Having shewn the great variety which may be introduced into lines of this order, and the superiority they have over others that are without semi-pauses, from their containing within themselves as many terms of comparison as are to be found in a couplet, whose lines are divided by a single cesura; I now will shew what effects are produced by two successive lines, or a couplet of this structure. It is evident, that such a couplet, is susceptible of a much richer harmony than any other, both on account of the greater number of terms to be compared, and the consequential variety of proportions which they bear to each other. Thus in this couplet—

296 THE ART OF READING.

Favours' to none" to all' she smiles extends, Ost' she rejects" but never once' offends.

In comparing the members we find that they are equal in both, the lines being each divided by a cefura at the end of the fecond foot. But in comparing the portions, we find them different in both members. The first portion of the former line confifts of two fyllables, as does also the second; the first of the latter, of one; the fecond, of three; the first portion of the latter member of the first verse consists of two fyllables, and the last of four; the first portion of the latter member of the second verse, confifts of four, the last of two syllables. It is fufficiently obvious, without producing instances, what a variety of harmony fuch couplets are capable of, from the various mixture of the differently constructed lines which have been before enumerated. I shall only make two obfervations; one is, that in some cases an exact correspondence in the members, and portions of the two lines, is a beauty; as-

Warms' in the fun" refreshes' in the breeze, Glows' in the stars" and blossoms' in the trees.

But care must be taken not to continue this beyond one couplet, otherwise the too great uniformity would disgust; and therefore Mr. Pope has happily placed after this couplet, ano-

ther whose lines are of the same class, but differently divided—

Lives' through all life" extends' through all extent,

Spreads' undivided" op'erates' unspent.

These lines are of a different structure from those in the preceding couplet, as also from each other, which compensates the uniformity in the former, and sets it off.

My next observation is, that lines, which feparately considered are not found of the finest harmony, may produce it when opposed to each other, and compared in the couplet. Which is the case in the one last quoted, where we perceive a fine harmony in the couplet, that is not to be found in either of the lines separately considered, as has been already shewn. When therefore we consider that all the possible divisions of lines of this species, may be introduced in this way to advantage, it is needless to observe how much this may contribute to the variety of harmony; and how much that may be still increased, when successive couplets, instead of lines, are compared with each other.

What I have advanced upon this species of verse, will contribute to solve a poetical problem, thrown out by Dryden as a crux to his brethren; and which, though often attempted, remains to this hour unexplained: and that

is, to account for the peculiar beauty of that celebrated couplet in Sir John Denham's poem on Cooper's Hill, where he gives a description of the Thames-

Tho' deep' yet clear" tho' gentle' yet not

Strong' without rage" without o'erflowing' full

In which the chief beauty of the verification lies in the happy disposition of the pauses and femi-pauses, so as to make a fine harmony in each line, when their portions are compared, and in the couplet, when one line is compared with the other. But this folution could never occur to those who never once dreamed of the demi-cefura, and the happy effects which it may produce in verse.

Having faid all that is necessary upon the articles of melody and harmony, we are now prepared to enter upon the last and most capital branch, that of expression; which shall be the fubject of my next lecture.

Preparatory to which, it may not be improper to recapitulate the chief heads of what has been hitherto delivered, in order to affift the memory, and thereby render what is to follow more easy to be apprehended by the underflanding. English verse is composed of feet like that of the ancients, with this difference, that ours are formed by accent, theirs by quan-

tity.

tity. It is not but that we have quantity too, but theirs was immutably fixed to the fyllables of their words, ours is variable. In words feparately pronounced, the quantity of the fyllables is regulated by the accent. When the accent is on the vowel, the fyllable is long; when on the confonant, short. All unaccented fyllables are fhort. When words are arranged in fentences, the quantity of their fyllables depends upon the relative importance of their fense; of which the emphatic word in each member of a fentence is the regulator. Our accent does not confift in a change of note, but in stress; and may be exhibited in a monotone, like movements beat on a drum. Yet we have variety of notes in speaking, but these notes are not affixed to words, but to fentiments, and change with them. The regulator of these, as well as of quantity, is the emphatic word; which, like a key note, gives the tone and proportion to the rest. We may use all the eight poetic feet in our heroic verse; but, in order to produce verses of the first melody, the triffyllabic feet should be formed by accent, not quantity; that is, should contain no syllable necessarily long, in order to preserve the measure. Though, for the sake of expression, even these may be employed, as they are by no means incompatible with the principles upon which verse is constructed. That we have duplicates

plicates of the poetic feet, according as the accent is feated on a long or fhort fyllable, and these seet produce different essess.

Numbers confilt in certain impressions made on the ear, at stated and regular distances, fo as to answer a proportionate and perceptible measurement of time. The lowest species is when a double stroke of the same note is repeated at equal distances. Next to this, when the same double stroke is repeated, but in such a way, that one of the strokes makes a more fensible impression on the ear, than the other, by being more forcibly struck. In the former species, the notes being in every respect the fame, admit of no proportion of found, but of pauses only; but here measure of found is introduced from the relative degrees of loudness or softness. Movement also takes place from the progression of those sounds, whether from loud to foft, or from foft to loud. But still these numbers go not beyond a monotone, and may be exhibited on a drum. Measure and movement are here defined. Measure expresses the proportion of time both in founds and refts. Movement, the progressive order of founds, whether from ftrong to weak, or vice versa.

The third species, is where a variety of founds is introduced by high or low notes, flats or sharps. This species is on a footing with the former

former in point of measure, but has the advantage of it in movement; as the progression may be made not only from loud to foft, but from high to low, from flats to sharps, &c. and vice versa. This species may be shewn on fuch stringed instruments as do not prolong the notes. The last and highest order is, where the notes can be prolonged at pleasure, and in which therefore a proportional measurement of time can be made in the founds themselves. This species may be exemplified on the organ, violin, human voice, and all wind instruments. So that English verse, though composed wholly of accented feet, without regard to quantity, and repeated in a monotone, would still be of the fecond order of numbers, though it cannot aspire to the third or fourth, without variety of notes, and quantity in the fyllables. That we have both; and from the constitution of our language, which affords us duplicates of feet, we unite all the powers of stringed and wind instruments. That the way of reducing these duplicates of feet to equality of time, is by rests, as in music; the larger proportion of paufes, compensating for the smaller proportion in the founds. Poetic feet correspond to bars in music, and a certain number of those feet. like bars, united, and divided by measured paufes, constitute strains and verse. Thus feet and pauses are the constituent parts of verse. The iambic

iambic is the only foot of which an entire heroic line can be composed. Those which are the most congenial to that foot, find the readiest admittance. As the movement of that foot is from weak to strong, and the stress of the voice is on the fecond or final fyllable, those feet which refemble it in any of those circumstances, are the most congenial to it. Thus the amphibrach, having the stress on the second; the anapæst, on the last; and the spondee having a stress on the last, as well as the first syllable, easily find place. Those, whose movement is in an opposite direction, are not admitted but under certain restrictions. The trochee, in a line of the first melody, finds place only at the beginning. The pyrrhic and fpondee may both be introduced into any part of the verse. Two spondees together in any one part of a line, may be compensated by two pyrrhics in another. The amphibrach finds admission every where. The dactyl may fupply the place of a trochee, the anapæst of an iambus. When a trochee begins a line, if the foot be divided by a fyllabic paufe, or rest after the first syllable, it has a better effect than if founded entire. Melody, harmony, and expression, are the three great objects in poetic numbers. To these the judicious management of pauses, the other constituent part of verse, is not less necessary than that of the feet. Paules

are of two forts, cefural and final. The cefural divides the verse into equal or unequal parts; the final closes it, and marks the meafure. The stop of suspension, a common name to both, is necessary on many occasions to point out the metre. The cesura is not effential to verse, but a great ornament to it. It improves the melody, and is the chief fource of harmony. By melody, is meant, a pleasing effect produced on the ear, from an apt arrangement of the constituent parts of verse, according to the laws of measure and movement. By harmony, an effect produced by an action of the mind in comparing the different members of a verse with each other, and perceiving a due and beautiful proportion between them. The feat of the cefura, in order to form lines of the first melody, is either at the end of the second, or the third foot, or in the middle of the verse. That in the middle, as it divides the line equally, is the most beautiful. The other two divide the line into unequal parts, fimilar in their proportions, different in their order; as the larger portion of the line is placed last in the former, and first in the latter. This produces the same effect in the whole of the verse, as the different disposition of the syllables does in the feet; and the first cesura in this way, has the same advantages over the last, that the iambus has over the trochee. The first and lowell

lowest perception of harmony, arises from comparing the members of the same line with each other. All the above divisions produce a harmony of this fort, the members being in a mufical proportion, either as one to one-two to three-or three to two. The next degree arises from comparing the members of a couplet, or two contiguous lines; and the last and highest, from comparing those of two couplets, or a greater number of fuccessive lines. In this way, the comparison of lines variously apportioned by the different feats of the three cefuras, may be the fource of an infinite variety of harmony, confistent with the finest melody. This is still increased by the introduction of two cefuras, and much more by that of femipauses. The semi-pauses double every where the terms of comparison; give a more distinct view of the whole and the parts; afford new proportions of measurement, and an ampler scope for diversity and equality, those sources of beauty in harmony.

Having thus brought into a narrow compass all the most material points relative to the mechanism of English versification, you will the more readily become masters of them, and be the better prepared to accompany me during the remainder of the course.

LECTURE III.

N this lecture, I propose to treat of the last I and most capital branch of poetic numbers, Expression. By Expression in numbers, I mean, fuch a choice and arrangement of the constituent parts of verse, as serve to enforce and illustrate the thought, or the fentiment. As the main object of all discourse, whether in profe or verse, is to communicate thoughts and fentiments, this part of numbers, which has those for its immediate object, holds a superior rank with regard to the others, proportioned to the dignity of its end. Melody may be confidered as a gratification merely fenfual. Harmony exercises one faculty of the mind, that of comparing; and the effect refulting from the observation of beautifully varied proportions, may be confidered as a pleasure, partly intellectual, partly fenfual; as the principal subject about which the mind is employed, is matter, not thought; found, not fentiment. But when the chief object of contemplation is thought, or fentiment, not found; the foul recognifes with more alacrity the congenial fubject, which peculiarly belongs to her; and the pleafure

206 THE ART OF READING.

pleasure resulting from such contemplation, as it is more spiritualized, is more refined.

Having here made a distinction between thought and fentiment, and those terms having often been considered as synonimous, it will be necessary, before I proceed, to explain the different meanings which I annex to them. By thoughts, I mean merely ideas, which are the objects of the reflective faculty of the mind. By fentiments, I mean ideas accompanied by emotions, which proceed from its fenfitive power. The effects of those emotions have fuch an affinity to bodily feeling, that they often pass under the same name, and are called the feelings of the mind. There are some ideas, which the mind can contemplate calm and unruffled. There are others, which cannot be prefented to it without causing emotions. When the mind is greatly agitated by these ideas, and the emotions are perceived to be violent, they are called passions. As these have an intimate connexion with morals, their nature has been much treated of in this philofophical age, and immense pains have been taken to describe, define, and distinguish them by fuitable names. But so low is the state of the liberal arts among us, in spite of all our vanity on that head, that all the finer emotions, to which those arts are chiefly indebted for the pleasures they afford, are not only un-

10

diffin-

distinguished from each other by different species; but even the generic distinction between them and the passions, has been overlooked, till it was very lately pointed out by the excellent Author of Elements of Criticism.

He defines an emotion to be an internal motion or agitation of the mind, when it paffes away without raifing defire; and when defire is raifed, the motion or agitation is denominated a paffion. By defire, he means that internal impulse which makes us proceed to action. As there can be no action without antecedent defire, so to exert action, that defire must have an object. But emotion, not being accompanied by defire, must have a cause, though it cannot, properly speaking, be said to have an object.

This is the manner in which he defines them; but he was a good deal puzzled to make out this distinction, where he says, ' If now an emotion be fometimes productive of defire, ! fometimes not; it comes to be a material inquiry, in what respect a passion differs from an emotion. Is passion in its nature or feeling distinguishable from emotion? I am apt to think there must be a distinction, when the emotion feems in all cases to precede the passion, and to be the cause or occasion of it. But after the strictest examination, I cannot perceive any fuch distinction be-Black ... f tween X 2

tween emotion and passion.' The difficulty feems to me to arise from this; that he was labouring to oppose the generic, to the specific term. Whereas, had he divided the genus into species, giving a name to each, the opposition between the species would have been manifest. Thus, had he used the generic term Emotions, to express all forts of agitations of mind; and then divided these into such as are attended with defire of action, by the name of passions; and into such as have their ultimate end in the agitation itself, and are afterwards quiescent, giving them another name, that of affections for instance; the generic and specific difference in the use of the three terms, would at once be manifest. And affection, signifying an effect produced by the mind's being simply affected, without reference to any thing consequential from it, may be aptly enough opposed to passion, which implies a consequential desire of action. But this is not the only instance, in which men of the acutest parts find themselves at a loss, in treating of the yet recent critical art among us, through the want of a fufficient number of proper terms to mark their distinctions. And no where is this defect more fensibly felt, than in trying to treat of emotions, or rather that species of them which I have diffinguished by the name of Affections, those finer feelings of the soul, which feem too delicate

delicate for the inspection of our mental anatomists. The other species of emotions, known by the name of Passions, has indeed been treated of with sufficient accuracy; and it is on that account that poetical criticism abounds with precepts on that head; though this be but an accident in poetry, belonging only to fome kinds, while its more extensive province, that of raising the finer emotions, or affections, and which belongs to all poetry in general, has been overlooked. Emotions to the mind, are as necessary as exercise to the body; and when they are not of a painful kind, or too ftrong, are always attended with delight, though in different degrees, according to their feveral kinds. Now poetic numbers keep the mind in a constant state of gentle agitation, by a continued feries of emotions, refulting from their mechanical part, independent of thought.

To your rationalists, who refer all power to the intellectual faculty only, it may feem a strange paradox, to talk of emotions raised in the mind, independent of thought; but I would ask them whether fine music does not excite a variety of emotions in the mind; and that of the instrumental kind at least, certainly conveys no ideas, nor operates by thought, but excites feeling by its own immediate energy, Of the same nature are the emotions excited by the mechanical part of poetic numbers; which differ from those of the musical kind, in cercumstances, not in essentials. They both have one common matter, which is found; and one common modification of matter, which is meafure or proportion in found. They differ, in that the one is inarticulate, the other articulate found; and this difference is reconciled in vocal music. Now we are acquainted with nothing external, which has fo great a power of stirring the mind, and confequently of exciting emotions, as found; and the produced emotions correspond always to the nature of the founds that produce them. Rough, boifterous, and irregular founds, trouble, agitate, and disorder the mind, and cause disagreable emotions. Those which are smooth, gentle, and proportioned, excite emotions of the agreeable kind.

From this view we may fee, what an inexhaustible source of pleasure poetic numbers may prove to the mind, from the infinite variety of emotions which they are capable of exciting in it, of the gentler and more delicate fort.

It is certain, that where there is no emotion, there can be no pleasure. And where the emotions are too violent, and produce passion, they are always attended by pain, till the passion be gratified; and immoderate gratification,

tification, by difgust. But in the pleasures arifing from the gentler emotions, the mind is conscious of a purer fort of delight, unmixed with pain, and whose continuance is not followed by fatiety. It is exercised without danger of fatigue; and maintained in a state of tranquil happiness, equally removed from the turbulence of passion, and the lassitude of inaction. And these are chiefly the good effects produced by the cultivation of the liberal arts, of which, poetry is confessedly the first. I shall now shew how admirably it is calculated to answer these ends, even by the mechanism of poetic numbers. And first I shall begin with the feet; nor, in so doing, shall I lose fight of our principal object, expression; as I shall shew that each foot is, in its nature, more peculiarly adapted to one fort of expression, than another. We know from experience, that those feet, terminated by the most forcible syllable, make a stronger impression on the mind, than those which commence with it. Thus the iambus, is a more powerful foot than the trochee; the anapæst, than the dactyl. A foot, in which there is no stress on any syllable, can make no impression; and consequently is incapable, of itself, of forming a verse, or marking any continued movement; fuch as the pyrrhic and tribrach. They require therefore to be mixed with other feet, and particularly

the spondee, whose double impression compenfates for their want of one. The amphibrach, having a stress on the middle syllable, is an amphibious foot; furveyed one way, in its two first syllables, it is an iambus; another way, in its two last, it is a trochee: but the trochee closing it, gives it a sprightly movement, which makes it more adapted to comic than ferious measures: and yet its first iambic movement, prevents it from being wholly excluded from the ferious, wherever the subject will admit of a more lively air. The spondee, making two equally strong impressions, by two fyllables of equal stress, is not so forcible as the iambus; the strength of whose final syllable, is fet off by contrast with the preceding weak one; but at the same time, from its uniformity, it is more grave and folemn. And the dactyl, ending in two weak fyllables, is too rapid in its motion, unless tempered by the more fober spondee: for which reason, we find these two feet blended in the ancient heroic verse. The spondee also is too uniform in its parts, to make an agreeable movement of itself; as variety is necessary to that, and therefore requires the mixture of other feet. Thus we find, that there are four, out of these eight feet, which cannot, of themselves, constitute any species of verse. The dactyl moves . too rapidly; the spondee too slowly and uniformly; ard'I

formly; the pyrrhic and tribrach, as making no impression, are incapable of forming any movement. Thus verse is necessarily reduced to four species; trochaic, iambic, amphibrachic, anapæstic. I shall now give you specimens of these several kinds of verse, which will at the same time point out their different properties and powers.

First, of the trochaic.

Sóftly | fwéet in | Ly'dian | meas'ures
Soón he | foóth'd his | fóul to | pleas'ures
Wár he | fung' is | toil and | troub'le
Hon'our | but' an | emp'ty | bub'ble
Nev'er | en'ding | ftill' be | gin'ning
Fighting | ftill' and | ftill' def | troy'ing
If' the | world' be | worth' thy | win'ning
Think' O | think' it | worth' en | joy'ing
Lov'ely | Tháis | fit's be | síde thee
Táke the | good' the | gods' pro | víde thee.

Here the trochaic movement is admirably fuited to the gaiety of the subject; but in the same ode, when the sentiment required a more forcible expression, the author uses a more forcible foot, the iambus, or anapæst. The iambus as thus:

Sooth'd with | the found | the King | greviain,

Fought all | his bat' | tles o'er | again', And thrice | he rou | ted all | his foes | and thrice | he flew | the flain.

314 THE ART OF READING.

The mas' | ter faw | the mad' | ness rise, His glow' | ing cheék | his ar | dent ey'es, And while | he heav''n | and earth | defy''d, Cháng'd | his hand' | and check'd' | his pride.

And as the sentiments become more vehement, not content with the iambus, he has recourse to the more impetuous anapæst; and the different degrees of a similar power in those two seet, can no where be better seen than in the following passage; the first line of which is iambic, the rest purely anapæstic.

Reven'ge | reven'ge Timó | theus cries— See the fú | ries aríse, See the snákes | that they réar, How they his' | in their háir;

And the spar | kles that flash' | from their ey'es.

The amphibrachic measure, in which that foot alone is used, is adapted only to lively and comic subjects. For instance—

If e'er in | thy sight I | found favour | Apol'lo Défend' me | from all the | disas'ters | that fol'low.

And this passage from Addison's Rosamond, which is in general composed of the amphibrach, though in two places another soot is introduced.

Since con'ju | gal pass'ion .

Is com'e in | to fas'hion

And mar'riage | so blest' on | the thron'e is

Like

Like Vénus | I'll shine Be fond' and | be sine And | Sir Trus'ty | shall bé my | Adónis.

But here it may be faid, that it is rather the matter and the nature of the thoughts themfelves, which produce the different effects on the mind, than the diversity of movement in the numbers. It is true, that thoughts excite emotions in the mind, as well as the founds that represent them; but they are two different causes separately producing the same effects; and we are to take care never to confound them fo, as to attribute to one cause only, whar often proceeds from the joint efforts of two. To shew that the movement of founds alone, independent of thought, excites fimilar emotions in the mind, let the movements formed by these several species of feet, be beat on the drum, or founded by the trumpet, and they will produce fimilar effects. When the drum beats, or trumpet founds to a charge, the movement is made in vigorous jambics, or still more forcible anapæsts; to rouse the mind to action, and inspire courage, by exciting an emotion fimilar to that which it feels, in the exertion of that quality. Were the charge to be composed of dactyls, or trochees, there is no one from hearing it would find in himfelf

⁻ a month's mind to combat.

don's

Accordingly, those are the movements which prevail in founding a retreat. All the diverfity to be found in music, from the sprightly jig to the flow minuet, depends chiefly on a movement similar to the poetic feet; those of a cheerful gay nature, proceeding from the stronger to the weaker notes, like the dactyl and trochee; and those of a more forcible kind, proceeding from weak to ftrong, like the iambic and anapæst. The different degrees of intermixture of founds corresponding to spondees, pyrrhics, and the other feet, make all the diversity to be found in the different, expression of musical, as well as poetical composition. On the other hand, thoughts alone, independent of found, can excite a variety of different emotions in the mind, according to their different nature. A train of gloomy thoughts, fuch as proceeds from melancholy, occasions a flow uniform motion in the animal spirits, similar to what is caused by the spondaic movement. A succession of gay lively thoughts, fuch as mirth and joy inspire, is attended by a brifk diversified motion, like that excited by the trochaic and dactylic meafure. While a feries of thoughts, refulting from the more impetuous passions, stir up such motions there, as are analogous to those excited by the iambic and anapæstic movement.

Archilochum proprio rabies armavit iambo.

And fo on of the other feet, and their different intermixtures. Now when vent is given to the fentiments by words, men naturally and of course fall into that fort of movement of the voice, which is confonant to that produced by the emotion in the mind; and the dactylic, or anapæstic, trochaic, iambic, or spondaic prevail even in common discourse, according to the diverse natures of the sentiments expressed. To imitate Nature, therefore, the poet, in arranging his words in the artificial composition of verse, is to take care to make the movement correspond to the sentiment, by the proper use of the feveral fort of feet; and this is the first and most general fource of expression in numbers. It was this fort of expression in metre which was marked among the Greeks by the term of to prepon, and among the Latins by that of decorum; which was much studied and reduced to rules of art by them, though but little known or regarded by the moderns, except fo far as they follow the fuggestions of Nature. This fort of expression then, arising from decorum in numbers (to borrow the Latin phrase), by which I mean the accord to be found in the movement of verse to the sentiment, is what I shall first enter upon; and afterwards proceed to other kinds of expression. ariling from other causes. I have just given specimens of the four different kinds of metre which which our language admits, that you might perceive more clearly the feveral powers of these feet, when separately employed in a succession of lines, and thence judge more distinctly of their several effects, when combined in the same metre. I shall hereaster return to these different kinds of metre; but as our present subject is heroic verse, I shall first say all I have to offer on that head.

Heroic verse, admitting all the several kinds of feet, affords room for giving to each fentiment its proper expression, by a suitable movement; whether it be folemn or gay, vehement or gentle, rapid or flow. And though the mere diversifying of numbers by this means, does not a little contribute to adorn them, by the pleasure arising from variety; yet the great benefit of this variety, refults from the proper management of it, in giving expression to the fentiment. I have already shewn what variety our heroic metre will admit of, confistent with the finest melody; and how far it contributes to harmony. My present object is, to shew how far it may contribute to expression; and for the fake of that, how far the bounds of variety may be enlarged. We have already confidered the movement of an heroic line beginning with a trochee, as pleasing; now let us confider it as expressive. And though in the instances produced, I may have it chiefly in view

to explain one particular point, yet I shall not confine myself to that, but shall casually remark upon the other parts of each passage.

his other parts besides

Próne on | the slood' | exten' | ded long' |
and lárge

Lay' flóa | ting mäny | ă róod.

In this instance, by beginning the second line with a trochee, followed by an iambus, the first and fourth syllables are necessarily diffinguished——

Prone on | the flood'-

his posture, and the place. The length of the word, extended, amidst so many monosyllables, followed by the words long and large, which close two pure iambics, strongly image to us the immensity of the figure. The next line begins with a spondee that fixes our attention on the object, which is put in motion by a tribrach that follows, succeeded by an iambus; and thus the idea of floating is aptly expressed by a continuity of sour short syllables; and the vast dimensions of Satan strongly painted, by measuring and bounding them, by the term rood, which finishes the picture.

If it be asked, what analogy there is between short syllables and the idea of floating, I answer, that it is the nature of short syllables to pass quickly, and of course to communicate a quick motion to the animal spirits; as it is of long syllables to pass slowly, and occasion a slow motion there. Consequently the former are better suited to ideas of motion, as the latter are to those of rest. Accordingly, we find in another picture of the same object, where nothing is considered but its vast dimensions, without reference to motion, that short syllables are industriously avoided, and an uncommon succession of long ones, detain us to survey the huge arch-fiend in his fixed posture.

So stretch'd | out huge | in length | the archfiend lay.

The next example affords farther instances of the power of a trochee beginning a line, when succeeded by an iambus.

and sheer within

Lights on his feet as when a prowling wolf

Leap's o'er | the fen'ce | with ease | into | the fold.

The trochee which begins the line shews Satan in the act of lighting; the iambus that follows, fixes him.

Lights on | his feet-

THE ART OF READING.

32 t

The same artifice, in the beginning of the next line, makes us see the wolf

Leap' o'er | the fen'ce-

But as the mere act of leaping over the fence, is not the only circumstance to be attended to, but also the facility with which it is done; this is strongly marked, not only by the smooth foot which follows

| with ease |

itself very expressive, but likewise by a pyrrhic preceding the last foot

into | the fold-

which indeed carries the wolf

with ease | into | the fold.

Sits arbitress, and nearer to the earth
Wheels her | pale course.

Here we have an instance of the effect produced by a trochee followed by a spondee, at the beginning of the line. The trochee shews the moon in motion; the spondee that follows it, presents the object to view, and fixes your attention on it.

Wheels her | pale course.

On this occasion we may observe, that it is the epithet which excites the image; for the mere

names of things, do not by any means present their pictures to the fancy. The moon, mentioned by itself, is a word offered to the understanding, not an object to the imagination. But when the author fays, that the moon

Wheels her pale courfe-

as the circumstance, pale, belongs not to the course, but to the moon itself, his meaning cannot be known, till the image of the moon presents itself in her course, with that pallid complexion, which, on ferene nights, must often have struck every spectator. Nor will the mere addition of an epithet, though well chosen, always excite an image, unless care be taken to place it properly in the measure. When it is intended that the epithet should have more force than the subject, it ought to have a more distinguished place in the verse; and of this we have an instance now before us. For though the words, pale course, form a spondee, and are of equal length, yet the first of them obtains an additional force, on account of its following the short syllable of a preceding trochee; which gives it a greater comparative length, than the latter feems to have, by following a long one; and occasions also more stress of the voice to be laid on it. The want of attending to this point, has been the reason, that many well chosen words of poets,

poets, have not produced their intended effects; and indeed the whole magic power in numbers of conjuring up images, lies more in the artful arrangement, than in the choice of words. These that follow are instances of the same kind.

thence united fell

Down the | steep glade | and met the nether slood.

Down the | slope hill's | dispers'd or in a lake, &c.

In the next example, you will see the effect of a trochee forming the second foot of the verse, preceded by a spondee.

Through pain) up' by the roots | Thessalian pines.

Here we may fee the force which the sudden change of the movement from a spondee to a trochee, and that trochee placed in an unusual situation, gives to the sentiment; and what a lively picture is presented of the action, by a judicious disposition of the words.

and tore"

the words which paint the action, is a strong iambus, with the advantage of a final pause, made still more distinguished, by the alteration

324 THE ART OF READING.

of tone necessary to the first foot of the next line, formed by words interjected in a fort of parenthesis (Through pain). In this parenthesis is introduced pain by a spondee, like a mighty engine to give sufficient force to execute the wonderful task. This engine is suddenly put in motion, by an unexpected trochee, and the work is instantly accomplished by a rapid and forcible iambus—Up' by | the roots—in consequence of which the losty pines of Thessay lie prostrate in your view.

If it be faid, that the power of expression in this passage arises rather from the apt choice of the words, than their arrangement, there is an easy method of trying, on this, as well as on all similar occasions, whether the sentiment be most indebted to the choice, or to the disposition of words, for its expression; and that is, by retaining the same words, and changing the order. Let us try this experiment here, and see whether the expression does not suffer by the change.

And tore up by the roots Thessalian pines Thro' pain.

Here we see that all the force of the word up' which is obtained by the necessary pause preceding it, on account of the parenthesis, and its having no connexion with the preceding word pain, is lost; and being necessarily joined

in utterance to the preceding word tore, it loses all force, by the superior emphasis of that word, which does not even leave it an accent, but reduces it to the state of a mere particle, or expletive;

and tore up by the roots ---

Thus it is hurried down the stream of the verse, together with the two short following particles, by the, undistinguished. And in this arrangement, the last idea presented to the mind, is that of the pain, which gave strength to execute the work; instead of the prostrate pines, the effect of the efforts of pain, which was the chief point in view.

It has been laid down as a rule, that a trochee, in any part of the line, except at the beginning, is an interruption to the melody; and ought therefore never to be introduced any where elfe, unless for the sake of imagery, or expression. I have given an instance of its power in this respect, when it forms the second foot; I shall now give farther instances of it when it forms the third and fourth.

And tow'rd | the gate" | rowling | her bes' | -

This line is descriptive of the motion of Sin, when about to open the gates of hell for Satan.

A celebrated critic has found fault with it, as offend-

326 THE ART OF READING.

offending against the melody of verse, and proposed this amendment—

And rowling toward the gate her bestial train. In which slowing metre Sin indeed moves gracefully on; but this was not Milton's intention, who by a sudden change of movement from two strong iambics, to a pure trochee in an unusual situation, meant to awaken your attention, and fix your eyes on the uncouth unwieldy motion of that monster,

Who ended foul in many a scaly fold Voluminous and vast; and about whose waist

A cry of hell-hounds never ceasing bark'd With wide Cerberean mouths.

And this is the image, which the artful position of that word must necessarily excite in every reader of taste.

And tow'rd the gate" rowling her bestial

The two following are strong instances of the power of a trochee in the third foot.

The

The trochee in the fourth foot is frequently used by Milton, but always with a view to expression; as may be seen in the following examples.

All these our motions vain" | sees and | derides.

——but first" | whom shall | we fend In search of this new world?" | whom shall | we find

Sufficient?

Love no where to be found" | less' than divine'.

Who after came from earth" | sāiling | arriv'd.

Of many a colour'd plume" | fprink'led with gold.

In fight of God's high throne" | gloriouf | - ly bright.

Whom to behold but thee" | Nature's desire! Save He who reigns above" non'e can | resist. Satan had journey'd on" pen'sive | and slow.

In all these instances the trochee is happily introduced into that seat; but I shall comment only on the two last. In the first of which, the word non'e, upon which the most important part of the sentiment depends, obtains by its position a force of emphasis, which it could not have in any other situation. The line might

have a finer melody by making it begin with a trochee; as thus

Non'e căn | refist" fave he who reigns above, but it would not have the fame force.

In the fecond instance, the poet's intention is to give fuch a picture of Satan in his progress, as should shew the mood of mind he was in at that time; and to this he makes you attend by an unusual trochee at the fourth foot, and by making what relates to that circumstance close the verse. Had he consulted melody alone, he needed only to have changed the members of the verse, as thus-

Penfive and flow" had Satan journey'd on.

But in this case his thoughtfulness, and slowness of motion, which were the principal circumstances, would have passed glibly on in the smooth flow of the verse; and that of his journeying on, as being the last of the verse, would leave the strongest impression on the TO ME TO BE TO mind.

Let us now examine the effects produced by the pyrrhic when mixed with other feet; and first when it precedes the spondee.

Ma Say first, for Heav'n hides nothing from thy your view,

Nor the | deep tract' | of Hell.

Here the poet wants us not only to attend to the tract of Hell, but to its immense depth; by placing a pyrrhic therefore before a spondee, he gives greater force to the first syllable of that spondee, from its being preceded by two short ones; and thus the epithet deep obtains an extraordinary emphasis, and becomes of more consequence, as it ought to be, than its substantive trast, which is subordinate to another, that of bell; and whose subordination is preserved, by its being less distinguished, as sollowing a long syllable, and closing a spondee; while the principal word has the advantage of closing an iambus, and being thus set off by a preceding short syllable—of hell.

Nor the | deep tract' | of hell'.

Of the same nature are the following instances,

On the proud crest | of Satan.

on the ground

Outstretch'd he lay" | on the cold ground |

and oft

Curs'd his creation.

When the pyrrhic precedes the iambus, the last syllable of the iambus must obtain still greater force, by its being preceded by three short syllables.

330 THE ART OF READING.

on they move Indis' | sŏlŭ | bly firm'.

What strength does not the word firm acquire, by being placed after three short unaccented syllables! and the power of expression is still seen in a clearer light, upon comparing this monosyllable, with the polysyllable which precedes it; the former consisting of sive syllables, all really short, though the second be accented, and which naturally run off the tongue with the greatest sluency and precipitance; the latter, though a monosyllable, yet strongly built, of the sturdiest letters, to receive fixed as a rock the whole impetus of the voice, rushing with a rapid flow through such a succession of short syllables—

Indissölübly firm'.

Of the same nature is the next instance—

The one feem'd woman to the waist, and fair; But ended foul, in many a scaly fold, Volū | minous | and vast'.

There is fomething in the structure of the words of the two last epithets, wonderfully expressive of the ideas for which they stand. The one, representing the figure of several spiral folds, is a polysyllable; composed of syllables showing regularly like the folds themselves, with

its

its accent on a smooth vowel. The other expressive of the single idea of bulk, is a mono-syllable, but strongly composed, with its accent upon the last of two consonants.

The next example affords two instances of expression of the same kind, from a similar arrangement.

They heard' | and were | abash'd' | and up' | they sprung' Upon the wing'.

Here we see that the second foot, a pyrrhic, adds uncommon force to the last syllable of the following iambus, abash'd'

and were | abash'd' |

The next foot, an iambus by accent, closed by one of the most quickly pronounced, though forcible monofyllables, marks the suddenness of their starting from their posture; as the expressive word, fprung, closing another iambus, does their vigorous exertion in the action of rising—

and up' | they fprung' |

In the next line beginning with three short syllables, you see them in the air

Upon the wing'.

332 THE ART OF READING.

Now let us fee the effect produced by a fuccession of long syllables.

The sted' | fast earth | "at last' | his sailbroad vannes

He spreads' | for slight.

The fecond line opens with an iambus, followed by a spondee, and closes in the same manner; which occasions in each member of the line three fuccessive long syllables. It is true the first syllable of stedfast, having the accent on a fingle consonant, seems to be short; but the time necessary to dispose the organs, to found the following f, gives it an additional length; as, in like manner, the last syllable of the fame word closing with two confonants, which in the pronunciation must be separated, by a short rest from the following vowel, becomes long though unaccented—the sted | fast earth. This arrangement fixes, as it were, the earth upon its base. The other, in a manner, spreads out to view, the immense wings of Satan

his sail | broad vannes-

Here we have an example of the difference between the effects produced by fyllables naturally long, by means of the voice dwelling upon them; and fuch as become fo, by the interjection of rests. The first three are sturdy and unpliable;

unpliable; you are compelled to pronounce them in the time that belongs to them; and they receive length only from the necessary interjection of rests, which makes them admirably suited to that idea of sirmness, intended to be conveyed—

the sted' | fast earth.

The last three you can swell and prolong at pleasure, and thus take time to contemplate

his fail broad vannes.

Of the same nature is the next instance—

and in the air

Made horr'id cir'cles" two broad funs' their shields

Blaz'd opposite.

Here the three long fyllables after the cefura image out the vast magnitude of their shields—

two broad funs' their shields-

and these long grave syllables are rendered still more remarkable, when contrasted with the sharp quick accents in the two preceding words.

horr'id cir'cles ——

And these two words, by their structure and position, having each an accent on the same forcible consonant, in the first syllable, and be-

ing thus fuccessively pronounced in equal fpaces of a rapid time, feem to be of the nature of the circles described by the swords of the combatants.

Made | horr'id cir'cles"-

There is an additional expression too from the placing the cesura here, which shall be taken notice of hereafter.

Of the fame nature are the following infrances.

Should intermitted vengeance arm again His red | right hand | to plague us.

--- that brightest seraphim Approach | not but | with both | wings vail | their eyes.

The Angel ended, and in Adam's ear So charming left his voice, that he a while Thought him still speaking" still stood fix'd to hear.

I shall now give instances of two successive feet composed of long syllables, contrasted to two others composed of short, either in the fame, or an adjoining line.

and wild uproar Stood rul'd | stood vast | infin' | itude | confin'd.

Here the two first feet being spondees, and composed of four long monofyllables, check quild wild uproar, and give us time to contemplate the idea of vastness; while the two next formed out of one word, whose syllables are all short, though the second be accented, correspond to the idea of infinity.

Shē āll | nīght long | her amo | rous des' | cant fung.

Here is nearly the same disposition of seet. The two spondees of sour long monosyllables, are expressive of the long duration of the night; and the subsequent sour short syllables, contrasted to these, are well suited to the idea of the pleasantness of the nightingale's song.

Of the same kind is the first line in the sollowing instance.

Now came | still eve | ning on, and twilight grey

Had in her fober livery all things clad.

- This the feat

That we must change for Heav'n? this mourn | ful gloom

For that | celes | tial light?

Here the fecond line ends with two spondees (the emphatical word this being here long), which are contrasted with two sharp iambics by accent, that begin the next line; and thus in the slow melancholy movement of the former, and the sprightly bounding of the latter numbers,

336 .THE ART OF READING.

numbers, the misery of the one, and the happiness of the other state, are expressed.

This mourn | ful gloom

For that' | celes' | tial light.

And in their mo | tions har | mony | divine

So fmooths | her char | ming tones | that

God's own ear

Lis'tens-delighted".

In the first of these lines, we find that seven of the ten syllables are short and unaccented, as descriptive of the motion of the planets; but in the second, where the harmony resulting from these motions is to be attended to, we find that no less than seven of the ten are long. It begins and closes with two smooth spondees. The second and third seet are pure iambics, but of the gentlest kind; and the three last syllables being long, and to be equally dwelt upon, gives us time to resect upon the superexcellence of that harmony to which

Listens' delighted".

Now let us take a view of the effect produced by different intermixtures of these feet.

but that feat foon failing, meets

A vaft' | vacu | ĭty" | all un | awares

Fluttering | his pen' | nons vain | plum'b down | he drop's

Ten thousand fathom deep'.

Here in the fecond line, the force of the word, valt, serves to set off the nothingness as it were of the four fucceeding fyllables; three of which are of the shortest kind; and though the fecond fyllable has an accent on the vowel u. vet it runs fo glibly into the fucceeding vowel, that to the ear it has only the effect of a short one. These four rapid syllables reslect force back upon the word, vast, which makes us recur to that idea as the principal one; the propriety of which will instantly appear, when we reflect that the main object of the poet is, to represent the immense size of Satan, and confequently that there must not only be a vacuity, but a vast vacuity, to let so huge a figure pass through. Here we are stopped by a cesura, and the movement is changed to a trochee followed by an iambus. The change roufes attention; and the quick run of the two intermediate short syllables, which carry us precipitately to the last, paints the suddenness of the event-

āll ŭnawares-

And the act itself, and the manner of his fall, are wonderfully imaged in the numbers of the next line——

338 THE ART OF READING.

Flut'tering | his pen' | nons vain | plum'b down | he drop's-

The action is strongly marked by the first trisfyllabic foot, Fluttering; the figure is presented to our eyes by the subsequent word, pennons, which judiciously follows the word fluttering, without the intervention of any other but its pronominal adjective. Vain, is happily placed after its substantive, as it makes us expect the immediate consequence, his dropping down; and the effect of the two succeeding seet—

------plum'b down | he drop's----

can be better felt than described.

And yet a modern versifier would probably think there are faults in this line. He would strike a syllable from the first foot to reduce the verse to rule, and perhaps change the arrangement of the words thus——

Flutt'ring in vain his pennons down he drops—which would wholly destroy the expression.

The next instance describes Satan emerging out of chaos.

That Sa | tan with | less' toil | and now | with ease

Waft's on | the cal | mer wave | by du | bious light. By the pyrrhic in the fecond foot of the first line, we perceive that Satan is going on; but are informed by the gentle spondee in the third, that it is with some toil, though with less than before. The flowing iambics that follow, prepare us for his moving on without any farther obstacle or difficulty——

_____ and now | with ease.

The trochee which begins the next line, throws him into this equal motion, which is continued to the end by the smoothest iambics.

Waft's on | the cal | mer wave | by du | bious light.

The next instance shews the sudden effect of Ithuriel's spear, in restoring Satan to his own shape, from that of the toad into which he had metamorphosed himself.

So star' | ted up' | in his | own shape | the

The suddenness of the apparition, is admirably painted by the quick and sharp accents on the final syllables of the two first feet—

So star' | ted up'

The next is a pyrrhic which hurries you to a fpondee, but stops you to attend to the transformation——

in his own shape-

and then the fiend himself is disclosed to view. in a strong iambus, terminated by a full pause, that you may at leifure furvey the huge and horrid object.

I have abundantly shewn instances of the power of expression, arising from the various arrangement of the diffyllabic feet in our heroic verse; I shall now point out the effects produced by those of the triffyllabic kind, which are fo much neglected, or rather disused by our poets in general; that you may the better judge what loss our poetic numbers have fustained by this means.

In the first place, triffyllabic feet are in their nature superior to those of the dissyllabic kind, as being richer in number of syllables; and the ear is more flattered by hearing three fyllables, that is a long and two short, pronounced in the fame space of time that two long ones are, which gives one advantage to the dactyl, anapæst, and amphibrach over the spondee. But in our language, the great benefit arifing from the use of trisfyllabic feet, is not so much in those of the genuine kind, formed by quantity, as in those formed by accent; for this We have observed, that in order to bring the accentual feet to an equality of time with those formed by quantity, we are obliged to have recourse to little rests of the voice, to fupply the deficiency of time; but when a triffyllabic

fyllabic accentual foot is introduced, that deficiency is supplied by the addition of a short syllable, and the ear is more filled and satisfied by having the due time made out by sound, than by silence: for though the verse-pause, or cesura, contributes to the beauty of numbers, the foot-pause does not; and arises only from the necessity of making out the time, where the accent happens to fall on a letter which will not admit of a prolongation of sound. In all cases therefore, where a trissyllabic accentual foot is put in the place of a dissyllabic, the ear is more satisfied with it from the greater quantity of sound; as you will perceive in the sollowing instances.

Up' to | the fie | ry con' | cave tow'er | ing high.

nor was his ear lefs peal'd

With noifes loud | and ruin | ous" to compare Great things with small, then when Bellona storms,

With all | her batter | ing engines bent to

Some cap'i | tăl cit'y.

Their glitter | ing tents he pass'd, and now is come

Into the blissful field thro' groves of myrrh And flow'er | ing odours.

342 THE ART OF READING.

With regard to expression, wherever the trochee can be introduced on that account, the dactyl is still more forcible.

Against revolted multitudes, the cause Of truth, in word' mightier | than they in arms.

Abject and lost lay these" cov'ering the slood.

And in the beginning of a line.

He ended frowning, and his looks denounced Del'perate | revenge, and battle dangerous To less than Gods.

Mur'muring | and with him fled the shades of night.

Hov'ering | on wing under the cope of Hell.

Sometimes these triffyllabic feet in one line, serve to set off the smoother and more equable flow of the spondee, in another.

Thammuz came next behind
Whose an'nu | al wound | in Lebanon allur'd
The Syrian damsels to lament his fate
In am'o | rous ditties all a summer's day;
While sinooth | Adonis from his native rock
Ran purple to the sea.

Here the first and third line begin with trisfyllabic feet: How is the ear charmed by a change in the beginning of the fourth, to a long disfyllabic

labic foot, and how is the river fmoothed by an equal spondee flowing gently as the stream!

While smooth Adonis, &c.

But there is also a fort of expression belonging to these feet, which cannot be reached by any of the dissyllabic kind. I have already given you an instance by the way, which deserves farther consideration.

Throws his | steep slight | in man'y | an áž | ry whirl.

The first foot, a trochee, expresses the precipitancy of his motion; the second, a spondee, marks its direction, by the necessary emphasis on the word steep: and the two succeeding amphibrachs, followed by an iambus, closed by the expressive word, whirl, not only describe the manner of the motion, but by the magic of numbers make us see the object itself, in the midst of that rapid and circular career.

I shall only give one instance more, of the singular power of expression, in the proper use of these feet; it is where Milton describes some of the monsters of the deep—

part huge of bulk

Wal'lowing unwieldy | " enormous in their gait,

Tem'pest | the ocean.

There cannot be conceived in numbers a power of expression, beyond what is conveyed by the junction of those two words, at the beginning of the line; the first, a dactyl by accent, expresfive of motion; the latter, a genuine amphibrach, with the accent on the vowel, expressing the unwieldiness (I have no other term to use) of that motion. It is true, each word, from the letters which compose them, and the feat of the accent, is happily fuited to the idea for which they stand; but it is their junction, and the order in which they are placed, which gives us the full picture of those enormous monsters in their uncouth motion, which it would have been impossible to do by the use of any diffyllabic feet.

There is indeed fomething in the force of expression in the two last instances, which exceeds the power of words to describe or explain, and which can only be felt. And I think I have said enough to shew that our poets, by omitting to use the trissyllabic feet, have deprived themselves of one great source of beauty and power in verse.

From all that has been faid it is evident, that the numbers of English heroic poetry, have a manifest superiority over those of the ancients. There can be no doubt but that a much greater variety and force of expression, may be introduced into our heroic verse, by

my Smille

the

the use of eight movements, than could posfibly enter into that of the ancients, by the use of two only; and this is still increased, when we consider that we have duplicates of those feet. They could only give a general expreffion to the fentiment, from a uniform acceleration or retardation of the measure, by the use of several successive dactyls, or spondees; fuch as is to be found in the lines quoted by all the critics; the first, dactylic, expressive of rapidity-

Quadrupedante putrem sonitu quatit ungula campum.

The fecond, fpondaic, descriptive of labour-Olli inter sese magna vi brachia tollunt.

But in the use of our different movements, we find from the examples already given, that not only the fentiment at large, but each particular one, nay each particular idea, may obtain a peculiar force; and that there is no emotion of the mind, however irregular, that may not find a correspondent movement in our verse, without destroying the metre.

But before we quit this article of feet, I must shew under what restrictions they are to be employed; and what combinations of them are not suffered to enter into verse, as being utterly destructive of all metre. You will recollect the diffinction

distinction formerly made, between such feet. as have a movement congenial with the iambic, and fuch as have not. Of the former kind, are, the spondee, amphibrach, and anapæst. Of the latter, the trochee, and dactyl. The former, may be called homogeneous; the latter, heterogeneous. The homogeneous feet may be employed, as has been already shewn, with almost as great a latitude as the iambus itself; but with regard to the heterogeneous feet, it is an invariable law, that two of them should never be placed together in a verse. Because, though the ear can bear the interruption of a fingle foot, when fucceeded immediately by the iambic movement, yet two fuch fuccessive feet, form so large a portion of another fort of metre, opposite to the iambic, as to render it disagreeable to the ear; for the whole verfe appears an incongruous jumble of discordant metres. On which account the following lines of Milton are false metre.

And dust shalt eat" | all the | days of | thy life, Sweetness | in'to | my heart unselt before— Whis'per'd | it to | the woods, and from their wings——

By' the | waters | of life where'er they fate-

And still worse is the following, where there are several successive movements of an opposite nature to the iambic.

Shoots in | vis'ible | vir'tue | ev'n to | the deep.

We are to observe that the genuine pyrrhic, and tribrach, are included in this general law of the heterogeneous seet; for though they cannot be said to be of an opposite nature, as they really make no impression at all, and therefore have no movement; yet, two successive feet of that fort, lose all air of verse, and can only appear to be prose; on which account, there cannot be in a line two unaccented feet together; and where two pyrrhics in quantity are so placed, one of them at least must be accented. Nor can a pyrrhic be succeeded by any but an homogeneous soot, without spoiling the metre; as may be seen in the sollowing lines.

And cor | poreal | to incorporeal turn
In their | tri'ple | degrees" regions to which—
Uni | ver'sal | reproach far worse to bear—
In the | sweat' of | thy face shalt thou eat bread—

In the | vis'ions | of God" it was a hill-

I have been furprifed in reading Milton, who was so perfect a master of numbers, to find so many lines that have not the least air of verse, and which could not have slipped from the pens of our middling poetasters.

01171

Some few of them, fuch as fome of those I have quoted, are evidently the effect of negligence, eafily pardonable in fo large a work; but I am convinced that the greatest part of them were intentionally fo constructed. We are to recollect that Milton was strongly tinctured with the fanaticism of the times; and wherever he had occasion to introduce a text of scripture, he seems to make it a point of religion, not only to flick to the words, but to the very order of them in the text, without regard to metre; as you may fee in the following passages.

Because thou hast done this, thou art accurst. Above all cat | tle" each | beaft of | the field. Upon thy belly groveling shalt thou go, And dust shalt eat" | all the | days of | thy ni notilife.

Between thee and the woman I will put En'mi | ty and | between | thine and | her feed.

On Adam last, thus judgment he pronounc'd; Because | thou hast | hear'k'ned | to the | voice of | thy wife

And eaten of the tree, concerning which I charg'd thee, faying thou shalt not eat and tenthereof, and the same, and

Curs'd is the ground for thy fake" thou in forrow wante was a standard with the standard was a standard with

Shals

Shalt eat thereof" all the | days of | thy life;
Thorns al | fo and | this tles | it shall bring
thee forth

Unbid, and though shalt eat th' herb of the field.

In the sweat' of | thy face shalt thou eat bread.

In most of these lines, you find that there is not the least pretension to verse; and that this was not casual, may be seen, by examining the different passages throughout his work that are drawn from scripture; in which the same studious neglect of metre is apparent; more particularly in those passages where God himself speaks: in which the poet seems to think, that the highest ornaments of poetic language and numbers, invented by man, would be unworthy of the Deity; and therefore he has chosen to avail himself of the religious reverence attached to the scriptural expression, in its state of simplicity and negligence.

But there are also other passages, in which he has industriously started aside from all rules of metre, for the sake of a more vigorous expression; as for instance, in the following description of Sin's opening the gates of hell to Satan—

Th' intricate wards, and every bolt and bar Of massy iron, or solid rock, with ease Unsastens: on a sudden open sty

With impetuous recoil and jarring found Th' infernal doors.

These lines are certainly-exceedingly expressive, but cannot be called verses. Nor do I think, that so great a latitude is allowable. The rules of metre are never to be fo totally infringed, as to reduce verse to prose. For though the mind readily acquiesces in certain deviations from the purer melody, where the expression is manifestly enforced by such deviations; and the ear itself, in concert with the mind, even receives greater pleasure from them; yet it will not fo wholly give up its rights, as to be defrauded of the expected pleafure arifing from the observation of the laws of metre, which is its due; and to have the change put upon it, of being paid with fuch as it receives from simple profe. If ever this is allowable, it is in expressing sentiments of vehement and disorderly passion; such as in the last line of the following passage, the conclufion of Eve's speech to Adam, after their sin.

The sentence from thy head remov'd, may light On me, sole cause to thee of all this woe.

Mē mē | only" | just' ob' | ject of his ire.

Here it is evident, that the poet wilfully faerificed all regard to metre, to the energy of expression, expression, for by a small transposition he might have made the verse complete, as thus—

Me only me" just object of his ire.

Or if the immediate repetition of the pronoun me, without the intervention of any other word, should be thought more forcible, and that they should on no account be separated; he had but to change the word only into its equivalent, as thus——

Mē mē ălone" just object of his ire.

And this would have been at once a line of fine melody, and very expressive. But it must be allowed, that the expression is still much stronger in the other arrangement. In the first place, the irregularity of the numbers is much more consonant to the disorderly state of Eve's mind; then the emphatical words, only and just, obtain a degree of force thus placed, which they could have in no other situation; only, by the sudden change to a trochee in the second foot, without any notice given by a preceding pause; and just, by the additional emphasis which it obtains from a preceding pause, and by following the last short syllable of a trochee.

In this passage Milton seems to have had in view, that line in Virgil's celebrated episode of Nysus and Eurialus——

to Day gra

Me me adsum qui seci - in me convertite ferrum, O Rutuli.

In this line of Virgil, there is an apparent disorder, without infringing the laws of versification; which in my opinion ought never to be done on any pretext whatever. Nothing is fo easy as to express irregular emotions by irregular feet; but the art of the poet confifts in giving a disorderly air to the numbers, in order to produce a conformity to the fentiment; which yet, on examination, will be found to be strictly conformable to the rules of metre; and one great pleasure of the mind is, in the perception of that expression of disorder, arising from order itself, which is one principal advantage that verse has over prose; and when all regard to order is laid aside, it is no longer poetic but profaic expression.

I have but a few observations more to make on the subject of seet. One is, that an heroic verse cannot be terminated by an heterogeneous soot; on which account the following line is

not verse---

Which of us who beholds the bright | fur'face.

Though it may terminate in a pyrrhic, as in these instances.

That on my head all might | be vis' | ĭtěd— To gratify my scorn | ful en' | ĕmïes——

Over

Over their heads a crys' | tal fir' | măment—At whose command the pow | ers mil' | ĭtănt—

It may also end in an amphibrach, as thus,

Not so repuls'd with tears that ceas'd | not flow ing ---

I bear thee, and unweeting have | offen'ded—Against a foe by doom express | affi'gn'd us—Which of them rising with the sun | or fall-

Lines of this class are said to contain a redundant fyllable, by fuch as measure verse by fyllables, and allow only ten to an heroic line. But though verses of these two sorts of structure do not offend the ear, yet at the same time they are neither pleasing by their melody, nor can give any force to expression; and should therefore be feldom used, except by writers of tragedy, whose business it is, not to be too curiously folicitious about the melody of their metre, that the dialogue may appear more natural. The instances of this fort are rare in Milton, though they occur too frequently in our other poets; and what is still more unpardonable, even in rhymed verses, as it ought to be an invariable rule, that the fyllables which ryhme should be accented, except in comic and burlesque poetry.

354

LECTURE IV.

AVING, in my former lecture, treated of Expression, so far as relates to poetic seet; I shall, in this, examine how far pauses, the other constituent part of verse, are concerned in it.

We have already confidered paufes with respect to melody and harmony; now let us consider them with regard to Expression. It has been shewn, that to form harmony, the feat of the cefura must be in one of those three places, which divide the line into members that bear a musical proportion to each other; and this division, at the same time, also forms the richest melody. But there is no part of the line, in which the cefura may not find place, for the fake of expression; which is often the stronger, in proportion to the deviation from the stricter laws of melody and harmony; as in this case, the mind willingly accepts in payment the exceedings in the one, for the deficiencies in the others. There are two articles, upon which the expression, arising from the feat of the cefura, chiefly depends.

If, The division of the line into such unequal parts, as bear no musical proportion to each other. 2dly, As the cesura may either be placed after a complete foot, or after a femipede, that is, may divide a foot, it is fitted to different uses, according as it is employed in the one, or the other of these ways. In the more unequal divisions of the verse by a cefura, in order to give expression, it ought to appear, either, that the larger portion was abfolutely necessary to convey the sentiments contained in it, with full force, in an uninterrupted continuity; or, that the thought included in the smaller member, may be of such value in point of fense, or imagery, as to balance the quantity of found and time taken up by the other. In this case, a cesura after the first semipede, which stops you unexpectedly to furvey a fingle idea, may have great force. And as to the other article, relative to the feat of the cesura, whether it should be on the complete foot, or the semipede; that, after the semipede, is the most proper to be used, where the fense is incomplete; because the ear waits for the close of the foot, and expects fomething more; and for the same reason, it is more fuitable to all ideas and images expreffive of continuance of motion: that, after the foot, is the most proper to close the sense; because the ear, satisfied with the completion

of the measure so far, is not left in the same state of suspense, as in the other case. And this pause is better suited to ideas of rest, or cessation of motion. I shall now give some instances of the effects produced by cesuras, so placed, as to divide the line into the most unequal portions; such as that after the first, and before the last semipede.

Seafons return, but not to me returns
Day" nor the sweet approach of even or morn.

Here the cefura after the first semipede, Day, stops you unexpectedly, and forcibly strikes the imagination with the immensity of his laws. He can no more see—What?—Day!—Day and all its glories rush into the mind; a cluster of images at once present themselves in confused heaps, during the pause occasioned by this uncommon cesura, and give a more sensible feeling of all the delights he has lost, than the most circumstantial detail of them could have done.

There is something very striking in this uncommon cesura, which suddenly stops the reader to reslect on the importance of the word; nor is there less beauty, in making the whole latter part of the verse, nothing more than a comment upon that important word; by only unfolding the same thought, and mentioning the

71

the most delightful parts of day, the even and morn, without introducing any new idea.

And even in mentioning these two parts, the poet has judiciously placed the morn last, as the more charming of the two, that it might leave the stronger impression on the mind.

Let us proceed to the next instance.

Of what he was, what is, and what must be, Worse" of worse deeds worse sufferings must ensue.

What an amazing force does this position give to the word worse! and in what strong colours does it paint to us the desperate state of reprobation into which Satan had fallen!

And to shew that this was not accidental, Milton, on another occasion, expressing a thought similar to this, relative to the same object, makes use of the same arrangement.

Bane" and in Heaven much worse had been my state.

In the next instance—

Celestial voices to the midnight air
Sole" or responsive each to other's note—

The situation of the monosyllable fole, separated from the rest of the line by a cesura, enforces its sense.

Of the same nature is the following instance, where the cesura is before the last semipede.

And bush with frizzled hair implicit." Last's
Rose as in dance the stately trees.

Here the position of the word last, being the last syllable of the line after a cesura, coincides perfectly with the sense. The two following of the same nature contribute greatly to the imagery.

Between her white wings mantling proudly"
rows"

Her state with oary feet; yet oft they quit The dank, and rising on stiff pennons" towre" The mid aerial sky.

But the next affords a still more remarkable instance of the power of this cesura.

Jehovah thundering out of Sion" thron'd"
Between the cherubim.

Four feet and a half of the second line are taken up in describing

Jehovah thundering out of Sion"

But what wonderful imagery, and what sublime ideas, does not a single monosyllable excite by its position; bounded on one side by a cesural,

and on the other by a final pause. And what more exalted idea could have been conceived of the Deity, than is expressed by that single word? which, after the description of his executing just vengeance on the rebellious, and darting his thunders at their heads, shews that this required no unusual exertion in the Godhead; He performed these wonders—thron'd! and how thron'd? why, as at other times, when exerting acts of love and beneficence—

Between the Cherubim.

Compare this fingle instance, with the noblest descriptions given by the ancient writers of their Gods, and see how much the Christian, has excelled the Heathen poets.

Let us change the order of the words, and we shall see how much of the beauty of the sentiment would be lost, by a different arrangement.

Thundering from Sion.

Here the word thron'd, running undiffinguished in the line, is merely descriptive, and gives no time for the image to fix itself in the mind; or should any faint one present itself, it would soon give place to, and be effaced by the more powerful one that follows, that of his thundering. Whereas in the other arrangement, by

the uncommon pause before the word, thron'd, and by the final one after it; the mind has, as it were, the image forced upon it, and the words that follow,

Between the Cherubim —

closing the period, leave it in possession of that image; and give it full leisure to admire the inestable dignity of the divine Majesty, unmoved itself in the midst of the terrours which it scatters around. And this was the main idea intended to be conveyed by the poet, not that of his thundering, which would have nothing in it new or striking.

In the following instances there are two uncommon cesuras in the same line; one, after the first semipede; the other, before the last.

No fooner had th' Almighty ceas'd, but all The multitude of angels, with a shout Loud" as from numbers without number" sweet"

As from bleft voices uttering joy.

Here the design of the poet was, to give at once a strong idea of the loudness and sweetness of the shout, proceeding from this innumerable multitude of angels; and how was it possible to do this so effectually, as by the judicious position of these words, in such distinguished seats of the same line? the one, at the end of the first semipede, sollowed by a cesural

pause; the other, closing the line, preceded by a cefural, and followed by a final pause.

Loud" as from numbers without number" fweet"

As from bleft voices uttering joy.

Let us now examine the next unequal division of a line, by a cesura after the first, or before the last foot. Of this take the following instances.

and now his heart

Distends with pride, and hardening in his strength

Glories" for never fince created man Met such embodied force, &c.

Here by the uncommon cesura, which makes the word, glories, as it were project from the rest, the insolent vanity, and obstinate pride of Satan, are more strongly painted than could have been done by the longest description.

And yet no other poet but Milton would have placed that word in its present situation. They would certainly, for the sake of smoothness, have let it slow gently down with the other words in the preceding line, as thus—

---- and hardening glories in his strength.

Where the idea we are stopped at, and which leaves the last impression, is that of the strength of Satan; but in the other arrangement,

———— and hardening in his strength Glories"

that word which unexpectedly stops us, prefents the image of Satan to us, with all that insolent satisfaction in his countenance, and haughtiness in his air, which self-sufficiency, and considence in superior strength, are apt to beget in vain minds.

The next instance is—

He ceas'd, and next him Moloc, fcepter'd king,

Stood up" the strongest and the siercest spirit That sought in Heaven.

Here the fudden manner of his rifing, so suitable to the character of Moloc, is strongly imaged by this sudden cesura.

The next affords an example of a cefura preceding the last foot;

Which shook Heav'n's whole circumference"

Here every condition is fulfilled in this unequal division of the line. Four feet are necessarily employed in continuity, to describe that amazing event of shaking the whole circumference of Heaven. And the important word, confirm'd, expressive of the ratification of the Almighty will, on account of which that

extraordinary operation was performed, justly fills the smaller portion of the verse.

In the next instance-

Now shaves with level wing the deep" now foars"

Up to the fiery concave towering high.

The continuity of the same level motion is pointed out by sour continued portions of the line, and the change to a nobler kind of slight, is marked by the sifth.

Sometimes we find a pause before the last foot of one line, and after the first of the succeeding one, as in the next instance;

Now in loose garlands thick thrown off" the bright

Pavement" that like a fea of jasper shone, Impurpled with celestial roses" smiled.

Nothing can be better calculated to fix the attention on the amazing splendour of the celestial slooring, than these two uncommon pauses.

Lines of this structure, which are sometimes met with in Milton, though not in any other poet that I remember, appear to many to be faulty; because of the intimate connexion which there is between the adjective and substantive in English, and which in prose ought never to be separated by the smallest

pause: now here by finishing the verse with the adjective, bright, it is separated from its substantive, pavement, contrary to the genius of our tongue. And yet in the right manner of repeating it, there appears to be no defect, but rather the idea seems to acquire new force from this very circumstance.

In repeating lines of this fort, they must always appear faulty, if the reciter knows not how to make use of the pause of suspension; for if he uses any not ebelonging to the sentential stops, at the end of such lines, it occasions a folecism in the sense, by an unnatural disjunction of the adjective from the substantive, or the attribute from its subject. But when the voice is only suspended, there is no separation made in the fense, and the subject and attribute in that respect, are as intimately united, as if they had been closely joined in the pronunciation. But this separation in point of sound between the quality and its subject, gives time for the quality to make a stronger impression on us; and therefore should never be used, but when the poet means that the quality, not the fubject; should be the principal idea; which is the case in the above instance; where the intention of the poet is, to fix our thoughts, not on the pavement itself, but on the brightness of the pavement. And this is the use which Milton has always made of this arrangement, in whatever lines it is found; fuch as in the following inftances:

Here it is evident, that it is the adjectives which are emphatic; it is, the cold climate, the fweet recess, the pure intelligence. And when to the emphasis there is superadded a pause of suspension, the attributes become still more distinguished.

Let us now examine the only two remaining feats of the cesura not yet touched upon; I mean that after the semipede of the second and fourth feet, or, as it is commonly expressed, after the third and seventh syllables.

It has been faid, that pauses after semipedes, are fittest to express continuance of motion, as also of sense; as those at the end of seet, are properest to mark cessation of motion and completion of sense; for a reason already assigned. Of this take the following instances:

——— when to right and left the front Divided" and to either flank retired.

with huge two-handed sway
Brandish'd alost the horrid edge came down
Wide wasting" such destruction to withstand
He hasted" and oppos'd the rocky orb
Of ten-fold adamant, &c.

Their march was' and the passive air upbore Their nimble tread.

Which hung not" but so swift with tempest fell

On the proud crest of Satan" that no fight Nor motion of swift thought, &c. For who can think submission" war then, war.

Open or understood, must be resolv'd.

Here we may observe, that the pause, after a semipede, gives uncommon force to the sollowing syllable when accented; as may be perceived in this last instance in the word, war. And the sollowing example contains both these seats of the cesura, with the same force of expression in both:

Now rowling" boils in his tumultuous breaft, And like a devilish engine" back recoils Upon himself. I shall now quote a passage in which the judicious variation of the cesura in its several seats, will shew what beauty and expression arise from it, and so have done with this article.

Leaning half rais'd" with looks of cordial love

Hung over her enamour'd" and beheld Beauty" which' whether waking or afleep, Shot forth peculiar graces" then with voice" Mild" as when Zephyrus on Flora breathes, Her hand foft touching, whisper'd thus" Awake"

My fairest" my espous'd" my latest found" Heav'n's last' best gist" my ever new delight". Awake"

What a variety! Here in eight lines there are no less than seven different seats of the centural employed. And how judiciously are the more uncommon cesuras introduced! How are we stopped to contemplate the beauty of Eve, with Adam, by a pause at the end of the first foot (and that a trochee) after that word! And how expressive of the endearing tenderness with which Adam addressed Eve, is the pause after the first semipede, Mild! which is of force enough to justify the very unequal division of the verse; as is also the necessity of pronouncing the beautiful simile that follows without

interruption, in the latter and so much larger portion,

Mild" as when Zephyrus on Flora breathes-

But nothing appears to me more beautiful in this arrangement, than the different fituations given to the word, awake. The first, after an uncommon pause at the end of the fourth soot; the latter, after another at the end of the first; in situations directly opposed. To awaken Eve was the principal end of Adam's speaking; and therefore this word is not only repeated with great propriety, but is placed in both cases suitably to its importance; the contrast between its having the last place in the verse, when first uttered; and the first place when last pronounced, renders it remarkable.

Nor are the two lines included between these two different situations of that word, less remarkable for the beauty of their structure. We find in them a continued climax both in sense and metre; and the coincidence of those two, in forming a climax, is one of the most capital beauties in numbers. In point of sense, it begins with, My fairest—this is followed by a more forcible expression—My espous'd—and that by one still more endearing—My latest found—The beginning of the next line enlarges, and improves upon this tender thought—Heav'n's last best gift—and the conclusion contains a sentiment

timent expressive of the fulness of his happiness, which knows no satiety - My ever new delight-This justly finishes the climax. as expressive of the most remarkable peculiar circumstance of the delight which Adam found in the society of Eve, that it was always new; whereas in all the other objects of the creation, however beautiful, much of the pleasure in contemplating them must diminish with their novelty. So far for the climax in the sense; now let us see how that in the metre corresponds to it. The first line is divided into three portions, by means of two cefuras. The two first portions are of a foot and a half each, and have in each, but one accent: but the fecond, has this advantage over the first, that its accent is on the last syllable of the portion, whereas it is on the middle one of the first.

My fáirest" my espoús'd-

The third portion rifes above the other two, as containing two feet, and two accents-

- my lá | test found.

The next line is divided into larger portions; the first, consisting of two feet, with the advantage of a semipause between them,

Heav'en's last' best gift"

Which is also rendered of still more weight, by

by containing four accents, each word here being emphatic. The latter portion contains three feet, and three accents——

My ev' | er new' | delight

So that taking this whole little passage together, nothing in poetic numbers can be conceived more perfect.

- Awáke"

My fairest" my espous'd" my latest found"

Heav"n's last best gist" my ever new de-

Awáke"

I shall now present you with one instance more, containing the united powers of all those principles which have hitherto been laid open.

Dire was | the tos' | sing" déep | the groans |"
Despair"

Ten'děd | the fick' | "bus'ieft | from couch | to couch"

And o | ver them | trium' | phant Death' | "
his dart"

Shook" but [dělay"d | to strike.

Dîre wăs | the toss' | ing" déep | the groans |"
Despair"

Ten'ded | the fick | "

The first foot is a trochee, which gives force to the first fyllable—dire—and hurries you through

through the two short syllables to the tossing" where the cesura after a semipede, at once marks the motion, and makes you expect the end of the foot; thus adding force to the ensuing episthet, deep: this is followed by a full iambus, whose last syllable, groans, is distinguished by a second cesura—

déep the groans"

These two cesuras are diversified by their seat, one after a semipede, the other closing a foot. The last pause presents you with the sigure of Despair, made more considerable by a final pause——

deep the groans" Despair"

The trochee and iambus which begin the next line, put her in motion, and mark her employment——

Ten'ded | the fick' | "

The triffyllabic dactylic foot following the cefura, expresses her hurry from couch to couch—

" bus'iest from couch to couch.

In the four first feet of the next line you have the figure of Death presented, as exulting over them——

And over them triumphant Death" his dart",

The last foot only names his dart; its well-known use you expect; but the first semipede of the next line, bounded by an uncommon cesura, at once gives motion to the figure, and makes you see his dart, his action, and cruel mercy—

And over them triumphant Death" his dart"

Shook" but delay'd to strike—tho' oft invok'd

With vows" as their chief good and final hope.

As we have fufficiently examined all the members, so as to have a clear view of the body (as I may call it) of numbers, let us now consider the principle, which, like a soul, astuates and regulates all the Parts; and then——

Pleas'd you shall hear, and learn the secret

Of Harmony --

I have faid that this principle is emphasis; and that it is the great regulator both of quantity and tones in numbers. Let us now come to the proof. And first with regard to quantity. You may remember what I advanced in the beginning upon this article, that though the quantity of our syllables be fixed in words separately pronounced, yet that it is mutable when these words are ranged in sentences; the long being changed

changed into short, the short into long, according to the importance of the words with regard to meaning; and as it is by emphasis only that the meaning can be pointed out, consequently emphasis must be the regulator of the quantity. The shortest way to prove this, is to take the same individual words, and shew that they must necessarily change their quantity, according as they are differently applied. For this purpose, I shall take the same words at the beginning of a line just quoted, and apply them to different conclusions, and shew what change this must necessarily make in their quantity, according to the different meanings which they thus obtain.

Pl'ēas'd thou shalt h'ēar—and learn the secret power, &c.

Pleas'd th'ou shalt hear—and thou alo'ne shalt hear—

Pleas'd thou shal't hear—in spite of them shalt hear—

Pleas'd thou shalt h'ear—tho' not behold the

In the first of these instances the words, plèas'd and bèar, being both equally emphatical, are both long; whilst the two intermediate words, thou and shalt, being rapidly passed over, as the sense demands, are reduced to a short quantity.

In the fecond instance—

Pleas'd thou shalt hear-and thou alone shalt hear-

the word thou, by being the most important, obtains the chief, or rather the fole emphasis; and thus is not only restored to its natural long quantity, but obtains from emphasis a still greater degree of length, than when pronounced in its separate state; and this greater degree of length, is compensated by the diminution of quantity in the words pleas'd and hear, which are founded shorter than in the preceding instance. The word shalt still continues short. Here we may also observe, that though thou be long in the first part of the verse, it becomes fhort when repeated in the second, on account of the more forcible emphasis belonging to the word alone, which follows it-

- and thou alo'ne shalt hear.

In the third instance—

6 TOL. V

Pleas'd thou sha'lt hear-in spite of them shalt hear-

Here the word, shalt, with the emphasis, obtains also, a long quantity. And though it is impossible to prolong the found of this word as it ends in a pure mute, yet in this, as in all similar instances, the additional quantity is to be made out by a rest of the voice, proporconed

tioned to the importance of the word. In this instance we may also observe that the word, shalt, repeated in the second part of the line, is reduced again to a short quantity——

In spite of them shalt hear.

In the fourth instance—

Pleas'd thou shalt hear—tho' not behold the

word, behold, in the latter part of the line, obtains from the fense the chief emphasis, and a proportionate length; the words, thou and shalt, are again reduced to short quantities, and the word pleas'd lends some of the time which it possessed there, to the more important word, bear.

From these instances, it is evident, that the quantity of our syllables is by no means fixed; but in this its sluctuating state, it may be asked, is it not extremely difficult to observe a due measurement of time? No doubt it is; and to be able to do it with exactness, requires both instruction and practice. In order to see the difficulty of it, let us take a comparative view of the state of our quantity, with respect to that of the Romans. Among them the quantity of their syllables was either immutably fixed, whether the words were separately propounced, or connected in sentences; or if any change

change were made, it was done by certain simple laws of arrangement, and this change was always pointed out by the arrangement itself. Thus, for instance, the law of position, as it is called, always rendered a syllable long, which otherwise was short; that is, if a word, whose last syllable terminating in a consonant was short, preceded another word beginning with a consonant, that last syllable of the former word, was, by such its position, changed into a long one.

Here a doubt may be started, whether the Romans, in order to observe this law of position, really changed the found of those syllables, and pronounced them differently in their long state, from what they did in their short, I am of opinion, that this never occasioned. any change in the pronunciation of their words; as the different quantities might easily be made out without it. In some cases, the law of position necessarily gave a longer time to the former fyllable; for there are certain confonants which are formed by fuch diffimilar positions of the organs of speech, that after founding the one, it requires time to place the organs in the proper polition to form the other; and this of course gives an additional time to the former: And when that is not the case, if a consonant of a fimilar nature may be pronounced in a more rapid time after another, then the reciter

reciter is obliged, by the law of position, to give the due length to the former syllable, by a proportional rest of the voice. This law of position then being almost the only one which occasioned any change in the quantity of theirfyllables, and there being always fo evident a mark when this change was to be made, we should be apt to imagine that the observation of quantity among the Romans was not a matter of any great difficulty. And vet we find it was confidered far otherwise by them: it was by no means left to chance, or to be picked up in conversation; no, it was made one of the earliest branches of their education, and regularly taught by proper masters. When children had been instructed in the power of the letters, and taught to spell, and pronounce words at fight by the grammarian; the master of music was called in, to teach them the due and exact quantity of their fyllables, as well as the proper intonation of their accents. Now, if fo much pains were thought necessary among them, in a language, the quantity of whose syllables was either immutably fixed, or afcertained by a few obvious rules; how much more necessary must such care be among us, where the quantity of fyllables is perpetually changing with the fense, and can never be ascertained by any rules?

mil gran growne raile arm lange of shall

TTAL 227

I shall now give a few more instances of the necessary connexion there is between meaning and quantity, and of their mutual affiftance in pointing out each other.

- but follow me, And I will bring thee where no shadow stays Thy coming, and thy foft embraces, he Whose image thou art, him thou shalt enjoy Inseparably thine, &c.

A celebrated critic has pronounced the fourth line of this passage to be no verse at all, probably from not knowing how to read it; for if pronounced in this manner-

Whose image thou art" him thou shalt enjoy-

it ceases indeed to be a verse, and at the same time destroys the meaning. But if pronounced with an emphasis on the word, thou, in the first part of the line, and, bim, in the second, as thus-

Whose image thou art" him thou shalt enjoy, &c.

the fense is restored together with the measure. For the meaning of these words of the angel to Eve, is, 'Follow me, and I will bring thee, onot to a shadow, such as you see in the water, but to a fubstance; to him whose image thou art, as that in the watery gleam is thine. Him', as a substance, you may enjoy; this', as a shadow, you cannot.'

This line affords another inflance of the mutable nature of our quantity in the same word; for the first thou being emphatic, is long;

Whole image thou art-

Whilst the second without emphasis is short,

hìm thou shalt enjoy.

In the following lines in the speech of Death to Satan—

Lest with a whip of scorpions I pursue
Thy ling'ring, or with one stroke of this dark
Strange horror seize thee—

If the fecond be pronounced thus

Thy ling'ring, or with one stroke of this dart, the verse will be degraded into hobbling prose. And though it may be imagined that the sense is preserved in this way of reading, yet it will appear, upon examining, that part of the poet's meaning is lost, as well as the imagery; to preserve which, there must be a strong emphasis on the words one and this—as thus,

Thy ling'ring, or with one stroke of this dart—for the emphasis on the word one marks the peculiar property of the dart of Death, which does its business at once, and needs no second troke: and that on the word this, presents the dart

dart to view, and the image of Death shaking it at Satan.

In the next instance we have two examples of this kind.

Not mind us, not offending, fatisfy'd With what is punish'd.

Here let the second line be pronounced thus,

Not mind us, not offe'nding

and the meaning will be equivocal; the word not beginning in this way the two members of the fentence, the two phrases will seem to point to the same person. The only way to mark the sense clearly, is, by placing an emphasis on the word us, and connecting it closely with the latter phrase of the sentence, by interjecting a semi-pause after the word mind, as thus—

Not mind' u's not offending—that is, us who offend no longer.

With what is pu'nish'd

Here also the meaning becomes equivocal in this way of pronouncing the latter line; for it feems to imply, satisfied with the thing that is punished; but by laying a strong emphasis on the word i's,

With what i's punish'd-

the true sense starts out at once, which is, that he will be satisfied with the punishment already inflicted.

Here we have a proof of the close connexion that subsists between emphasis and quantity, and that a salse use of the one, renders the other salse too. For in the improper way of pronouncing those lines, us and is are both short; but in the right way they are both long.

In reading the following line thus -

Which way I fly is hell, myfe'lf am he'll;

the thought is not perhaps changed by this manner of pronouncing it; but with how much more force is it conveyed by placing the strongest emphasis on the word a'm—

Which way I fly is hell, myfelf a'm hell.

Upon the propriety of which emphasis, the following lines of Milton may serve as a comment;

His troubled thoughts, and from the bottom

His troubled thoughts, and from the bottom ftir

The *bell within him*; for within him hell He brings, and round about him, nor from hell

One step, no more than from himself can sly By change of place.

Let the following line be thus repeated— That glo'ry then when thou no more wert good Departed from thee____

and the fense is obscured; for the word then, passed slightly over as a short syllable, seems to have the meaning of therefore, or consequently, for which it often stands: but the true meaning of it here is, at that time; and to mark this fense, it is necessary to lay a strong emphasis on the word the'n, followed by a pause, as

That glory the'n" whe'n thou no more wert good

Departed from thee

That is, the glory which you boast, quitted you the very instant you ceased to be good: And here I shall observe by the way, that nothing has caused fo much false reading, as words of this class: for, as many of them which are fet down under the several heads of conjunca tions, prepositions, and adverbs, often change their class, have different uses and meanings; and as this distinction can only be pointed out by emphasis; readers accustomed to consider the fame words always in the fame light, and knowing that these smaller parts of speech are hardly ever emphatic, are apt to pass them by unnoticed, even when they become the most important words in the fentence, by the meaning which they convey. Of this I could produce innumerable instances; but as it is not immediately to the point in question, I shall content myself with one which will put the matter in a clear light. Attend to the following passage.

Such pleasure took the serpent to behold This slowery plat, the sweet recess of Eve.

Thus ea'rly! thus alo'ne.

Let the last line be repeated in this manner, and the latter, thus, be flightly passed over like the former, and they will both feem to have the fame meaning; whereas there is a wide difference in their use here. The first stands in the place of fo, thus early, for fo early. And that this cannot be the case with the second, may be feen by putting the word, fo, to both, as fo early, so alone. What then does the latter thus mean? It means a great deal. It implies the cause of the delight that Satan took in feeing Eve thus alone; alone in a manner fo unexpected, fo unufual, as this was the first time she had ever quitted Adam. The importance of the fense therefore conveyed by this word, demands a fuitable force of emphasis,

Thus early' th'us alone—

Alone in this manner, so consonant to his wishes.

Having made it evident that emphasis is the regulator of our quantity, I shall now shew in what manner it regulates also the tones, or notes of the voice in speaking: Of which I shall give instances in the four lines already employed to illustrate that of quantity.

Pieas'd thou shalt hear, and learn the secret power-

Here the two words pleas'd and hear, being equally emphatical, are equally elevated, whilft the two intermediate, are pronounced in a lower note

Pleas'd thou shalt hear, and thou alone shalt

Here the word, thou, being the chief emphatic one, has a superior degree of elevation; the words, pleas'd and hear, abate proportionally of the elevation which they had when the most emphatic; and the word, shalt, drops in proportion to its little consequence.

Pleas'd thou shal't hear, in spite of them shalt

Here the word, shalt, obtaining the chief emphasis, is distinguished by the most remarkable change of voice. But this change is not, as in the former instances, to a higher, but to a lower note of the voice, as the fentiment proceeds from an act of the mind which marks

power and authority, and to which a more grave and firm tone is better fuited.

Pleas'd thou shalt hear, tho' not behold the fair.

In this the word, bear, with a superior emphasis, obtains also a superior elevation.

It is evident, in all these instances, that the change of emphasis, not only produces a change of quantity, but of note also, in the different syllables on which it is placed. And in this sluctuating state of both, it may be imagined much more difficult to observe their just proportions, than among the Romans, with whom they were fixed and ascertained by immutable laws. But were we to give equal attention to the cultivation of the living speech, it would be followed by equal success.

Every one who speaks English properly, naturally and of course gives the most remarkable notes, or changes of the voice, to the emphatic words; and to the others, according to the several degrees of subordination, in which they stand with respect to those words, when he speaks his sentiments without art or premeditation. And these are the very notes which every one would of course use in reading or reciting, if he were not under the influence of salse rules, and had not been taught to use in reading, certain tones and notes of the voice, which differ wholly from those employed in

speaking; and which, being but few in number, and adapted to all fentences alike, destroy that endless variety of notes, with which Nature has furnished us, to express the endless variety of fentiments, and emotions of the human mind. From this early taint of education, few ever get free during their lives; which is the reason that so few are found, whose reading or recitation can be endured. But they who have had the good fortune to have been originally taught to read well; or who, by dint of attention and practice, have overcome early bad habits, will never be at a loss to know what kind of notes, or changes of the voice, they are to use in reciting; because they have only to possess themselves thoroughly with the sentiments, and the notes as necessarily follow, as the found of the strings of an instrument does the touch. The nature and kind of notes being found, there only remains to reduce them to fuch a just proportion in reciting verse, as shall produce melody; and this task is lest wholly to the ear, which has received powers from the hand of Nature, perfectly adequate to the office. But the unfolding and right use of these powers, like all the nobler faculties of man, depend upon cultivation, and are loft through neglect. We all know to what an amazing degree of nice diffinction, a well informed and practifed ear arrives in music; nor 201 have

have we any reason to doubt, that its powers would be less accurate in the speaking founds. were equal care taken in that respect, even though we had not the authority of the Greeks and Romans to prove the point. A cultivated ear, fastidiously rejects all sounds that are discordant; and as its pleasure increases in proportion to the richness of the melody, it is never fatisfied but with the greatest degree of it that the subject will admit. In this respect its powers are subordinate to those of the understanding, which fettles the general value; but that once adjusted, the relative proportion of the notes to each other, is left wholly to the ear. In which she has for guide the found belonging to the accented fyllable of each emphatic word, which, like a key-note, ferves as a standard of measurement to the others.

The same reasoning will hold good with regard to the just observation of quantity also; and that it is well founded I can considently affirm, from the success which has always attended my instructions given in that way, during a long course of years, to a variety of pupils of different ages, even to some far advanced in manhood.

Having laid open all the principles, upon which the numbers of heroic verse are sounded; and shewn by what rules of composition, their three great properties of melody, harmony,

-wast

and expression, are to be attained; it will be now necessary to lay down rules for the proper recitation of fuch verses; as it is only by a fuitable delivery, that the beauty of fuch composition can be manifested. In the first place, all the words should be pronounced exactly the fame way as in profe. The movement of the voice should be from accent to accent, laying no stress on the intermediate syllables. There should be the same observation of emphasis, and the same change of notes on the emphatic fyllables, as in profe. The usual fault of introducing fing-fong notes, or a species of chanting, into poetical numbers, is difagreeable to every ear, but that of the chanter himself. Such readers indeed feem generally in high raptures with their own music, for, according to the old observation, hand cuiquam injucunda quæ cantat ipse: 'No man's tune is unpleasing to himself.' But they ought to consider, that they are doing great injustice to the poet's mufic, when they substitute their own in its room. The tune of the poet can then only be heard, when his verses are recited with such notes of the voice; as refult naturally from the fentiments; and a due proportion of time observed in the feet and pauses, the constituent parts of verfe.

The next great point to be attended to, is the strict observation of the two musical pauses before

before described, the cesural and final, which peculiarly belong to poetry. What relates to the final pause, has already been sufficiently explained. But, with regard to the cefural, whose feat is variable, and may be in all the different parts of the verse, consequently not so easily found, there requires more to be said. In order to find the feat of the cefura, we are to reflect, that there are some parts of speech fo necessarily connected in fentences, that they will not admit of any feparation by the smallest paufe of the voice. Between fuch, therefore, the cesura can never fall. Its usual seat is, in that place of the line, where the voice can first rest, after a word not so necessarily connected with the following one. I fay, not fo necesfarily, because the cesura may find place where there would be no fentential stop, after a word which leaves any idea for the mind to rest on, though it may have a close connexion with what follows. For instance,

Of Eve whose eye" darted contagious fire.

Now in profe, there could not properly be a comma after the word, eye, from its close connexion with the following verb; but in verse, remove the cesural pause, and the metre is utterly destroyed.

Of Eve" whose eye darted contagious fire.

Of the same nature is another line of Milton's, relative to the same person;

And from about her" shot darts of defire-

Pronounced in that manner with the pause in the middle of the line, it ceases to be verse; but by placing the cesura after the word, shot, as thus—

And from about her shot" darts of desire—
the metre is not only preserved, but the expression much enforced, by the unexpected
trochee following the pause, which, as it were,
shoots out the darts with uncommon force.

The following line of Mr. Pope's, read thus—

Ambition first sprung" from your blest abodes, is no verse, but hobbling prose. Let the cesura be placed after the word, first, as thus—

Ambition first" sprung from your blest abodes——

the metre is restored, and the important word, first, obtains its due degree of emphasis, and is made more distinguished by preceding this unusual pause.

Of the same kind are two lines of Waller's, which I lately read, stopped in the following manner.

We've lost in him arts, that not yet are found. The Muses still love, their own native place.

By which pointing, the metre is destroyed, and the thought obscured. They should be thus divided:

We've lost in him" arts that not yet are found. The Muses still" love their own native place.

Unless a reader be much upon his guard, he will be apt to pause, however improperly, at those seats of the cesura, which have been set down as producing the finest melody, and therefore are most pleasing to the ear. Thus in the following line—

Nor God alone" in the still calm we find-

The cesura, so placed, points to a different sense from that which is contained in the sub-sequent line; for, in this way, it would imply, that we do not find God alone, in the still calm—but something else—whereas the true meaning of the couplet is, 'that we do not find God, in the still calm only, but in the storm and tempest;' and therefore the pause should be thus made—

Nor God" alone in the still calm we find, He mounts the storm" and walks upon the wind.

There would be great temptation in all the cc4 following

1211

following lines, for the fake of melody, to place the cefura wrong.

The sprites of fiery" termagants inflame—Back to my native" moderation slide—And place on good" security his gold—Your own resistless" eloquence employ—Or cross to plunder" provinces the main—

But such unnatural disjunction of words, which have a necessary connection with each other, whatever pleasure it might give the ear, must hurt the understanding; which surely, in rational beings, has the first right to be satisfied. Lines of this structure do not in reality contain any perfect cesura; whose place is supplied by two semipauses, or demicesuras. As thus—

The sprites' of siery termagants' inslame.

Back' to my native moderation' slide.

And place' on good security' his gold.

Your own' resistless eloquence' employ.

Or cross' to plunder provinces' the main.

Of the fame nature is the following line-

Nor virtue male" or female can we name—and the last of this couplet—

Thus God and Nature link'd the general frame,

And bade self-love" and social be the same.

In both which the demicefuras should be thus introduced—

Nor virtue' male or female' can we name— And bade' felf-love and focial' be the fame.

Great attention ought to be paid to the femipauses, in lines where they are introduced together with a cesura; both in order to render the ideas more distinct, and to improve the harmony. If in the last line of the following couplet, the cesura only be marked, as thus—

So two confistent motions act the foul,

And one regards itself" and one the whole—

the two different motions which actuate the foul, are not distinctly pointed out; which can only be done by introducing the semipauses, thus—

And one' regards itself" and one' the whole.

Having thus amply discussed all that relates to the numbers of our heroic poetry, I shall now enter upon an examination of the several other kinds of metre. That which approaches the nearest to the heroic, is composed of verses containing four seet; or as it is commonly, though improperly said, of eight syllables; since some contain more than eight, some less. It differs from the heroic by being shorter by one soot, and having little or no use of the ce-

fura; and also by being never used but with rhime. And this either in couplets, as thus—

The shepherds and the nymphs were seen Pleading before the Cyprian Queen.
The council for the fair began,
Accusing the salse creature, man.

Or else in alternate rhimes, as thus-

While from our looks, fair nymph, you guess
The secret passions of our mind,
My heavy eyes, you say, confess
A heart to love and grief inclin'd.

In other respects this metre is the same as the heroic, has the fame iambic movement, and admits a like variety of feet. That is, our writers have all indulged themselves in the fame latitude, in my opinion very improperly; as these irregularities are much to the prejudice of melody, which ought to be chiefly confidered in these shorter kinds of verse, since they will not admit of that harmony and expression which are to be found in the longer measure, principally depending, as I have shewn, upon the use of the cesura. There is one other material difference between this species of metre, and the heroic; that whereas in the latter, a line can never consist of less than ten syllables, in this, one fyllable is often dropped, and only feven Hyra

feven remain. Of which, numbers of instances are to be found in the Allegro of Milton.

Haste thee | nymph | and bring | with thee Jest | and youth | ful jol | lity,
Quips | and cranks | and wan | ton wiles,
Nods | and becks | and wrea | thed smiles;
Such | as hang | on He | be's cheek,
And love to live in dimple sleek;
Sport | that wrinkled Care derides,
And Laughter holding both his sides.
Come | and trip | it as | you go,
On the light" fantastic toe.

This liberty of dropping a fyllable is always prejudicial to the melody of the verse, and should never be allowed but for the sake of expression; that is, when a monosyllable may contain so important an idea, as to claim a right of occupying the space of an entire foot, making up the time by a pause after it, and so constituting what is called a syllabic soot. Thus in the following instances—

Sport | that wrinkled Care derides——
Mirth | admit me of thy crew——
Sinks | my foul with gloomy pain?
See! | she smiles, 'tis joy again.
Swells | a passion in my breast?
Hark! | she speaks, and all is rest.

The monofyllables beginning these lines, may, with

396

3 012

with a pause after them, well supply the place of an entire foot. But in these—

While | the cock with lively din—
From | the fide of fome hoar hill——

The two unimportant words, While and From, will not admit of any pause after them, and therefore the metre is desective. And indeed all lines of that structure, where this rule is not observed, are perfectly prosaic, and would not be taken for verse, but on account of the thime. Such as—

With two fifter Graces more—
Or the twifted eglantine—
From the fide of fome hoar hill—
Right against the Eastern gate—
And the milk-maid singeth blithe—
On a fun-shine holiday.

This kind of metre admits of nine fyllables, with double rhimes; as—

With wanton heed, and giddy cunning, The melting voice thro' mazes running.

And fometimes of ten, by the admission of the amphibrach; as—

There have been some poems attempted in verses of sour seet in the trochaic measure; but

as those require double rhimes, which are much more hard to be found than single, the metre is continually changing to the mixed iambic, and the instances of the purely trochaic measure are

very rare.

The two remaining kinds of metre, are, the amphibrachic, and anapæstic; which, from the nature of the seet that compose them, are certainly sitted for very different purposes. The amphibrach, having the accent on the middle between two short syllables, moves on in a cantering pace, well suited to sprittly and comic subjects. As—

Since con'ju | gal pas'sion
Is com'e in | to fash'ion,
And mar'riage | so blest' on | the throne is,
Sir Trus'ty | shall be | my Adonis.

The anapæst having the accent on the last, after two short syllables, is at once a rapid and impetuous foot, suited to the more violent emotions of the mind. As—

In my ráge | shall be seén The reven'ge | of a Queén.

Yet from the very nature of these seet, however differently constituted they may appear for different purposes, our poets have never adhered to the one or the other of these metres, in any of their poems; but have made an incongruous jumble

jumble of the two, like that monster tragicomedy, which so long disgraced the taste of the nation. Of this mixt species of metre, which has yet obtained no name among us, take the following specimen.

If e'ér in | thy sight I | found favour | Apol'lo, Defend' me | from all the | difas'ters | that fol'low;

From the knaves | and the fools | and the fop's | of the time,

From the drud' | ges in prose | and the tri | flers in rhime.

This species of verse should always consist of four entire seet containing three syllables each. To preserve the just movement in the amphibrachic, the lines should always end with double rhimes; in the anapæstic, with single. But in this, as indeed in every other kind of numbers, our writers have indulged themselves in such liberties, as are utterly incompatible with the laws of melody and harmony. To give some instances of this, in one of our most accurate versisters, Dr. Swift.

Onc'e | on a time | as old sto | ries rehears'e,

A friar | would needs shew | his tal'ent |
in Lat'in,

But was fore | ly put to't | in the midst | of a vers'e,

Because he | could find | no word to | come

In the first line, we find at the beginning a foot of one syllable only, so that there are two wanting. The third foot, as old sto, cannot be admitted among any of the poetic kind, none of which ever exceed four times, and this containing three syllables, two of which are long, has five. And the fourth line, wants a sylvalable.

The metre might be restored thus:

It was once on a time as the stories rehearse,

A friar would needs shew his talent in Latin,
But was forely put to't in the midst of a verse,
Because he could find not a word to come
pat in.

Befide omitting fyllables, nothing is more common than the introducing of fuch feet as I gave an instance of just now, which ought never to be admitted into poetic metre. Such as—

As he fi | lently wan | der'd to footh | his

Thou art pen | five my friend | faid the chear | ful old hind-

Sometimes a foot is introduced which has no accent at all, and then the metre is destroyed, unless we commit a fault by false reading. As—

This put me | the friar | into an | amazement.

The line thus read is absolute prose; and to give

give it the movement of verse it will be necesfary to lay an accent upon the last syllable of into, as thus——

Thus put' me | the friar | into an | amaze-

which is abfurd.

If in this mixed species of metre any fort of regularity were observed; if in the stanza, for instance, the lines were alternately anapæstic and amphibrachic; and in the regularly rhimed verses the couplets were so, which is the case in the instances above quoted, the ear would know what to expect, and might receive fome pleasure from the observation of order. But though the writers often fet out in this manner, they foon fall into the utmost irregularity, using the anapæstic, or amphibrachic movement, just as it may happen to suit their convenience, or best agree with the rhimes which may occur; and thus make fuch a difcordant jumble of metres, as no ear, at all senfible to the music of numbers, could endure.

But of all the different species of poems among us, those which are called odes, seem in general to shew most the poverty of our writers, and want of skill in the decorum of numbers. For the versisfication in these is usually that of the heroic kind, only apportioned out in lines of different length, some

confisting of five, some of four, some of three, and some of fix feet; but all having the same movement as the heroic, and the chief diverfity to be perceived in them, arises from the different disposition of the rhimes. And in this course they have persisted, notwithstanding the example of the ancients, who, in their lyric poetry, had invented different kinds of metre, made up of fuch feet as were peculiarly fuited to the nature of the subject. And what is still more extraordinary, notwithstanding they had in their own language, the model of an ode before them, in which the advantage to be made from using a variety of metre, confonantly to the laws of decorum, is amply difplayed. I mean Dryden's celebrated ode on St. Cecilia's day. To examine all the beauties of which, would take up too much time; I shall therefore only make a few observations upon some of the most remarkable passages. In the narrative parts, he has very properly used the mixed iambic of our heroic verse, finely diverlified to the ear in point of harmony, and to the understanding in point of expression. But when he comes to the descriptive part, or what may be called the painting of poetic numbers, he then changes to that species of metre which is peculiarly adapted to his subject; whether it be of a nature to excite gay and lively, or gloomy and violent emo-

tions. Thus in his description of Bacchus, the trochaic movement chiefly prevails.

Bac'chus | ev'er | fair and | young,
Drink'ing | joy's did | first' or | dain.
Bac'chus' | bless'ings | ar'e a | treas'ure.
Drink'ing | is' the | soldier's | pleas'ure.
Rich' the | treas'ure!
Sweet the | pleas'ure!
Sweet is | pleas'ure | af'ter | pain.

When he describes Alexander's imagination as heated almost to a pitch of phrenzy, he then changes to the most powerful unmixed iambics.

The mas' | ter faw' | the mad' | ness rise, His glow' | ing cheek | his ar' | dent ey'es, And while | he heav'en | and earth' | desied, Chang'd | his hand' | and check'd' | his pride.

In this last line we may observe how much the expression is ensorced by a small alteration in the metre. The three preceding lines all consist of forcible and pushing iambics; the last commences with a syllabic foot, which stops you unexpectedly, and prepares you for the ensuing change in the numbers; which being intended to excite melancholy, have a more flow and spondaic movement, the accents of the iambics, lying chiefly upon vowels, or semi-vowels.

He chôse | a mourn | ful muse Soft pit' | y to insuse. He fung' | Darî | us gréat | and good'
By toó | fevére | a fate
Fállen fállen | fállen fállen
Fallen | from his hígh | estate
And wel' | tering in | his blood';
Deser' | ted at | his ut' | most neéd
By those | his fór | mer boún | ty sed';
On the | bare earth | expos'd | he lies
With not' | a friend' | to close | his eyes.
With down | cast looks | the joy | less vic' | tor
fat'e,

Revol | ving in | his al | ter'd soul
The va | rious turns | of chan'ce below,
And now' | and then' | a sigh | he stole,
And tears | began | to slow.

From pity the transition to love was easy;
'Twas but a kindred found to move,
For pity melts the mind to love.

To produce this effect, the poet changes his numbers to the more gentle and pleasing trochaic movement.

Sóftly | sweét in | Ly'dian | meas'ures,
Soón he | soóth'd his | sóul to | pleas'ures.
Wár he | sung' is | toil and | troub'le,
Hon'our | but' an | emp'ty bub'ble;
Nev'er | end'ing | still' be | gin'ning,
Fighting | still' and | still' def | troy'ing;
If' the | world' be | worth' thy | win'ning,
Think', O | think' it | worth' en | joy'ing.

Lov'ely | Tháis | fit's be | síde thee. Take the | good' the | god's pro | vide thee.

Here the king is represented as finking under the delicious fensations, which love and wine had occasioned, and his whole foul at length wrapped in the pleasing delirium. To rouse him from this state, and awaken the more violent passions, the mighty master once more changes his numbers to the pulling iambic, and impetuous anapæst.

Now strike | the gol | den ly're | again'. A lou | der yet' | and yet' | a lou | der strain'. Break' | his bands' | of fleep | afun'der, And rouze | him like | a rat' | thing peal | of thun'der.

Har'k! har'k | the hor' | rid found . I har's Has rais'd fup his head', had a be as w'h As awak'd from the dead', and will have And amáz'd | he stáres | around.

Reveng'e | reveng'e | Timó | theus cries | See the fu | ries arise! See the snakes of that they rear,

How they his' | in their hair, And the spar | kles that Rash' | from their ey'es! ען בין הב לאלן זיים וויין מינין אויין אינין אינין

To point out all the beauties arising from the admirable composition of this ode, with regard to its numbers alone, would require a volume. The instances I have produced are fussicient 113 77 7 m

to shew what advantage our lyric poetry might receive, if our writers would follow the example of Dryden, in observing the decorum of numbers, and varying their metre fuitably to their subject. And yet, I do not know that any attempt of that kind has been made, except by Mr. Pope; who has profesfedly entered the lifts with Dryden, in an ode composed on the fame fubject; and in which, he has only exposed his own want of skill in the general principles of numbers, and his great inferiority to Dryden in that respect. His chief object seems to be, to emulate Dryden at least in the variety of his metre; but then he varies only for the fake of varying, and does not feem to know how to adapt these changes to his subject. Where he means to excite images of terrour, his metre has quite the air of burlefque.

Sad Orpheus fought his confort lost;
Th' inexorable gates were barr'd
And nought was feen, and nought was heard
Around the dreary coast,

But dreadful gleams,
Difmal fcreams,
Fires that glow,
Shrieks of woe,
Sullen moans,
Hollow groans,
And cries of tortur'd ghofts.

This is the very kind of metre which Arbuthnot judiciously chose for his Lilliputian ode to Gulliver.

In amaze,
Lost I gaze!
Can my eyes
Reach thy size?
On thy hand
Let me stand, &c.

When he speaks of the effect which the music of Orpheus had on the infernal deities, he falls into the metre used in the melancholy ditties of the old English ballads.

He fung, and hell confented
To hear the poet's prayer;
Stern Proferpine relented,
And gave him back the fair.

And to point out the exultation of music, upon this extraordinary triumph over death and over hell, he falls into the most comic movement that can be used, the amphibrachic.

Thus fong could prevail
C'er death and o'er hell,
A con'quest | how hard and | how glórious!
Tho' fate had | fast bound her,
With Styx' nine | times round her,
Yet music | and lov'e were | victórious.

In describing the deep melancholy, and gloomy despair of Orpheus, upon his second loss of Eurydice, partly by his double rhimes, and partly by his Lilliputian lines, he turns the whole into burlesque:

Now under hanging mountains,
Beside the fall of sountains,
Or where Hebrus wanders,
Rolling in mæanders,
All alone,
Unheard, unknown
He makes his moan
And calls her ghost
For ever, ever, ever lost.

This is exactly of a piece with a lamentable loveditty of an Irish bard—

When in the meadows that are green
I am feen
With my eyes fo red,
All alone
I make my moan
Crying ohone,
Like a stone that's dead.

In describing the death of Orpheus-

Ah see he dies!
Yet even in death, Eurydice he sung,
Eurydice still trembled on his tongue;

Eurydice

Eurydice the woods,

Eurydice the rocks, and hollow mountains

He feems refolved to outdo Virgil in making five repetitions of the name of Eurydice, inflead of three. Indeed, in the whole of the ode, he does not feem to have hit upon any one paffage that can be called good. Where he has most laboured, and where, by superficial readers, he might be thought to have succeeded best, the expression is puerile, and sounded upon a false principle of his own, laid down in his Essay on Criticism——

The found must feem an echo to the fense—which differs from the true rule, laid down by Lord Roscommon—

The found should be a comment on the sense-

For the expression in numbers arising from the former, is to the latter, what punning is to true wit.

It may feem strange to accuse a writer, who is generally allowed to excel all others in versification, of a want of knowledge in poetic numbers; but the truth is, he turned his thoughts only to one part of numbers, and that was simple melody; to the neglect of harmony and expression. And this was the surest way to obtain general vogue; because the charms of

14

the one could be perceived by all readers; the beauties of the others, only by a few. And till the art of just recitation shall become general, this must ever be the case, according to Mr. Pope's own observation,

That most by numbers judge a poet's song, And smooth or rough, with them, is right or wrong.

Nothing has contributed fo much to the various irregularities of our metre, and the neglect of all the nobler powers of versification, as the use of that poor Gothic ornament, rhime; which, placed at the end of our verses, like a rudder to a ship, regulates all the motions of the whole poetical machine.

THE END.

ade more than a positioned gardet

position of the property of the secondary and and and and and and another secondary to the secondary of the

CHARGE BELLEVILLE.

. It the favor dealers and playing to Dilly to

And the second policy of the control of the control

SHARE WELL BY RENGT OF THE SHARE

AND COMMENTS OF STREET STREET

Jun S Margarat or Turke The Park and Valle, and Teleral Man. Dhan. St.

a pared des de control Polescono P

Tanata of Virginia Consultant and Market Con

Towards Milliane of the Line and reason of Learning III, Norse of Exercipe at Volu-Cicavo, and

Successor of Later the areals - Constitutions and Later of Later than a will a Discounting previous for the Colors and a Colors and a previous for the Colors and the Color

By the same Author, and sold by C. Dilly.

Lectures on Elocution. Octavo. 6s.

A New Plan of Education. Octavo. 4s.

A New General Pronouncing and Explanatory Dictionary of the English Language, 2 Vols. Octavo. 12s.

ALSO,

- SHERIDAN'S (C. F.) REVOLUTION OF SWEDEN. Octavo. 6s.
- PLES OF CIVIL LIBERTY and OF FREE Government. 3s. 6d.
- Swift's Miscellaneous Pieces, in Prose and Verse, never before published. Octavo. 5s.
- Townsend's Journey through Spain, in 1786, 1787. 3 Vols. Octavo. 18s.
- TRACTS of WARBURTON, and a WARBURTO-NIAN, not admitted into the Collection of his Works; with a PREFACE by Dr. Parr. 58.
- Towers's Memoirs of the Life and Reign of Frederick III. King of Prussia. 2 Vols. Octavo. 14s.
- Sullivan's Lectures on the Constitution and Laws of England; with a Discourse prefixed, by Dr. Gilbert Stuart. Quarto. 18s.

By the jame Later, and will be C. D. To.

Letters on Reception, O un, 6s.

A liew Pring of Doctorploy. Ottawn, in,

LEAST DELIVER PRODUCTION AND PERSONS AND LESS AN

A 1 5 0,

- Strape & (C.F.) Remiercon of Strain.
- sees of time domes and at the go.
- And the second of the second o
- Tenedand journe things Store, at 1975, 1787, 2 volt Colons, the
- Theoret Water, and our content to the content of th
- Francis Military of the country of the co
- mere and the second features are second features and the second features and the second features and the second features and the second features are second features and the second features and the second features and the second features and the second features are second features and the second features a







